



## Original Article


## Evaluation of abrasion for non-abrasive denture cleanser

Sang-Min Lee<sup>1</sup> · Ji-Hyun Min<sup>2</sup> · Jong-Hoon Choi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Good Life Dental Hospital

<sup>2</sup>Department of Dental Hygiene, College of Medical and Health Sciences, Cheongju University

<sup>3</sup>Department of Orofacial Pain and Oral Medicine, Oral Science Research Center, Dental Hospital of Yonsei University College of Dentistry



**Corresponding Author:** Jong-Hoon Choi, Department of Orofacial Pain and Oral Medicine, College of Dentistry, Yonsei University, 50-1 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul, 03722, Korea. Tel : +82-2-2228-3113, Fax : +82-2-393-5673, E-mail : jhchoij@yuhs.ac.kr

**Received:** February 05, 2021

**Revised:** March 30, 2021

**Accepted:** March 30, 2021

### ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** To compare and evaluate the degree of abrasion of the denture base resin according to the type of denture cleansers. **Methods:** Denture base resin specimens were prepared and dried. The resin specimens were installed in the automatic brushing machine so that the toothbrush weighed 200 g. The brushing was performed 1,000 times each, a total of 10,000 times using (1) distilled water (DW), (2) non-abrasive cleanser (NAC), and (3) toothpaste (TP), respectively. Thirty specimens were allocated for each group. The thickness of abrasion by brushing was calculated by converting the weight of the specimen. **Results:** In all DW, NAC, and TP groups, significant differences were found in the average amount of abrasion of the resin specimen due to 1,000 to 10,000 brushings ( $p < 0.001$ ). The average abrasion amount of the resin specimen due to brushing 10,000 times was  $2.31 \pm 1.20 \mu\text{m}$  in DW group,  $2.52 \pm 0.25 \mu\text{m}$  in NAC group,  $6.50 \pm 0.60 \mu\text{m}$  in TP group, and the amount of abrasion in the TP group was statistically significant compared to other groups ( $p < 0.001$ ). **Conclusions:** The use of TP is not recommended as a method for maintaining the longevity of dentures and for oral health, and NAC was considered to be possible to be used as a denture cleanser because it had a similar amount of abrasion of that of DW.

**Key Words:** Aged, Denture cleanser, Non-abrasive, Toothbrush abrasion

## Introduction

Development of the modern society and advancements in medical technologies have improved the living conditions and extended the human life expectancy. In 2020, 15.7% of the total population in Korea was aged above 65 years [1]. The rapid increase in the proportion of the elderly population has highlighted the importance of health problems and the quality of life of the elderly. In particular, oral health problems are closely related to dietary habits and are an essential factor for maintaining a healthy life [2].

With the growing aging population and the growing demand and demand for dentures known as 'second teeth', starting in July 2012, full denture benefits have begun to be offered to seniors aged 75 and over in South Korea. In July 2016, the age cutoff for availing this benefit was lowered to 65 years, thus further expanding the scope of health insurance coverage for dentures. In a 2018 survey, it was found that approximately 4 million elderly wore dentures. Hence, oral hygiene and appropriate management of dentures are important to maintain restored oral health for a prolonged period [3]. However, Moskona and Kaplan [4] reported that only 16.7% of denture wearers clean their dentures properly. Moreover, Jagger and Harrison [5] reported that some old adults have difficulties in cleaning the dentures and wear unhygienic dentures.

Two methods are commonly used for cleaning dentures: physical and chemical. Physical cleaning methods include washing under running water, cleaning with salt or toothpaste, soap, or ultrasonic cleanser [6]. In a previous study on the methods of denture cleaning in Korea, 33.6% of the elderly reported using a toothpaste with brush for cleaning, while 24.8% washed their dentures under running cold water [7]. Heath et al. [8] reported that brushing with water does not effectively remove the discoloration and organic residues on the dentures and recommended using toothpaste with low abrasion to clean the dentures adequately. Chemical cleaning methods include using commercially available disinfecting pill products or soaking in chlorhexidine solution [9,10]. However, Hwang and Shin [11] contended that the chemical cleaning methods cannot completely replace the effects of brushing in removing the foreign substances.

In general, toothpaste for cleaning the tooth surface contains abrasive ingredients that can abrade and scratch the surface of dentures, which are softer than natural teeth [12]. In a previous study, brushing the surface of self-curing denture base resin with toothpaste increased the roughness compared to brushing with soap, disinfecting pill, mouthwash, and ethanol [12]. Microorganisms can deposit in these rough surfaces; therefore, care is necessary [13].

