





Biomarkers to Predict Multiorgan Distress Syndrome and Acute Kidney Injury in Critically Ill Surgical Patients

Insik Shin

The Graduate School Yonsei University Department of Medicine



Biomarkers to Predict Multiorgan Distress Syndrome and Acute Kidney Injury in Critically Ill Surgical Patients

A Dissertation Submitted to the Department of Medicne and the Graduate School of Yonsei University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Medicine

Insik Shin

July 2024



This certifies that the Dissertation of Insik Shin is approved.

Thesis Supervisor Hoon Ryu

Thesis Committee Member [Il Hwan Park]

Thesis Committee Member [Jae Hyun Park]

Thesis Committee Member [Jae Hung Jung]

Thesis Committee Member [Jae Sik Chung]

The Graduate School Yonsei University July 2024



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I am deeply grateful to Professor Hoon Ryu for all of his devoted guidance, mentorship, and advice. Professor Ryu's many teachings, sincere encouragement, and trust in me have led me all the way here.

Furthermore, I would like to express my deep appreciation to professor Kwangmin Kim for providing me with valuable experience and teaching in a new field of study. I also thank Professor II Hwan Park, Jae Hyun Park, Jae Hung Jung, and Jae sik Chung for their helpful comments and discussions. Their sincere guidance had a significant influence on me. In addition, I am grateful to the many people at Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine who helped me to complete this study.

I dedicate this manuscript to my most beloved wife, Hyeonbin who always trusts in and supports me, my lovely son Juwon, and my family who encourage me all the time.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

LI	IST (OF FIGURES ·····	•••••		iii		
LI	LIST OF TABLES ·····						
A	BSTI	RACT IN ENGLISH			vi		
1.	. INTRODUCTION						
2.	Mat	terials and Methods			2		
	2.1.	Patient selection			2		
	2.2.	Data collection and definition			2		
	2.3.	Blood and urine sampling,			3		
	2.4.	preparation, and storage DNA isolation from plasma and urine			3		
	2.5.	Primers and quantitative polymera	se chain reacti	ion (qPCR) ·····	4		
	2.6.	Statistical analysis			6		
3.	Res	ults			6		
	3.1.	Baseline characteristics in the enr	olled patients		6		
	3.2.	Patient characteristics between pat and with MODS	ients without		7		
	3.3.	Independent risk factors to predict MODS in critically ill sur	gical patients		9		
	3.4.	Optimal cut-off value of SBP and	initial		10		
	3.5.	Patient characteristics between pat	ients		13		
	3.6.	without and with AKI Independent risk factors to predic	t		13		
	3.7.	AKI in critically ill surgical patie Optimal cut-off value of DBP an	ents d		14		
		initial urine mtDNAcn to predict	AKI				



with and without MODS 15 3.9. Daily change in serum biomarkers in patients 16 with and without AKI 16 4. DISCUSSION 16 5. CONCLUSION 21 REFERENCES 22 APPENDICES 26 ABSTRACT IN KOREAN 35	in critically ill surgical patients 3.8. Daily change in serum biomarke	rs in patients	1.5
is in the intervention of the parameter in parameter	with and without MODS 3.9. Daily change in serum biomarke	rs in patients	 15
4. DISCUSSION 16 5. CONCLUSION 21 REFERENCES 22 APPENDICES 26 ABSTRACT IN KOREAN 35	with and without AKI		 16
5. CONCLUSION 21 REFERENCES 22 APPENDICES 26 ABSTRACT IN KOREAN 35	4. DISCUSSION		 16
REFERENCES 22 APPENDICES 26 ABSTRACT IN KOREAN 35	5. CONCLUSION ······		 21
APPENDICES 26 ABSTRACT IN KORFAN 35	APPENDICES		 22
	APPENDICES ABSTRACT IN KOREAN		 20

연세대학교 YONSEI UNIVERSITY

LIST OF FIGURES

<fig 1=""> (A) Representative standard curve, (B)</fig>	
amplification plot, and (C) dissociation curve for	4-5
standard DNA	
<fig 2=""> Receiver-operating characteristics curves</fig>	
for the systolic blood pressure and initial	
neutrophil and platelet counts between the patients	11
with and without multiorgan distress syndrome	
SBP, systolic blood pressure	
<fig 3=""> Receiver-operating characteristics curves</fig>	
for the diastolic blood pressure and initial urine	15
mitochondrial DNA copy number between the	15
patients with and without acute kidney injury	
<fig s1=""> Daily change in serum</fig>	
mitochondrial DNA copy number (copies/µL)	28
between patients with and without	20
multiorgan distress syndrome	
DNA conv. number (conjectul) between	
notion to with and without multioneen distroom	28
patients with and without multiorgan distress	
syndrome <fig s3=""> Daily change in delta neutronhil</fig>	
index (%) between patients with and without	20
multiorgan distress sundrome	23
<pre><fig s4=""> Daily change in neutrophil count</fig></pre>	
(X^3) (10 ⁹ /L) between patients with and	29
without multiorgan distress syndrome	
<fig s5=""> Daily change in white blood cell</fig>	
count (X ³) (10 ⁹ /L) between patients with and	30
without multiorgan distress syndrome	
<fig s6=""> Daily change in serum β2</fig>	
microglobulin (mg/L) between patients with	30
and without multiorgan distress syndrome	
$<$ Fig S7> Daily change in urine β 2 \cdots	31



microglobulin (mg/L) between patients with	
and without multiorgan distress syndrome	
<fig s8=""> Daily change in serum</fig>	
mitochondrial DNA copy number (copies/µL)	31
between patients with and without acute	51
kidney injury	
<fig s9=""> Daily change in urine mitochondrial</fig>	
DNA copy number (copies/µL) between	20
patients with and without acute kidney	3Z
injury	
<fig s10=""> Daily change in delta neutrophil</fig>	
index (%) between patients with and without	32
acute kidney injury	
<fig s11=""> Daily change in neutrophil count</fig>	
(X^3) $(10^9/L)$ between patients with and	33
without acute kidney injury	
<fig s12=""> Daily change in white blood cell</fig>	
count (X^3) $(10^9/L)$ between patients with and	33
without acute kidney injury	
<fig s13=""> Daily change in serum β2</fig>	
microglobulin (mg/L) between patients with	34
and without acute kidney injury	
<fig s14=""> Daily change in urine β2</fig>	
microglobulin (mg/L) between patients with	34
and without acute kidney injury	

영 연세대학교 YONSEI UNIVERSITY

LIST OF TABLES

<table 1=""> Baseline characteristics in the enrolled</table>	67			
patients <table 2=""> Patient characteristics between patients</table>	0-7			
without and with multiorgan distress syndrome	8-9			
<table 3=""> Multivariate analysis using a logistic</table>				
regression model to predict multiorgan distress	9			
syndrome <table 4=""> Systolic blood pressure and initial</table>				
resistanti and related to count characteristics of				
neutrophin and platelet count characteristics as	10			
independent predictors of multiorgan distress				
syndrome				
<table 5=""> Patient characteristics between patients</table>	11			
without and with acute kidney injury				
<table 6=""> Multivariate analysis using a logistic</table>	13			
regression model to predict acute kidney injury <table 7=""> Diastolic blood pressure and initial</table>	15			
urine mitochondrial DNA copy number as	14			
independent predictors of acute kidney injury				
<table s1=""> Differences in daily changes in</table>				
biomarkers between patients with and without	26			
multiorgan distress syndrome and those with and				
without acute kidney injury				



ABSTRACT

Biomarkers to Predict Multiorgan Distress Syndrome and Acute Kidney Injury in Critically III Surgical patients

Background and Objectives: Critically ill surgical patients are susceptible to various postoperative complications, including acute kidney injury (AKI) and multi-organ distress syndrome (MODS). These complications intensify patient suffering and significantly increase morbidity and mortality rates. This study aimed to identify the biomarkers for predicting AKI and MODS in critically ill surgical patients. Materials and Methods: We prospectively enrolled critically ill surgical patients admitted to the intensive care unit via the emergency department between July 2022 and July 2023. A total of 83 patients were recruited, and their data were used to analyze MODS. Three patients who showed decreased creatinine clearance at the initial presentation were excluded from the analysis for AKI. Patient characteristics and laboratory parameters including white blood cell (WBC) count, neutrophil count, delta neutrophil index, urine and serum β 2-microglobulin, and urine serum mitochondrial DNA copy number (mtDNAcn) were analyzed to determine the reliable biomarker to predict AKI and MODS. Results: The following parameters were independently correlated with MODS: systolic blood pressure (SBP), initial neutrophil count, and platelet count, according to a logistic regression model. The optimal cut-off values for SBP, initial neutrophil count, and platelet count were 113 mmHg (sensitivity 66.7%; specificity 73.9%), 8.65 (X^3) (10⁹/L) (sensitivity 72.2%; specificity 64.6%), and 195.0 (X^3) ($10^9/L$) (sensitivity 66.7%; specificity 81.5%), respectively. According to the logistic regression model, diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and initial urine mtDNAcn were independently correlated with AKI. The optimal cut-off value for DBP and initial urine mtDNAcn were 68.5 mmHg (sensitivity 61.1%; specificity 79.5%) and 1225.6 copies/µL (sensitivity 55.6%; specificity 95.5%), respectively. Conclusions: SBP, initial neutrophil count, and platelet count were independent predictors of MODS in critically ill patients undergoing surgery. DBP and initial urine mtDNAcn levels were independent predictors of AKI in critically ill surgical patients. Large-scale multicenter prospective studies are needed to confirm our results.

