



Response to letter regarding 'association between ex vivo thrombogenicity and ischemic outcome in off-pump coronary surgery'

Sungmin Suh, Young-Lan Kwak & Jae-Kwang Shim

To cite this article: Sungmin Suh, Young-Lan Kwak & Jae-Kwang Shim (2025) Response to letter regarding 'association between ex vivo thrombogenicity and ischemic outcome in off-pump coronary surgery', *Annals of Medicine*, 57:1, 2543977, DOI: [10.1080/07853890.2025.2543977](https://doi.org/10.1080/07853890.2025.2543977)

To link to this article: <https://doi.org/10.1080/07853890.2025.2543977>



© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group



Published online: 09 Aug 2025.



Submit your article to this journal [↗](#)



Article views: 248



View related articles [↗](#)



View Crossmark data [↗](#)

LETTER TO THE EDITOR



Response to letter regarding ‘association between ex vivo thrombogenicity and ischemic outcome in off-pump coronary surgery’

Dear Editor

We sincerely appreciate the readers’ thoughtful comments on our recently published study, ‘Association between ex vivo thrombogenicity and ischemic outcome in off-pump coronary surgery.’

First, we would like to clarify that our study does not advocate for the indiscriminate or perioperative use of intensified anticoagulation in all off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting (OPCAB) patients. Rather, we highlighted that thromboelastography (TEG) maximum amplitude (MA), when measured after anesthetic induction, **may serve as a potential biomarker to identify patients with high innate thrombogenicity.** Such patients **may derive a greater ischemic benefit relative to bleeding risk from more tailored post-operative anticoagulation.** Conventional clinical indicators may not sufficiently capture this individualized risk.

With respect to the BRIDGE trial [1] and the study by Siegal et al. [2], these primarily focused on perioperative anticoagulation bridging in patients undergoing non-CABG surgery. In contrast, our study did not involve bridging, nor did we advocate anticoagulation based on preoperative TEG results. The TEG measurements in our study **were conducted post-induction, and anticoagulation – beyond dual antiplatelet therapy (DAPT) – was considered only after the resolution of immediate bleeding risk.** Thus, comparisons with these studies must account for substantial differences in patient population, surgical setting, and timing of intervention.

It is true that current guidelines do not endorse routine use of TEG for predicting major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) or guiding anticoagulation decisions in cardiac surgery. Our study does not propose changing existing practice guidelines, but rather serves to explore whether TEG MA could function as a potential marker in designing future risk stratification protocols.

Evidence from other cardiovascular populations may offer insight. The COMPASS trial [3] demonstrated that in patients with stable atherosclerotic vascular disease, including those with prior myocardial infarction, **the combination of aspirin and low-dose rivaroxaban reduced MACE versus aspirin alone, despite increased bleeding.** A sub-analysis of COMPASS [4] found that perioperative adverse outcomes were not significantly different between groups, suggesting potential perioperative safety in selected contexts. While not directly comparable, these findings suggest a potential role for MA-based selection to refine antithrombotic strategies in appropriately chosen patients.

We also agree with the concern regarding the generalizability of our findings. Our study was conducted at a single centre in Korea, and we recognize that differences in patient demographics, genetic backgrounds, surgical techniques, and postoperative management could limit broader applicability. Residual confounding factors, including unmeasured factors such as medication variations, may also have influenced our outcomes despite our efforts to adjust for them.

In summary, our study provides preliminary evidence suggesting that **elevated MA could serve as a potential biomarker for guiding individualized postoperative anticoagulation strategies in OPCAB patients.** We agree that large-scale, multicentre trials are essential prior to any definitive clinical implementation can be recommended.

Once again, we thank the authors for their valuable feedback, which we believe will contribute meaningfully to the ongoing discussion and refinement of thrombotic risk assessment and management strategies in cardiac surgery.

Ethics approval statement

As this is a commentary on a Letter to Editor and no new data were collected or analyzed, ethics approval was not required.

Author contributions

CRediT: **Sungmin Suh**: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing; **Young-Lan Kwak**: Data curation; **Jae-Kwang Shim**: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding statement

No funding was received for the preparation or submission of this letter.

ORCID

Sungmin Suh  <http://orcid.org/0009-0006-5146-6910>

Young-Lan Kwak  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2984-9927>

Jae-Kwang Shim  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9093-9692>

Data availability statements

Data sharing not applicable – no new data generated, or the article describes entirely theoretical research

References

- [1] Douketis JD, Spyropoulos AC, Kaatz S, et al. Perioperative bridging anticoagulation in patients with atrial fibrillation. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;373(9):823–833. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa1501035](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1501035).
- [2] Siegal D, Yudin J, Kaatz S, et al. Periprocedural heparin bridging in patients receiving vitamin K antagonist therapy: systematic review and meta-analysis of bleeding and thromboembolic rates. *Circulation*. 2012;126(13):1630–1639. doi: [10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.103168](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.112.103168).
- [3] Eikelboom JW, Connolly SJ, Bosch J, et al. Rivaroxaban with or without aspirin in stable cardiovascular disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;377(14):1319–1330. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa1709118](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1709118).
- [4] Douketis JD, Yi Q, Bhatt DL, et al. Perioperative management and outcomes in patients receiving low-dose rivaroxaban and/or aspirin: a subanalysis of the Cardiovascular Outcomes for People Using Anticoagulation Strategies (COMPASS) trial. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2024;22(8):2227–2233. 5. doi: [10.1016/j.jtha.2024.03.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtha.2024.03.030).

Sungmin Suh 

*Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine,
Soonchunhyang University Seoul Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea*

Young-Lan Kwak  and Jae-Kwang Shim 

*Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Anesthesia and Pain Research Institute,
Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea*
 aneshim@gmail.com

Received 29 July 2025; Accepted 30 July 2025

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The terms on which this article has been published allow the posting of the Accepted Manuscript in a repository by the author(s) or with their consent.