To date, there is no toothpaste available in Korea for cleaning dentures, and there are no clearly established standards. Therefore, this study evaluated the depth of abrasion and the morphological changes in the dentures after using liquid-type non-abrasive denture cleanser (NAC) with no abrasive ingredients and enhanced cleaning power with vegetable surfactants to provide basic data about the selection and use of denture cleaners.

## Methods

### 1. Materials

Self-curing denture base resins (ProBase cold, Ivoclar vivadent, Schaan, Fürstentum Liechtenstein) were mixed according to the manufacturer's instructions and placed in a rectangular Teflon mold (15 mm × 8 mm × 7 mm) to create resin blocks. The surface of the resins was polished step-by-step to 1,200 grit using sandpapers (Allied high-tech products, Rancho Dominguez, CA, USA). The resin specimen was cleaned and stored in a humidifier (Dry keeper C-3W, Sanplatec Corp., Osaka, Japan) for complete drying. The weight of the resin specimen was measured for 3 days, every 24 hours, using an electronic scale (PAG214, OHAUS corporation, USA) with a measurement range of 10<sup>-4</sup> to 210 g. When the difference in weight compared to the previous measurement was less than 0.001 g, the weight was set as the baseline weight ( $W_0$  [g]). The types of cleaning agents that were evaluated included distilled water (DW), NAC (Dr. Choi's Toothpaste Care, Dr. Choi's KOREA Co., Ltd., Seoul, Korea), toothpaste (TP, Perio Cavity Care, LG Household & Health, Daejeon, Korea). A total of 30 specimens were assigned to each group.

### 2. Methods

#### 1) Brushing and abrasion evaluation of specimens

An automatic toothbrushing machine (V8 Cross brushing machine, Sabri Co., USA) with a back-and-forth motion was used for brushing. Toothpaste was mounted on a toothbrush (Butler GUM Classic toothbrush #211, Sunstar Americas, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for 200 g of total weight. DW and NAC, which are in liquid form, were placed in the chamber as such. TP was placed in the chamber in the form of a slurry in which TP and DW were mixed in a ratio of 1:3. One specimen was installed in each chamber, and the chamber was filled so that the specimen was completely immersed. A total of 10,000 counts of brushing, which is equivalent to brushing per year, was performed on the dentures, and abrasion of the resin specimen was measured 1,000 times for each toothpaste [14]. Each specimen was tested under the same conditions, and the liquid and toothbrush were changed every time the specimen was changed. After brushing was completed, the specimen was cleaned and dried in a humidistat. The weight was measured in the same manner as that for  $W_0$ , and the 10,000<sup>th</sup> weight was recorded as  $W_{10}$  (g). The thickness of the abraded specimen ( $T_L$ ) was calculated using the following equation [8,15].

$$\frac{T_L}{T_0} = \frac{W_0 - W_{10}}{W_0}$$

$T_0$  = Initial thickness of the specimen (mm)

$T_L$  = Thickness of the abrasion (mm)

$W_0$  = Thickness of the abraded specimen (g)

$W_{10}$  = Dried weight of the abraded specimen (g)

## 2) Assessment of the tooth surface using scanning electron microscopy

One resin specimen was randomly selected from each group, and the surface of the specimen after brushing was evaluated using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE SEM-S800; Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Each specimen was completely dried and coated with a gold sputter, to be observed at 100×.

## 3. Statistical analyses

Shapiro-Wilk normality test was performed to confirm that the normality of data was satisfied. The differences in mean  $T_L$  for each type of cleanser and the counts of brushing were assessed using one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc analysis. SPSS 23.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for all statistical analysis, and  $p < 0.05$  were considered statistically significant.

## Results

### 1. Abrasion according to the type of denture cleaner and count of brushing

The composition of the cleansers used in each group is shown in <Table 1>, and the  $T_L$  trend according to the count of brushing in each group is illustrated in <Fig. 1>.  $T_L$  after the 1,000<sup>th</sup> brushing was not significantly different between the NAC and TP groups. However,  $T_L$  after the 10,000<sup>th</sup> brushing was approximately 3 times higher in the TP group than in the DW and NAC groups, and there was no difference in  $T_L$  between the DW and NAC groups ( $p > 0.05$ ). In all the three groups, the  $T_L$  was significantly different between the 1,000<sup>th</sup> and 10,000<sup>th</sup> brushing ( $p < 0.001$ ). In the DW and NAC groups,  $T_L$  was sometimes showed no significant change as the count of brushing increased ( $p > 0.05$ ). However,  $T_L$  showed a significant change between the 1,000<sup>th</sup> and 10,000<sup>th</sup> brushing in the TP group ( $p < 0.05$ ) <Table 2>.