Keywords: acute kidney injury; multiorgan distress syndrome; biomarker; mitochondrial DNA



1. Introduction

Critically ill surgical patients are susceptible to various postoperative complications, including acute kidney injury (AKI) and multiorgan distress syndrome (MODS). These complications not only intensify patient suffering, but also significantly increase morbidity and mortality rates, compelling the medical community to explore innovative approaches for their early identification and management [1,2]. Biomarkers have emerged as promising candidates for addressing this clinical need.

Early detection of AKI and MODS is pivotal for informed clinical decision-making and optimized patient care. Recently, the biological relevance of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) in predicting adverse outcomes has been increasingly recognized, particularly in patients in the intensive care unit (ICU) [3 - 6]. Mitochondrial integrity plays a pivotal role in AKI pathophysiology. In various forms of AKI, early pathological alterations are evident within the renal tubular epithelium, including reduced mitochondrial abundance, organelle swelling, and fragmentation [7]. The impaired state of these mitochondria causes kidney damage by producing detrimental reactive oxygen species and releasing mtDNA. The release of mtDNA can activate innate immune responses via the toll-like receptor-9 (TLR9) pathway. [8]. Moreover, mtDNA acts as a damage-associated molecular pattern initiator [9] that can drive molecular processes leading to inflammatory responses and organ injuries [9 - 11].

 β 2-microglobulin (β 2-MG) also has been investigated recently as a predictive marker, in particular for AKI [12,13]. β 2-MG is released into circulation at a constant rate, freely filtered by the glomeruli, and completely reabsorbed and catabolized in the renal tubules. Serum B2M levels are independent of muscle mass and start increasing early during kidney failure. Because of these properties, serum β 2-MG has been proposed as a candidate marker to assess kidney function. Tubular injury leads to decreased reabsorption of β 2-MG and tubular enzymes, leading to elevated urinary concentrations. In addition, few studies reported that β 2-MG was associated with severe inflammation [14,15].

Based on these findings, we hypothesized that urine mtDNA, circulating cell-free mtDNA levels, serum β 2-MG, and urinary β 2-MG would be associated with AKI and MODS and would improve risk prediction in critically ill surgical patients. Although many studies have investigated the effectiveness of mtDNA as a predictive marker, studies conducted on patients admitted to the intensive care unit because of acute surgical illnesses are rare.



Therefore, this study aimed to test whether circulating cell-free mtDNA, urinary mtDNA copy number (mtDNAcn), serum β 2-MG, and urinary β 2-MG are useful as biomarkers in critically ill surgical patients. We also investigated other well-known biomarkers, including the delta neutrophil index (DNI), white blood cell (WBC) count, and neutrophil count because of the scarcity of studies on critically ill surgical patients.

2. Materials and Methods

The protocol of this study was registered in clinicaltrials.gov (NCT05458063).

2.1. Patient selection

This was a prospective, observational study. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Wonju Severance Christian hospital (Institutional Review Board No. CR322053). We prospectively enrolled critically ill surgical patients admitted to the ICU via emergency department between July 2022 and July 2023. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) age < 18 years; 2) pregnancy; 3) death at initial presentation; 4) history of underlying chronic renal disease; and 5) patients with a previous history of AKI. A total of 120 patients were screened initially. Among them, 83 patients were recruited and their data were used to analyze MODS. Three patients with decreased creatinine clearance were excluded from the AKI risk factor analysis.

2.2. Data collection and definition

After obtaining written informed consent, background clinical information and the presence of other comorbid conditions were recorded. The following parameters were recorded prospectively: age; sex; vital signs at the initial presentation including systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), pulse rate (PR), and body temperature (BT); and laboratory parameters at the initial presentation including DNI, WBC, neutrophil, and platelet counts, and levels of creatinine, serum β 2-MG, urine β 2-MG, hemoglobin, international normalized ratio (INR), c-reactive protein (CRP), lactate,



serum mtDNAcn, and urine mtDNAcn. In addition, DNI, WBC count, neutrophil count, serum and urine β 2-MG levels, and serum and urine mtDNAcn on first, second, and third days after admission were recorded to observe daily changes. The sequential organ failure assessment (SOFA) score was calculated and collected prospectively during the ICU stay. After the patients were discharged, outcomes, including AKI, MODS, and mortality, were recorded. MODS was defined as a SOFA score of 6 or higher on two or more consecutive days, at least 48 hours after emergency department admission [16]. AKI was defined according to the Acute Kidney Injury Network (AKIN) criteria [17].

2.3. Blood and urine sampling, preparation, and storage

Blood samples were drawn and transferred into ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) -coated blood collection tubes at the initial presentation. Blood samples were collected at 24, 48, and 72 hours after the first collection. The blood samples were sent to the central laboratory within 2 hours after every venipuncture, and the EDTA tubes were centrifuged with 1300 g for 10 minutes at -4 °C. After first centrifugation, 1500- μ L supernatant was collected and transferred into a 1.5-mL microtube. The microtube was centrifuged with 4000 g for 10 minutes at -4 °C, and 1000- μ L supernatant was transferred into a new sterile 1.5-mL microtube, and stored at -80 °C in the freezer. Urine samples were drawn and transferred into urine tubes at the initial presentation and at 24, 48, and 72 hours after the first collection. The urine samples were also sent to the central laboratory within 2 hours after collection, and 1500- μ L urine was collected, and transferred into a 1.5-mL microtube. The microtube at -4 °C, and 1000- μ L supernatant was transferred into a 1.5-mL microtube. The urine samples were also sent to the central laboratory within 2 hours after collection, and 1500- μ L urine was collected, and transferred into a 1.5-mL microtube. The microtube was centrifuged with 4000 g for 10 minutes at -4 °C, and 1000- μ L supernatant was transferred into a 1.5-mL microtube, and stored at -80 °C in the freezer until analysis. Freeze-thaw cycles were avoided to reduce the phenomenon of DNA fragmentation, and the extracts were not retained for > 3 months at -80 °C.

2.4. DNA isolation from plasma and urine

DNA extraction from plasma and urine was performed using a DNA mini kit (#51306; Qiagen) following the manufacturer's protocol. We incubated samples with lysis buffer (included in the kit) and proteinase K at 56 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 minutes. After adding ethanol (96% - 100%), the mixture was applied to a mini spin column (included in the kit) and



centrifuged, and the filtrate was removed. The pellet in the mini-spin column was washed twice with two washing buffers (included in the kit). DNA was eluted in 50 μ L of distilled water.

2.5. Primers and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)

DNA was extracted from 200- μ L (sample volume) plasma and urine using the QIAamp DNA mini kit (#51306; Qiagen, Germany). Purified DNA was eluted in 50- μ L (elution volume) distilled water. The mtDNAcn was measured by a SYBR Green dye-based qPCR assay using Quantstudio 6 Flex real time PCR (Applied Biosystems, USA). The primer sequences were as follows: H-Mito Forward: 5'-CACTTTCCACACAGACATCA-3', Reverse: 5'-TGGTTAGGCTGGTGTTAGGG-3' [18]. A dilution series from 1×108 copies/ μ L to 1×102 copies/ μ L consisting of the purified PCR product from a healthy control participant, not participating in the present study, was constructed and used to create a standard curve. Concentrations were converted to copy number using the formula: mol/g 6 molecules/mol = molecules/g via a DNA copy number calculator (http://cels.uri.edu/gsc/cndna.html; University of Rhode Island Genomics and Sequencing Center).

The thermal profile for detecting mtDNA using Quantinova SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen, Germany) was analyzed as follows: an initiation step for 2 minutes at 60 $^{\circ}$ C was followed by an initial denaturation step for 10 minutes at 95 $^{\circ}$ C and a further step consisting of 40 cycles for 20 s at 95 $^{\circ}$ C and for 10 s at 60 $^{\circ}$ C. The representative standard curves, dissociation curves, and amplification plots are shown in Figure 1.







Figure 1. (A) Representative standard curve, (B) amplification plot, and (C) dissociation curve for standard DNA

All samples were analyzed in triplicate, and a no-template control was included in each analysis. The mtDNA levels in all plasma analyses were expressed in copies per microliter of plasma based on the following calculations [19]:

Copy Nmber per
$$\mu L = \frac{Copy \ \nu mber per \ 2 \ \mu Lreaction \ \times \ Elution \ volume \ (\mu L)}{qPCR reaction \ volume \ (\mu L)} \ \times \ \frac{1}{Sample \ volume \ (\mu L)}$$



2.6. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using R statistical software (version 4.1.0; R Foundation for Statistical Computing). Continuous variables are presented as mean and standard deviation or median and range, and a comparative analysis was conducted using independent sample t-test. Mann-Whitney U-test was performed for continuous variables that were non-normally distributed. Chi-squared and Fisher's exact tests were used for comparative analysis of categorical variables. Multivariate analysis was performed using logistic regression to identify independent risk factors. A receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve was constructed and Youden index method was used to determine the optimal cut-off values for predicting mortality. Statistical significance was set at p-value < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Baseline characteristics in the enrolled patients

Mean age in all patients was 63.3 ± 17.3 years, and male:female ratio was 56:27. Thirty patients (36.1%) had hypertension and 18 patients (21.7%) had diabetes mellitus. The most common diagnosis was pan-peritonitis (41 patients [49.4%]), followed by hemoperitoneum (16 patients [19.2%]). Surgical treatment was performed in 76 patients (91.6%) (Table 1).

Table	1.	Baseline	characteristics	in	the	enrolled	patients
-------	----	----------	-----------------	----	-----	----------	----------

Variables	N = 83 (%)
	(2.2 + 17.2)
Age	$63.3 \pm 1/.3$
Male:Female	56:27
Known past history	
Hypertension	30 (36.1)
Diabetes mellitus	18 (21.7)
Cerebrovascular disorder	7 (8.4)



Liver disease	3 (3.6)		
Respiratory disease	7 (8.4)		
Diagnosis			
Cervical spine fracture	1 (1.2)		
Diaphragmatic rupture	1 (1.2)		
Femur fracture	3 (3.6)		
Fournier's gangrene	1 (1.2)		
Hemoperitoneum	16 (19.2)		
Hemopneumothorax	1 (1.2)		
Ischemic enteritis	3 (3.6)		
Intestinal obstruction	3 (3.6)		
Panperitonitis	41 (49.4)		
Popliteal artery rupture	1 (1.2)		
Retroperitoneal hemorrhage	3 (3.6)		
Small bowel strangulation	8 (9.6)		
Thoracic spine fracture	1 (1.2)		
Procedure			
Conservative management with observation only	4 (4.8)		
Angioembolization	3 (3.6)		
Surgery	76 (91.6)		

3.2. Patient characteristics between patients without and with MODS

Mean age (62.0 \pm 18.4 vs. 68.0 \pm 11.7 years, p=0.317) and ratio of male sex (42 [64.6%] vs. 14 [77.8%], p=0.441) were not significantly different between both groups. SBP (126.1 \pm 26.5 vs. 98.2 \pm 31.5 mmHg, p<0.001) and DBP (70.6 \pm 16.4 vs. 55.2 \pm 15.4 mmHg, p=0.001) were significantly lower in patients with MODS. Initial DNI (4.1 \pm 8.4% vs. 9.8 \pm 12.2%, p=0.016), WBC (12.8 \pm 5.6 vs. 10.0 \pm 4.9 (X³) (10⁹/L), 0.046), neutrophil (10.8 \pm 5.2 vs. 7.8 \pm 3.8 (X³) (10⁹/L), p=0.028), creatinine (0.8 \pm 0.3 vs. 1.2 \pm 0.3 mg/dL, p<0.001), serum β 2-MG (2.5 \pm 2.0 vs. 4.2 \pm 4.1 mg/L, p=0.008), hemoglobin (13.1 \pm 2.3 vs. 11.8 \pm 1.9 g/dL, p=0.026), platelet (261.0 \pm 107.3 vs. 188.9 \pm 81.6 (X³) (10⁹/L), p=0.004), INR (1.0 \pm 0.1 vs. 1.2 \pm 0.2, p<0.001), and lactate (2.5 \pm 1.9 vs. 4.7 \pm 3.9 mmol/L, p=0.005) were significantly different between both groups.



mtDNAcn was significantly higher in patients with MODS (1067.5 \pm 1575.8 \pm 3800.4 \pm 7298.9 copies/µL, p=0.032). The highest SOFA score was significantly higher in patients with MODS (2.0 \pm 1.6 vs. 8.1 \pm 2.0, p<0.001). Hospital duration of stay (DOS) (15.8 \pm 10.1 vs. 28.7 \pm 22.0 days, p=0.008) and ICU DOS (3.5 \pm 3.7 vs. 9.1 \pm 7.7 days, p=0.001) were significantly higher in patients with MODS. Mortality was more frequent in patients with MODS (0 [0%] vs. 5 [27.8%], p<0.001) (Table 2).

 Table 2. Patient characteristics between patients without and with multiorgan distress syndrome

	No MODS (n=65) (%)	MODS (n=18) (%)	p-value
Age (years)	$62.0~\pm~18.4$	$68.0~\pm~11.7$	0.317
Male sex	42 (64.6)	14 (77.8)	0.441
Known past history			
Hypertension	23 (35.4)	7 (38.9)	0.841
Diabetes mellitus	14 (21.5)	4 (22.2)	1.000
Cerebrovascular	4 (6.2)	3 (16.7)	0.148
disorder			
Liver disease	1 (1.5)	2 (11.1)	0.105
Respiratory disease	6 (9.2)	1 (5.6)	1.000
SBP (mmHg)	126.1 ± 26.5	$98.2~\pm~31.5$	< 0.001
DBP (mmHg)	$70.6~\pm~16.4$	$55.2~\pm~15.4$	0.001
PR (/min)	$93.9~\pm~45.0$	$96.7~\pm~28.5$	0.133
BT (°C)	$36.8~\pm~0.8$	$36.5~\pm~1.4$	0.205
Initial laboratory			
findings			
DNI (%)	$4.1~\pm~8.4$	9.8 ± 12.2	0.016
WBC (X ³) (10 ⁹ /L)	$12.8~\pm~5.6$	$10.0~\pm~4.9$	0.046
Neutrophil (X ³)	$10.8~\pm~5.2$	$7.8~\pm~3.8$	0.028
$(10^{9}/L)$			
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.8 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.3	< 0.001
Serum $\beta 2$	$2.5~\pm~2.0$	$4.2~\pm~4.1$	0.008
microglobulin (mg/L)			
Urine $\beta 2$	5.9 ± 11.0	$4.6~\pm~5.0$	0.548
microglobulin (mg/L)			
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	13.1 ± 2.3	$11.8~\pm~1.9$	0.026



Platelet (X^3) $(10^9/L)$	261.0 ± 107.3	188.9 ± 81.6	0.004
INR	1.0 ± 0.1	1.2 ± 0.2	< 0.001
CRP (mg/dL)	5.7 ± 9.1	$6.0~\pm~10.1$	0.958
Lactate (mmol/L)	$2.5~\pm~1.9$	$4.7~\pm~3.9$	0.005
Serum mtDNAcn	716.0 ± 1357.6	1311.8 ± 1872.6	0.260
(copies/µL)			
Urine mtDNAcn	1067.5 ± 1575.8	3800.4 ± 7298.9	0.032
(copies/µL)			
SOFA score	$2.0~\pm~1.6$	$8.1~\pm~2.0$	< 0.001
Hospital DOS	$15.8~\pm~10.1$	$28.7~\pm~22.0$	0.008
ICU DOS	3.5 ± 3.7	9.1 ± 7.7	0.001
Mortality	0 (0.0%)	5 (27.8%)	< 0.001

MODS, multi-organ distress syndrome; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; PR, pulse rate; BT, body temperature; DNI, delta neutrophil index; WBC, white blood cells; INR, international normalized ratio; CRP, C-reactive protein; mtDNAcn, mitochondrial DNA copy number; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; ICU, intensive care unit; DOS, duration of stay

3.3. Independent risk factors to predict MODS in critically ill surgical patients

A logistic regression model was performed to determine independent risk factors, including age, sex, SBP, DBP, initial DNI, WBC, neutrophil, creatinine, serum β 2-MG, hemoglobin, platelet, INR, lactate, and initial urine mtDNAcn. The following were independently correlated with MODS: SBP (odds ratio [OR] 0.969, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.942 - 0.996, p = 0.025], initial neutrophil count [OR 0.38, 95% CI 0.719 - 0.977, p = 0.024], and initial platelet count [OR 0.988, 0.977 - 0.999, p=0.036] (Table 3). **Table 3.** Multivariate analysis using a logistic regression model to predict multiorgan distress syndrome

	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p-value
SBP (mmHg)	0.969 (0.942 - 0.996)	0.025
Initial neutrophil (X ³) (10 ⁹ /L)	0.838 (0.719 - 0.977)	0.024
Initial creatinine (mg/dL)	8.269 (0.704 - 97.122)	0.093
Initial serum β2 microglobulin (mg/L)	1.296 (0.997 - 1.685)	0.053
Initial platelet (X ³) (10 ⁹ /L)	0.988 (0.977 - 0.999)	0.036



CI, confidence interval; SBP, systolic blood pressure

3.4. Optimal cut-off value of SBP and initial neutrophil and platelet counts to predict MODS in critically ill surgical patients

The ROC curves of SBP and initial neutrophil and platelet counts were calculated to predict MODS in critically ill patients undergoing surgery. The areas under the curve (AUC) of SBP and initial neutrophil and platelet counts were 0.750 (95% CI 0.606 - 0.877), 0.670 (95% CI 0.539 - 0.801), and 0.724 (0.570 - 0.877), respectively. The optimal cut-off values for SBP and initial neutrophil and platelet counts were 113 mmHg (sensitivity 66.7%; specificity 73.9%), 8.65 (X^3) ($10^9/L$) (sensitivity 72.2%; specificity 64.6%), and 195.0 (X^3) ($10^9/L$) (sensitivity 66.7%; specificity 81.5%), respectively (Table 4 and Figure 2).

Table 4. Systolic blood pressure and initial neutrophil and platelet count characteristics as independent predictors of multiorgan distress syndrome

	Optimal	Sensitivity	Specificity	AUC (95% CI)
	cut-off value	%	%	
SBP (mmHg)	113	66.7	73.9	0.750 (0.606 - 0.877)
Initial neutrophil	8.65	72.2	64.6	0.670 (0.539 - 0.801)
count (X ³) (10 ⁹ /L)				
Initial platelet	195	66.7	81.5	0.724 (0.570 - 0.877)
count (X ³) (10 ⁹ /L)				

AUC, area under the curve; CI, confidence interval; SBP, systolic blood pressure





Figure 2. Receiver-operating characteristics curves for the systolic blood pressure and initial neutrophil and platelet counts between the patients with and without multiorgan distress syndrome

SBP, systolic blood pressure

	1		
	No AKI (n=44) (%)	AKI (n=36) (%)	p-value
Age (years)	59.4 ± 17.9	66.2 ± 15.5	0.072
Male sex	30 (68.2)	24 (66.7)	1.000
Known past history			
Hypertension	13 (29.5)	15 (41.7)	0.371
Diabetes mellitus	8 (18.2)	9 (25.0)	0.641
Cerebrovascular disorder	3 (6.8)	3 (8.3)	1.000

Table 5. Patient characteristics between patients without and with acute kidney injury



Liver disease	2 (4.5)	1 (2.8)	1.000
Respiratory disease	0 (0.0)	1 (2.8)	1.000
SBP (mmHg)	$127.2~\pm~19.3$	$112.8~\pm~37.9$	0.044
DBP (mmHg)	$74.4~\pm~13.8$	$58.6~\pm~17.8$	< 0.001
PR (/min)	$88.0~\pm~26.2$	$100.9~\pm~56.0$	0.183
BT (°C)	$36.8~\pm~1.0$	$36.6~\pm~0.9$	0.276
Initial laboratory findings			
DNI (%)	$2.7~\pm~4.8$	7.3 ± 11.0	0.035
WBC (X ³) (10 ⁹ /L)	15.1 ± 13.4	$11.6~\pm~5.9$	0.085
Neutrophil (X ³) (10 ⁹ /L)	$11.2~\pm~4.7$	9.3 ± 5.2	0.045
Creatinine (mg/dL)	$0.8~\pm~0.2$	1.0 ± 0.4	0.006
Serum $\beta 2$ microglobulin	2.1 ± 1.4	3.4 ± 3.4	0.020
(mg/L)			
Urine $\beta 2$ microglobulin	$6.2~\pm~10.6$	5.3 ± 9.9	0.306
(mg/L)			
Hemoglobin	$13.4~\pm~2.1$	12.2 ± 2.3	0.011
Platelet (X^3) $(10^9/L)$	$263.6~\pm~93.6$	222.6 ± 120.6	0.016
INR	1.0 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.2	0.007
CRP (mg/dL)	5.0 ± 8.8	$5.4~\pm~9.0$	0.815
Lactate (mmol/L)	2.2 ± 1.5	$3.9~\pm~3.3$	0.004
Serum mtDNAcn	532.6 ± 812.3	1251.4 ± 2027.6	0.158
(copies/µL)			
Urine mtDNAcn	561.4 ± 349.9	3001.8 ± 5424.5	0.001
(copies/µL)			
SOFA score	$2.2~\pm~2.0$	$4.5~\pm~3.7$	0.004
Hospital DOS	$15.9~\pm~14.7$	21.6 ± 14.3	0.007
ICU DOS	3.1 ± 3.7	6.5 ± 6.4	0.010
MODS	4 (9.1)	14 (38.9)	0.004
Mortality	0 (0.0)	5 (13.9)	0.037

AKI, acute kidney injury; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; PR, pulse rate; BT, body temperature; DNI, delta neutrophil index; WBC, white blood cells; INR, international normalized ratio; CRP, C-reactive protein; mtDNAcn, mitochondrial



DNA copy number; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; ICU, intensive care unit; DOS, duration of stay; MODS, multiorgan distress syndrome

3.5. Patient characteristics between patients without and with AKI

Mean age (59.4 \pm 17.9 vs. 66.2 \pm 15.5 years, p=0.072) and ratio of male sex (30 [68.2%] vs. 24 [66.7%], p=1.000) were not significantly different between both groups. SBP (127.2 \pm 19.3 vs. 112.8 \pm 37.9 mmHg, p=0.044) and DBP (74.4 \pm 13.8 vs. 58.6 \pm 17.8 mmHg, p<0.001) were significantly lower in patients with AKI. Initial DNI (2.7 \pm 4.8% vs. 7.3 \pm 11.0%, p=0.035), WBC (15.1 \pm 13.4 vs. 11.6 \pm 5.9 (X³) (10⁹/L), p=0.085), neutrophil (11.2 \pm 4.7 vs. 9.3 \pm 5.2 (X³) (10⁹/L), p=0.045), creatinine (0.8 \pm 0.2 vs. 1.0 ± 0.4 mg/dL, p=0.006), serum β 2-MG (2.1 \pm 1.4 vs. 3.4 \pm 3.4 mg/L, p=0.020), hemoglobin (13.4 \pm 2.1 vs. 12.2 \pm 2.3 g/dL, p=0.011), platelet (263.6 \pm 93.6 vs. 222.6 \pm 120.6 (X³) (10⁹/L), p=0.016), INR (1.0 \pm 0.1 vs. 1.1 \pm 0.2, p=0.007), and lactate (2.2 \pm 1.5 vs. 3.9 ± 3.3 mmol/L, p=0.004) were significantly different between both groups. Initial urine mtDNAcn was significantly higher in patients with AKI (561.4 \pm 349.9 vs. 3001.8 ± 5424.5 copies/µL, p=0.001). The highest SOFA score was significantly higher in patients with AKI (2.2 \pm 2.0 vs. 4.5 \pm 3.7, p=0.004). Hospital DOS (15.9 \pm 14.7 vs. 21.6 \pm 14.3 days, p=0.007) and ICU DOS (3.1 \pm 3.7 vs. 6.5 \pm 6.4 days, p=0.010) were significantly higher in patients with AKI. MODS (4 [9.1%] vs. 14 [38.9%], p=0.004) and mortality were more common in patients with AKI (0 [0%] vs. 5 [13.9%], p=0.037) (Table 6).

	Odds ratio (95% CI)	p-value
SBP (mmHg)	1.036 (0.999 - 1.075)	0.059
DBP (mmHg)	0.895 (0.838 - 0.957)	0.001
Initial neutrophil (X ³) (10 ⁹ /L)	0.901 (0.789 - 1.030)	0.127
Initial urine mtDNAcn (copies/µL)	1.001 (1.000 - 1.003)	0.010

CI, confidence interval; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; mtDNAcn, mitochondrial DNA copy number

3.6. Independent risk factors to predict AKI in critically ill surgical patients

A logistic regression model was performed to determine independent risk factors,



including age, sex, SBP, DBP, initial DNI, neutrophil, creatinine, serum β 2-MG, hemoglobin, platelet, INR, lactate, and initial urine mtDNAcn. The following were independently correlated with AKI: DBP (OR 0.895, 95% CI 0.838 - 0.957, p = 0.001) and initial urine mtDNAcn (OR 1.001, 95% CI 1.000 - 1.003, p=0.010) (Table 3).

3.7. Optimal cut-off value of DBP and initial urine mtDNAcn to predict AKI in critically ill surgical patients

The ROC curves of DBP and initial urine mtDNAcn were calculated to predict AKI in critically ill surgical patients. The AUC of DBP and initial urine mtDNAcn were 0.755 (95% CI 0.647 - 0.864) and 0.718 (95% CI 0.590 - 0.845), respectively. The optimal cut-off values for DBP and initial urine mtDNAcn were 68.5 mmHg (sensitivity 61.1%; specificity 79.5%) and 1225.6 (sensitivity, 55.6%; specificity, 95.5%), respectively (Table 7 and Figure 3).

 Table 7. Diastolic blood pressure and initial urine mitochondrial DNA copy number as independent predictors of acute kidney injury

	Optimal	Sensitivity %	Specificity %	AUC (95% CI)
	cut-off value			
DBP (mmHg)	68.5	61.1	79.5	0.755 (0.647 - 0.864)
Initial urine	1225.6	55.6	95.5	0.718 (0.590 - 0.845)
m t D N A c n				
(copies/11L)				

AUC, area under the curve; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; mtDNAcn, mitochondrial DNA copy number





Figure 3. Receiver-operating characteristics curves for the diastolic blood pressure and initial urine mitochondrial DNA copy number between the patients with and without acute kidney injury

DBP, diastolic blood pressure; mtDNAcn, mitochondrial DNA copy number

3.8. Daily change in serum biomarkers in patients with and without MODS

Serum mtDNAcn levels in patients with MODS decreased until day 1, increased on day 2, and decreased on day 3. Serum mtDNAcn levels in patients with MODS on days 2 and 3 were significantly higher than those in patients without MODS (Figure S1). Urine mtDNAcn levels in patients with MODS gradually increased until day 1, and then decreased (Figure S2). The DNI in patients with MODS gradually increased until day 1 and then decreased. The DNI in patients with MODS on days 0 and 2 was significantly higher than that in patients without MODS (Figure S3). Neutrophil and WBC counts in patients with MODS decreased until day 1, increased on day 2, and decreased on day 3.



The neutrophil and WBC counts in patients with MODS on days 0 and 1 were significantly lower than those in patients without MODS (Figures S4 and S5). Serum β 2-MG in patients with MODS decreased until day 1, and increased again on day 3. Each level of serum β 2-MG from day 0 to day 3 was significantly higher than that in patients without MODS (Figure S6). Urine β 2-MG in patients with MODS gradually increased until day 2 and then decreased (Figure S7 and Table S1).

3.9. Daily change in serum biomarkers in patients with and without AKI

Serum mtDNAcn levels in patients with AKI decreased until day 1, increased on day 2, and decreased on day 3. Serum mtDNAcn levels in patients with AKI on day 2 were significantly higher than those in patients without AKI (Figure S8). Urine mtDNAcn levels in patients with AKI gradually increased until day 1 and then decreased. The levels of urine mtDNAcn in patients with AKI on days 0 and 2 were significantly higher than those in patients without AKI (Figure S9). The DNI in patients with AKI gradually increased until day 1 and decreased thereafter. The DNI levels in patients with AKI on days 0 and 2 were significantly higher than those in patients without AKI (Figure S10). Neutrophil and WBC counts in patients with AKI decreased until day 1, and further decreased on day 3. The neutrophil levels in patients with AKI on days 0 and 1 were significantly lower than those in patients without AKI (Figure S11). The WBC counts of patients with AKI on day 1 were significantly lower than those of patients without AKI (Figure S12). Serum β 2-MG in patients with AKI decreased until day 1, and increased again on day 2. Each level of serum β 2-MG from day 0 to day 3 was significantly higher than that in patients without AKI (Figure S13). Urine β 2-MG in patients with AKI was gradually increased until day 2 and then decreased (Figure S14 and Table S1).

4. Discussion

In this study, we found that SBP and initial neutrophil and platelet counts were independently associated with MODS in critically ill patients undergoing surgery. The optimal cut-off values for SBP and initial neutrophil and platelet counts were 113 mmHg, 8.65 $(X^3)(10^9/L)$, and 195.0 $(X^3)(10^9/L)$, respectively. In addition, DBP and initial urine mtDNAcn levels were independently associated with AKI in critically ill surgical patients. The optimal cut-off values for DBP and initial urine mtDNAcn were 68.5 mmHg and



1225.6 copies/µL, respectively.

Consistent with our findings, several studies have reported an established relationship between SBP and MODS occurrence across various clinical contexts [20,21]. This underscores the importance of maintaining optimal perfusion and effectively managing blood pressure in critically ill patients. Transient episodes of hypotension can precipitate inadequate oxygen delivery to vital organs, initiating a cascade of events that may ultimately culminate in MODS. Early recognition and prompt management of hypotension are imperative for preventing severe medical complications.

Polymorphonuclear leukocytes, monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells, and natural killer cells are pivotal contributors to the cellular immune response following infection or trauma [22,23]. Neutrophils are attracted to the site of injury under the influence of cytokines, such as interleukin (IL) -8, where they actively participate in the immune response by combatting pathogens and assisting in the removal of damaged tissue. Additionally, these cells play a role in the initiation of molecules such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-a, IL-8, platelet-activating factor, and anaphylatoxin (C5a). This sequence of events leads to an increased inflammatory response, which triggers the activation and recruitment of polymorphonuclear leukocytes. This, in turn, contributes to the onset of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome and MODS [22 - 24]. Recruitment of polymorphonuclear cells leads to neutrophilia. This period can be considered a "vulnerability window" during which a subsequent event, often referred to as a "second hit," can trigger the onset of MODS [24,25]. In patients who develop MODS, initial neutrophilia is followed by neutropenia following trauma or infection [26]. Therefore, patients with neutropenia at initial presentation may progress to MODS, as shown in our study.

Thrombocytopenia at initial presentation is most likely caused by the loss or consumption of platelets or sepsis in critically ill surgical patients. Thrombocytopenia in patients with sepsis occurs through several mechanisms. Platelets are activated during sepsis and adhere to the endothelial lining, leading to their sequestration and subsequent breakdown. Additionally, immune-related factors such as non-specific antibodies associated with platelets and cytokine-driven phagocytosis of platelets can also play a role in the development of thrombocytopenia in sepsis [27]. Therefore, the development of thrombocytopenia indicates progression to more serious adverse events. Similar to our results, previous studies have reported that thrombocytopenia poses an independent risk for adverse events in ICU patients [28,29].

DBP serves as an indicator of the vascular tone. A study has illustrated that elevated



levels of naturally occurring inflammatory mediators, such as nitrous oxide and TNF- α , are linked to the progression of severe sepsis, shock, or even mortality [30]. These cytokines and autocrine hormones have vasodilatory properties. Patients with sepsis who exhibit a normal mean SBP and low DBP are in a state of compensated vasodilation, which precedes a more evident cardiovascular collapse. In patients with trauma, there can be an initial mild shock leading to an increase in systemic venous resistance and subsequently higher DBP. However, when severe and ongoing bleeding occurs, resulting in a loss of over 20% - 30% of the total blood volume, systemic venous resistance may decrease, leading to failure of vascular compensation [31]. This, in turn, causes a decline in DBP. Therefore, a reduction in DBP in patients with trauma results in a substantial loss of blood volume. In other words, a lower DBP reflects a lower effective circulating volume at initial presentation and a high prevalence of AKI.

The mtDNA has a damage-associated molecular pattern (DAMP). In a landmark study in 2004, Collins et al [32]. found that injecting mtDNA into the joints of mice resulted in localized inflammation. Many studies have investigated the mechanism by which mtDNA affects the inflammatory cascade by acting as a DAMP. First, mtDNA is released into the cytoplasm or extracellular space via various mechanisms in various clinical settings. Various mitochondrial stresses, including bacterial and viral infections or trauma-induced cellular damage, can lead to the release of mtDNA associated with reactive oxygen species. Alternatively, activation of Bcl-2 associated X protein (BAX) and Bcl-2 homologous antagonist/killer (BAK) leads to outer mitochondrial membrane permeabilization (MOMP) and mtDNA release [33]. Pathogen-infected cells often secrete IL-1 β due to inflammasome activation. A recent report by Aarreberg et al. [34] discovers a link between IL-1 β secretion in infected cells, which can then activate a cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS) -stimulator of interferon genes (STING) -dependent type-I interferon response in surrounding bystander cells. Interestingly, IL-1B stimulation of bystander cells increases mitochondrial mass, decreases mitochondrial membrane potential, and induces mtDNA release.

Once cytoplasmic, mtDNA released from mitochondria can also bind the DNA sensing protein cGAS that catalyzes the production of the secondary messenger 2030 cyclic GMP - AMP (2030cGAMP) from adenosine triphosphate (ATP) and guanosine-5'-triphosphate (GTP). Cyclic guanosine monophosphate-adenosine monophosphate (cGAMP) binds to the adaptor molecule STING on the endoplasmic reticulum, leading to the activation of TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1). Active TBK1 phosphorylates the transcription factor



interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) initiating a type-I interferon response [33]. Elevated levels of type-I interferons can hinder B-cell reactions, possibly inducing the generation of immunosuppressive substances. Additionally, these elevated concentrations diminish the ability of macrophages to respond effectively to interferon-y activation [35].

Cytoplasmic mtDNA can enter the extracellular environment through necroptosis or platelet aggregation [36]. The released circulating mtDNA is detected by the TLR9 located on the neutrophil surface, which activates p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK). Subsequently, diverse transcriptional factors including nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells is activated via MAPK, and thereby a cellular response is induced. Cellular responses include the expression of cytokines or adhesion molecules that accelerate inflammation and diapedesis of immune effector cells. In addition, released IL-1 β also contributes to systemic inflammatory responses.

Therefore, we hypothesized that serum mtDNAcn level may be a predictor of MODS. However, we did not observe any difference in serum mtDNAcn levels between patients with and without MODS. Nakashira et al. [5] reported that circulating mtDNA levels were associated with mortality in medical ICU patients. Harrington et al. [36] reported that 11 out of 16 studies reported a significant association between circulating mtDNA levels and mortality among critically ill patients in their systematic review. These discrepancies between studies on critically ill patients, including that of our study, although our outcome was not mortality, may be due to the indirectness of the participants and small number of participants.

Mitochondria are pivotal contributors to AKI, serving a dual function as the primary energy source for cells and a crucial controller of cell death processes. Initially, alterations in the mitochondrial structure were detected in AKI cases. A primary cause of AKI is ischemia, which leads to a reduction in the number of mitochondria and causes structural changes in these organelles, characterized by swelling and loss of the inner mitochondrial membrane cristae. These changes occur because of diminished ATP levels and a decline in membrane potential [37]. Furthermore, the opening of mitochondrial permeability transition pores (mPTP) is a pivotal event resulting from mitochondrial swelling and dysfunction. This event significantly contributes to AKI progression by releasing proapoptotic substances such as cytochrome c, which can trigger apoptosis in renal cells [38]. Second, an imbalance in the regulation of mitochondrial fission and fusion has been linked to AKI progression. In this context, dynamin-related protein 1, which is responsible for controlling mitochondrial fission, exhibits swift activation, whereas mitofusin and optic atrophy 1,



which oversee mitochondrial fusion, experience a reduction in their levels during AKI. This process leads to fragmentation of mitochondria [39]. Third, the released mtDNA has the ability to bind to the DNA-sensing protein cGAS, triggering a sequence of events, as described earlier. Fourth, oxidative stress produces mitochondrial reactive oxygen species, which can destroy renal cells [40]. Finally, the release of mtDNA as one of DAMPs from mitochondria into the extracellular space accelerates a vicious spiral of renal cellular damage [41]. Urinary mtDNA levels can be elevated because of AKI-related mitochondrial dysfunction. Hu et al. [41] reported that urinary mtDNA levels were associated with new-onset AKI in surgical ICU patients, which is consistent with our results. However, Whitaker et al. [42] showed that urinary mtDNA levels did not differ between AKI and non-AKI groups after cardiac surgery. Additional research is needed to gain a more comprehensive understanding of the predictive potential of urinary mtDNA for AKI as variations and inconsistencies persist among studies.

Although serum β 2-MG was not an independent predictor of AKI and MODS (p=0.053) in the multivariate analysis in this study, it could be a candidate of predictors for AKI and MODS. β 2-MG is filtered by glomeruli and subsequently reabsorbed in the proximal tubule. Serum B2-MG is independent of muscle mass and starts increasing early in kidney failure. β 2-MG is present in all nucleated cells [43] and is not affected by sex or age [44]. These properties may make β 2-MG an ideal endogenous biomarker for estimating the glomerular filtration rate. Tubular injury leads to decreased reabsorption of β 2-MG and tubular enzymes, leading to elevated urinary concentrations. Thus, these markers may act as functional markers of tubular damage and fibrosis. In addition, β 2-MG may be related to inflammation. Although not fully understood, β 2-MG may induce IL-1 β and IL-18 macrophages nod-like release by in а caspase-1and receptor family pyrin-domain-containing 3-dependent manner [45]. Further investigation is necessary to determine the association between B2-MG and adverse outcomes.

Our study has several limitations. First, our sample size and the number of patients with MODS were small (n=18). Second, several methodological factors may have influenced our results. For example, although we prepared samples within 2 hours of collection, we cannot rule out the possibility that slight differences in the timing of sample collection could influence the associations within the groups (AKI vs. no AKI, MODS vs. no MODS). Moreover, slight differences in mtDNA measurements can occur throughout the process, from sample collection to qPCR. Third, although we considered many variables for the multivariate analysis, some possible factors could have been missed. Finally, our



study included surgical patients admitted to the ICU, both trauma and non-trauma patients. The mechanism of MODS development may differ between patients, depending on whether it is related to infection or sterile inflammation. This may have influenced the results of this study.

Despite these limitations, our study is meaningful because it is a rare prospective observational study that comprehensively analyzed biomarkers to predict MODS and AKI in critically ill surgical patients. SBP, initial neutrophil count, and platelet count were independent predictors of MODS in critically ill patients. In addition, we found that DBP and initial urine mtDNAcn levels were independent predictors of AKI in critically ill surgical patients. Large-scale multicenter prospective studies are needed to confirm our results.

5. Conclusions

Despite these limitations, our study is meaningful because it is a rare prospective observational study that comprehensively analyzed biomarkers to predict MODS and AKI in critically ill surgical patients. SBP, initial neutrophil count, and platelet count were independent predictors of MODS in critically ill patients. In addition, we found that DBP and initial urine mtDNAcn levels were independent predictors of AKI in critically ill surgical patients. Large-scale multicenter prospective studies are needed to confirm our results.



References

1. Rewa, O.; Bagshaw, S.M. Acute kidney injury-epidemiology, outcomes and economics. Nat. Rev. Nephrol. 2014, 10, 193 - 207.

2. Umegaki, T.; Ikai, H.; Imanaka, Y. The impact of acute organ dysfunction on patients' mortality with severe sepsis. J. Anaesthesiol.

Clin. Pharmacol. 2011, 27, 180 - 184.

3. Pyle, A.; Burn, D.J.; Gordon, C.; Swan, C.; Chinnery, P.F.; Baudouin, S.V. Fall in circulating mononuclear cell mitochondrial DNA content in human sepsis. Intensive Care Med. 2010, 36, 956 - 962.

 Che, R.; Yuan, Y.; Huang, S.; Zhang, A. Mitochondrial dysfunction in the pathophysiology of renal diseases. Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol. 2014, 306, F367 - F378.
 Nakahira, K.; Kyung, S.Y.; Rogers, A.J.; Gazourian, L.; Youn, S.; Massaro, A.F.; Quintana, C.; Osorio, J.C.; Wang, Z.; Zhao, Y.; et al.

Circulating mitochondrial DNA in patients in the icu as a marker of mortality: Derivation and validation. PLoS Med. 2013, 10, e1001577, discussion e1001577.

6. Ho, P.W.; Pang, W.F.; Luk, C.C.; Ng, J.K.; Chow, K.M.; Kwan, B.C.; Li, P.K.; Szeto, C.C. Urinary mitochondrial DNA level as a biomarker of acute kidney injury severity. Kidney Dis. 2017, 3, 78 - 83.

7. Tran, M.; Tam, D.; Bardia, A.; Bhasin, M.; Rowe, G.C.; Kher, A.; Zsengeller, Z.K.; Akhavan-Sharif, M.R.; Khankin, E.V.; Saintgeniez, M.; et al. Pgc-1alpha promotes recovery after acute kidney injury during systemic inflammation in mice. J. Clin. Investig. 2011, 121, 4003 - 4014.

8. Emma, F.; Montini, G.; Parikh, S.M.; Salviati, L. Mitochondrial dysfunction in inherited renal disease and acute kidney injury. Nat. Rev. Nephrol. 2016, 12, 267 - 280.

9. Krysko, D.V.; Agostinis, P.; Krysko, O.; Garg, A.D.; Bachert, C.; Lambrecht, B.N.; Vandenabeele, P. Emerging role of damage-associated molecularpatterns derived from mitochondria in inflammation. Trends Immunol. 2011, 32, 157 - 164.

Zhang, Q.; Raoof, M.; Chen, Y.; Sumi, Y.; Sursal, T.; Junger, W.; Brohi, K.; Itagaki, K.; Hauser, C.J. Circulating mitochondrial damps cause inflammatory responses to injury. Nature 2010, 464, 104 - 107.

11. Sursal, T.; Stearns-Kurosawa, D.J.; Itagaki, K.; Oh, S.Y.; Sun, S.; Kurosawa, S.; Hauser, C.J. Plasma bacterial and mitochondrial DNA distinguish bacterial sepsis from sterile systemic inflammatory response syndrome and quantify inflammatory tissue injury in nonhuman primates. Shock 2013, 39, 55 - 62.



12. Cheung, A.K.; Rocco, M.V.; Yan, G.; Leypoldt, J.K.; Levin, N.W.; Greene, T.; Agodoa, L.; Bailey, J.; Beck, G.J.; Clark, W.; et al. Serum beta-2 microglobulin levels predict mortality in dialysis patients: Results of the hemo study. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 2006, 17, 546 - 555.

13. Wang, R.; Hu, H.; Hu, S.; He, H.; Shui, H. β2-microglobulin is an independent indicator of acute kidney injury and outcomes in patients with intracerebral hemorrhage. Medicine 2020, 99, e19212.

14. Yilmaz, B.; Koklu, S.; Yuksel, O.; Arslan, S. Serum beta 2-microglobulin as a biomarker in inflammatory bowel disease. World J. Gastroenterol. 2014, 20, 10916 - 10920.

15. Yang, J.; Qian, J.; Wezeman, M.; Wang, S.; Lin, P.; Wang, M.; Yaccoby, S.; Kwak, L.W.; Barlogie, B.; Yi, Q. Targeting beta2-microglobulin for induction of tumor apoptosis in human hematological malignancies. Cancer Cell 2006, 10, 295 - 307.

16. Kong, T.; Park, Y.S.; Lee, H.S.; Kim, S.; Lee, J.W.; You, J.S.; Chung, H.S.; Park, I.; Chung, S.P. The delta neutrophil index predicts development of multiple organ dysfunction syndrome and 30-day mortality in trauma patients admitted to an intensive care unit: A retrospective analysis. Sci. Rep. 2018, 8, 17515.

17. Mehta, R.L.; Kellum, J.A.; Shah, S.V.; Molitoris, B.A.; Ronco, C.; Warnock, D.G.; Levin, A.; Acute Kidney Injury, N. Acute kidney injury network: Report of an initiative to improve outcomes in acute kidney injury. Crit. Care 2007, 11, R31.

18. Rosa, H.; Malik, A.N. Accurate measurement of cellular and cell-free circulating mitochondrial DNA content from human blood samples using real-time quantitative pcr. Methods Mol. Biol. 2021, 2277, 247 - 268.

Wallace, D.C. Mitochondrial diseases in man and mouse. Science 1999, 283, 1482
 - 1488.

20. Song, M.J.; Lee, S.H.; Leem, A.Y.; Kim, S.Y.; Chung, K.S.; Kim, E.Y.; Jung, J.Y.; Kang, Y.A.; Kim, Y.S.; Chang, J.; et al. Predictors and outcomes of sepsis-induced cardiomyopathy in critically ill patients. Acute Crit. Care 2020, 35, 67 - 76.

21. Holder, A.L.; Gupta, N.; Lulaj, E.; Furgiuele, M.; Hidalgo, I.; Jones, M.P.; Jolly, T.; Gennis, P.; Birnbaum, A. Predictors of early progression to severe sepsis or shock among emergency department patients with nonsevere sepsis. Int. J. Emerg. Med. 2016, 9, 10.

22. Fry, D.E.; Pearlstein, L.; Fulton, R.L.; Polk, H.C., Jr. Multiple system organ failure. The role of uncontrolled infection. Arch. Surg. 1980, 115, 136 - 140.

23. Tsukamoto, T.; Chanthaphavong, R.S.; Pape, H.C. Current theories on the



pathophysiology of multiple organ failure after trauma. Injury 2010, 41, 21 - 26.

Botha, A.J.; Moore, F.A.; Moore, E.E.; Kim, F.J.; Banerjee, A.; Peterson, V.M.
 Postinjury neutrophil priming and activation: An early vulnerable window. Surgery 1995, 118, 358 - 364, discussion 364 - 355.

25. Moore, E.E.; Moore, F.A.; Harken, A.H.; Johnson, J.L.; Ciesla, D.; Banerjee, A. The two-event construct of postinjury multiple organ failure. Shock 2005, 24 (Suppl. S1), 71 - 74.

26. Llompart-Pou, J.A.; Talayero, M.; Homar, J.; Royo, C.; Grupo de trabajo de Trauma y Neurointensivismo de SEMICYUC. Multiorgan failure in the serious trauma patient. Med. Intensiva 2014, 38, 455 - 462.

27. Venkata, C.; Kashyap, R.; Farmer, J.C.; Afessa, B. Thrombocytopenia in adult patients with sepsis: Incidence, risk factors, and its association with clinical outcome. J. Intensive Care 2013, 1, 9.

Vanderschueren, S.; De Weerdt, A.; Malbrain, M.; Vankersschaever, D.; Frans, E.;
 Wilmer, A.; Bobbaers, H. Thrombocytopenia and prognosis in intensive care. Crit. Care
 Med. 2000, 28, 1871 - 1876.

29. Akca, S.; Haji-Michael, P.; de Mendonca, A.; Suter, P.; Levi, M.; Vincent, J.L. Time course of platelet counts in critically ill patients. Crit. Care Med. 2002, 30, 753 - 756.

30. Terregino, C.A.; Lopez, B.L.; Karras, D.J.; Killian, A.J.; Arnold, G.K. Endogenous mediators in emergency department patients with presumed sepsis: Are levels associated with progression to severe sepsis and death? Ann. Emerg. Med. 2000, 35, 26 - 34.

31. Kirkman, E.; Watts, S. Haemodynamic changes in trauma. Br. J. Anaesth. 2014, 113, 266 - 275.

32. Collins, L.V.; Hajizadeh, S.; Holme, E.; Jonsson, I.M.; Tarkowski, A. Endogenously oxidized mitochondrial DNA induces in vivo and in vitro inflammatory responses. J. Leukoc. Biol. 2004, 75, 995 - 1000.

33. Riley, J.S.; Tait, S.W. Mitochondrial DNA in inflammation and immunity. EMBO Rep. 2020, 21, e49799.

34. Aarreberg, L.D.; Esser-Nobis, K.; Driscoll, C.; Shuvarikov, A.; Roby, .A.; Gale, M., Jr. Interleukin-1beta induces mtdna release to activate innate immune signaling via cgas-sting. Mol. Cell 2019, 74, 801 - 815.e806.

35. McNab, F.; Mayer-Barber, K.; Sher, A.; Wack, A.; O'Garra, A. Type i interferons in infectious disease. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2015, 15, 87 - 103.

36. Harrington, J.S.; Huh, J.W.; Schenck, E.J.; Nakahira, K.; Siempos, I.I.; Choi, A.M.K.



Circulating mitochondrial DNA as predictor of mortality in criticallyill patients: A systematic review of clinical studies. Chest 2019, 156, 1120 - 1136.

37. Lan, R.; Geng, H.; Singha, P.K.; Saikumar, P.; Bottinger, E.P.; Weinberg, J.M.; Venkatachalam, M.A. Mitochondrial pathology and glycolytic shift during proximal tubule atrophy after ischemic aki. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 2016, 27, 3356 - 3367.

38. Wakabayashi, T.; Karbowski, M. Structural changes of mitochondria related to apoptosis. Biol. Signals Recept. 2001, 10, 26 - 56.

39. Yan, Y.; Ma, Z.; Zhu, J.; Zeng, M.; Liu, H.; Dong, Z. Mir-214 represses mitofusin-2 to promote renal tubular apoptosis in ischemic acute kidney injury. Am. J. Physiol. Renal Physiol. 2020, 318, F878 - F887.

40. Zhang, X.; Agborbesong, E.; Li, X. The role of mitochondria in acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease and its therapeutic potential. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2021, 22, 1253.

41. Hu, Q.; Ren, J.; Wu, J.; Li, G.; Wu, X.; Liu, S.; Wang, G.; Gu, G.; Ren, H.; Hong, Z.; et al. Urinary mitochondrial DNA levels identify acute kidney injury in surgical critical illness patients. Shock 2017, 48, 11 - 17.

42. Whitaker, R.M.; Stallons, L.J.; Kneff, J.E.; Alge, J.L.; Harmon, J.L.; Rahn, J.J.; Arthur, J.M.; Beeson, C.C.; Chan, S.L.; Schnellmann, R.G. Urinary mitochondrial DNA is a biomarker of mitochondrial disruption and renal dysfunction in acute kidney injury. Kidney Int. 2015, 88, 1336 - 1344.

43. Woitas, R.P.; Stoffel-Wagner, B.; Poege, U.; Schiedermaier, P.; Spengler, U.; Sauerbruch, T. Low-molecular weight proteins as markers for glomerular filtration rate. Clin. Chem. 2001, 47, 2179 - 2180.

44. Filler, G.; Priem, F.; Lepage, N.; Sinha, P.; Vollmer, I.; Clark, H.; Keely, E.; Matzinger, M.; Akbari, A.; Althaus, H.; et al.Beta-trace protein, cystatin c, beta(2)-microglobulin, and creatinine compared for detecting impaired glomerular filtration rates in children. Clin. Chem. 2002, 48, 729 - 736.

45. Hofbauer, D.; Mougiakakos, D.; Broggini, L.; Zaiss, M.; Buttner-Herold, M.; Bach, C.; Spriewald, B.; Neumann, F.; Bisht, S.; Nolting, J.; et al. Beta(2)-microglobulin triggers nlrp3 inflammasome activation in tumor-associated macrophages to promote multiple myeloma progression. Immunity 2021, 54, 1772 - 1787.e9.



Appendices

Table S1. Differences in daily changes in biomarkers between patients with and without multiorgan distress syndrome and those with and without acute kidney injury

		Day 0	Day 1	Day 2	Day 3
	l	MODS	-	-	
Serum	No MODS	716.0 \pm	$586.3 \pm$	$666.0 \pm$	$756.1 \pm$
		1357.6	1198.8	1046.1 *	1160.5 *
	MODS	$1311.8~\pm$	975.6 ±	$1829.1 \hspace{0.1 in} \pm \hspace{0.1 in}$	$1563.9\ \pm$
(copies/µL)	MODS	1872.6	1829.5	2783.2 *	1884.1 *
Urine	No MODS	$1067.5 \pm$	$2825.3 \ \pm$	$872.7 \pm$	$785.1 \pm$
mtDNA on		1575.8	13276.1	1761.9	1266.9
	MODS	$3800.4 \pm$	$4298.2 \ \pm$	$950.7 \ \pm$	$677.7 \pm$
(copies/µL)	MODS	7298.9	10517.4	1650.4	961.8
Delta	No MODS	$4.1~\pm~8.4$	65 120	2.1 ± 5.5	0.7 ± 1.2
	NO MODS	*	0.3 ± 12.0	*	*
neutrophil	MODS	$9.8~\pm~12.2$	$13.8 \pm$	$10.8 \pm$	5.1 ± 12.1
index (%)	MODS	*	17.9	18.8 *	*
	No MODS	$10.8~\pm~5.2$	9.5 ± 2.9	70 ± 22	$6.8~\pm~2.1$
Neutrophil	NO MODS	*	*	7.9 ± 2.2	
(X^3) (10 ⁹ /L)	MODS	$7.8~\pm~3.8$	6.6 ± 3.4	8.8 ± 4.3	$7.2~\pm~3.0$
		*	*		
White blood	No MODS	$12.8~\pm~5.6$	11.0 ± 3.3	94 + 23	$8.4~\pm~2.2$
cell count		*	*	9.4 ± 2.3	
(\mathbf{Y}_2) $(100/\mathbf{I})$	MODS	$10.0~\pm~4.9$	7.8 ± 3.7	00 ± 18	$8.5~\pm~3.4$
(X3) (109/L)	WIODS	*	*	9.9 ± 4.8	
Serum B2	No MODS	2.5 ± 2.0	2.4 ± 1.5	$2.4~\pm~1.3$	$2.4~\pm~1.3$
microglobulin		*	*	*	*
(ma/L)	MODS	4.2 ± 4.1	$4.0~\pm~3.1$	$4.0~\pm~2.7$	$4.5~\pm~3.4$
(mg/L)		*	*	*	*
Urine β2 microglobulin (mg/L)	No MODS	5.9 ± 11.0	$15.6 \pm$	$19.6 \pm$	$13.2 \pm$
			23.8	23.1	16.9
	MODS	46 + 50	$34.4 \pm$	40.4 \pm	$31.2 \pm$
		4.0 ± 5.0	37.0	42.3	33.4
AKI					
Serum	No AKI	$532.6 \pm$	$659.0 \pm$	$533.8 \pm$	$614.9 \pm$
mtDNA cn	110 / 1111	812.3	1416.0	855.5 *	903.8
(copies/µL)	AKI	$1251.4~\pm$	$672.3 \pm$	$1255.8~\pm$	$1200.1~\pm$



		2027.6	1345.1	2121.6 *	1685.2
Urine mtDNA cn (copies/µL)	No AKI	561.4 \pm	$2790.1~\pm$	$723.4~\pm$	$765.7 \pm$
	NO AKI	349.9 *	14836.7	1885.2 *	1387.9
	AKI	$3001.8~\pm$	$3798.2 \pm$	$995.8~\pm$	$728.3 \pm$
		5424.5 *	10030.2	1503.9 *	975.3
Delta	No AKI	2.7 ± 4.8 *	5.8 ± 11.3	1.5 ± 4.2 *	$0.6~\pm~1.1$
neutrophil		7.3 ± 11.0	10.6 ±	7.1 ± 14.4	
index (%)	AKI	*	15.8	*	3.0 ± 8.6
Neutrophil	No AKI	11.2 ± 4.7	9.6 ± 2.9	80 + 20	67 + 23
	NO AKI	*	*	8.0 ± 2.0	0 ± 2.0 6.7 ± 2.3
(X^3) (10 ⁹ /L)	A K I	9.3 ± 5.2	7.9 ± 3.5	70 ± 33	70 + 24
	AKI	*	*	7.9 ± 3.3	$/.0 \pm 2.4$
White blood	No AKI	$15.1 \pm$	11.2 ± 3.3	96 ± 21	81 + 21
cell count	NO AKI	13.4	*	9.0 ± 2.1	0.4 ± 2.4
(\mathbf{V}^3) (10%)	A 1/ I	116 ± 50	9.2 ± 3.9	0.2 ± 3.8	83 + 27
(X) (10/L)	AKI	11.0 ± 5.9	*	9.2 ± 5.8	8.3 ± 2.7
Serum β2 microglobulin (mg/L)	No AKI	2.1 ± 1.4	2.1 ± 1.0	$2.1~\pm~1.0$	$2.2~\pm~0.9$
	NU AKI	*	*	*	*
	AKI	3.4 ± 3.4	3.1 ± 2.4	3.2 ± 2.2	3.5 ± 2.7
	AKI	*	*	*	*
Urine β2 microglobulin (mg/L)	No AVI	62 ± 10.6	13.1 ±	$13.0 \pm$	$7.6~\pm~10.5$
	NO AKI	0.2 ± 10.0	22.9	18.3 *	*
		5.2 ± 0.0	$26.6 \pm$	$37.3 \pm$	$27.7 \pm$
		5.5 ± 9.9	32.3	33.8 *	27.6 *

* Statistically significant.

MODS, multi-organ distress syndrome; mtDNAcn, mitochondrial DNA copy number; AKI, acute kidney injury.





Figure S1. Daily change in serum mitochondrial DNA copy number (copies/µL) between patients with and without multiorgan distress syndrome MODS, multiorgan distress syndrome



Urine mitochondrial DNA copy number

Figure S2. Daily change in urine mitochondrial DNA copy number (copies/µL) between patients with and without multiorgan distress syndrome MODS, multiorgan distress syndrome





Figure S3. Daily change in delta neutrophil index (%) between patients with and without multiorgan distress syndrome MODS, multiorgan distress syndrome



Figure S4. Daily change in neutrophil count (X^3) $(10^9/L)$ between patients with and without multiorgan distress syndrome MODS, multiorgan distress syndrome





Figure S5. Daily change in white blood cell count (X^3) $(10^9/L)$ between patients with and without multiorgan distress syndrome MODS, multiorgan distress syndrome



Figure S6. Daily change in serum $\beta 2$ microglobulin (mg/L) between patients with and without multiorgan distress syndrome MODS, multiorgan distress syndrome





Figure S7. Daily change in urine $\beta 2$ microglobulin (mg/L) between patients with and without multiorgan distress syndrome MODS, multiorgan distress syndrome



Figure S8. Daily change in serum mitochondrial DNA copy number (copies/µL) between patients with and without acute kidney injury AKI, acute kidney injury





Figure S9. Daily change in urine mitochondrial DNA copy number (copies/µL) between patients with and without acute kidney injury AKI, acute kidney injury



Figure S10. Daily change in delta neutrophil index (%) between patients with and without acute kidney injury AKI, acute kidney injury





Figure S11. Daily change in neutrophil count (X^3) $(10^9/L)$ between patients with and without acute kidney injury AKI, acute kidney injury



Figure S12. Daily change in white blood cell count (X^3) $(10^9/L)$ between patients with and without acute kidney injury AKI, acute kidney injury





Figure S13. Daily change in serum β 2 microglobulin (mg/L) between patients with and without acute kidney injury AKI, acute kidney injury



Figure S14. Daily change in urine $\beta 2$ microglobulin (mg/L) between patients with and without acute kidney injury AKI, acute kidney injury

Abstract in Korean

수술을 받은 외과 중환자의 다발성 장기 부전 증후군 및 급성 신장 손상을 예측하는 생체표지자

배경 및 목적: 수술을 받은 외과 중환자는 급성 신장 손상(AKI) 및 다발성 장기 부 전 증후군(MODS)을 포함한 다양한 수술 후 합병증에 취약하다. 이러한 합병증은 환 자의 고통을 심화시키고 이환율과 사망률을 크게 증가시킨다. 본 연구는 수술을 받은 외과 중환자의 급성 신장 손상 및 다발성 장기 부전 증후군을 예측하기 위한 생체표 지자를 식별하는 것을 목표로 하였다.

재료 및 방법: 2022년 7월부터 2023년 7월까지 응급실을 통해 중환자실에 입원한 수술을 받은 외과중환자를 전향적으로 등록하였다. 총 83명의 환자를 모집하고 해당 데이터를 분석에 사용하였다. 초기 발현 시 크레아티닌 청소율 감소를 보인 3명의 환 자는 급성 신장 손상 분석에서 제외되었다. 백혈구(WBC) 수, 호중구 수, 델타 호중구 지수, 소변 및 혈청 β2-마이크로글로불린, 소변 혈청 미토콘드리아 DNA 복제 수 (mtDNAcn)를 포함한 환자 특성 및 실험실 매개변수를 분석하여 급성 신장 손상 및 다발성 장기 부전 증후군을 예측함에 있어서 신뢰할 수 있는 생체표지자를 결정했다.

결과: 로지스틱 회귀 모델에 따르면 수축기 혈압(SBP), 초기 호중구 수, 혈소판 수 등의 매개변수가 다발성 장기 부전 증후군과 독립적으로 상관관계가 있었다. 수추기 혈압, 초기 호중구 수, 혈소판 수에 대한 최적 컷오프 값은 각각 113mmHg(민감도 66.7%, 특이도 73.9%), 8.65(X³)(10⁹/L)(민감도 72.2%, 특이도 64.6%), 195.0(X³) (10⁹/L)(민감도 66.7%, 특이도 81.5%)이었다. 로지스틱 회귀 모델에 따르면 확장기 혈 압(DBP)과 초기 소변 미토콘드리아 DNA 복제 수(mtDNAcn)는 급성 신장 손상과 독 립적으로 상관관계가 있었다. 이완기 혈압 및 초기 소변 미토콘드리아 DNA 복제 수 (mtDNAcn)에 대한 최적 컷오프 값은 각각 68.5mmHg(민감도 61.1%, 특이도 79.5%) 및 1225.6 복제 수/µL(민감도 55.6%, 특이도 95.5%)이었다.

결론: 수축기혈압(SBP), 초기 호중구 수, 혈소판 수는 수술을 받는 중증 환자의 다 발성 장기 부전 증후군을 예측하는 독립적인 변수였다. 이완기 혈압(DBP)와 초기 소 변 미토콘드리아 DNA 복제 수(mtDNAcn) 수치는 수술을 받은 외과중환자의 급성 신 장 손상을 독립적으로 예측하는 인자였다.

핵심되는 말: 급성 신장 손상; 다발성 장기 부전 증후군; 생체표지자; 미토콘드리아 DNA