**Table 1.** Raw material information by denture cleanser group

Groups	Product name and brand	Ingredients
DW		DW 100%
NAC	Non-abrasive cleanser (NAC), Good toothpaste care, Doctor's Choice Korea	Sodium chloride, Xylitol, Aloe extract, Bamboo salt, Steviol glycoside, Glycerin, Mentha oil, Eucalytus oil, Ascorbic acid, Sodium hydrogen carbonate, Sodium cocoyl glutamate, Hydrogenated castor oil, Ubidecarenonoe, Phytocide flavor, DW
TP	Perio cavity care, LG Household & Health Care Ltd.	Calcium carbonate, Sodium monofluorophosphate, Glycerin, Sodium lauryl sulfate, Mono oleic acid sorbitan, Amorphous sorbitol solution 70%, Sodium saccharin, Disodium dihydrogen pyrophosphate, Zinc acetate, Xylitol, Sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, Hydrated silica (TIXOSIL43K), Perfume, DW

DW is distilled water. NAC is non-abrasive cleanser. TP is toothpaste.

**Table 2.** The change of thickness loss of resin specimen according to the number of brushing Unit :  $\mu\text{m}$ 

Division	N	TL1	TL2	TL3	TL4	TL5	TL6	TL7	TL8	TL9	TL10	$p^*$
DW	30	0.91 <sup>Aa</sup> (0.32)	1.90 <sup>b</sup> (0.19)	1.74 <sup>Ac</sup> (0.18)	2.00 <sup>Ad</sup> (0.23)	2.17 <sup>Aef</sup> (0.18)	2.13 <sup>ae</sup> (0.24)	2.21 <sup>Aghi</sup> (0.21)	2.21 <sup>Afhi</sup> (0.22)	2.19 <sup>Aei</sup> (0.20)	2.31 <sup>Aj</sup> (1.20)	<0.001
NAC	30	1.17 <sup>Ba</sup> (0.26)	1.85 <sup>b</sup> (0.19)	2.07 <sup>Bc</sup> (0.17)	2.01 <sup>Ac</sup> (0.22)	2.23 <sup>Ad</sup> (0.20)	2.38 <sup>Be</sup> (0.19)	2.37 <sup>Ae</sup> (0.20)	2.49 <sup>Bfg</sup> (0.26)	2.48 <sup>Bf</sup> (0.22)	2.52 <sup>Ag</sup> (0.25)	<0.001
TP	30	1.32 <sup>Ba</sup> (0.23)	1.94 <sup>b</sup> (0.71)	2.87 <sup>Cc</sup> (0.25)	3.94 <sup>Bd</sup> (0.36)	4.07 <sup>Be</sup> (0.37)	4.58 <sup>Cf</sup> (0.34)	4.96 <sup>Bg</sup> (0.37)	5.38 <sup>Ch</sup> (0.53)	5.92 <sup>Gi</sup> (0.55)	6.50 <sup>Bj</sup> (0.60)	<0.001
$p^\dagger$		<0.001	0.770	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	

All values indicate mean (standard deviation).


\*by one-way repeated measures ANOVA to compare the depth of abrasion of the specimen according to the number of brushing at  $\alpha=0.05$ .

<sup>a,j</sup>Different superscripts indicate that there was a statistically significant difference between the depth of abrasion of the specimen according to the number of brushing in each variable by Tukey post hoc test at  $\alpha=0.05$ .

<sup>†</sup>by one-way ANOVA to compare the difference in abrasion of type of denture cleanser at  $\alpha=0.05$ .

<sup>A,B,C</sup>Different superscripts indicate that there was a statistically significant difference between type of denture cleanser in each variable by Tukey post hoc test at  $\alpha=0.05$ .


DW is distilled water. NAC is non-abrasive cleanser. TP is toothpaste.



**Fig. 1.** The graph for change of the depth of abrasion of the specimen ( $T_L$ ) according to the number of brushing. DW is distilled water. NAC is Non-abrasive cleanser. TP is toothpaste.

## 2. Morphological assessment of the tooth surface

The specimen surface was assessed with SEM after the 10,000<sup>th</sup> brushing. Regular dents were observed in all specimens in the direction the toothbrush moved, and the surface of the TP group was rougher than that of the DW and NAC groups <Fig. 2>.



**Fig. 2.** Photograph of the surface of the abraded specimen obtained by scanning electronic microscope at magnification of 100X. A: distilled water (DW), B: non-abrasive cleanser (NAC), C: toothpaste (TP)

## Discussion

Prolonged use of unhygienic dentures can cause serious oral health problems such as stomatitis, gingivitis, bad breath, and opportunistic fungal infections [8,16-19]. In particular, bacteria such as *Candida albicans* can adhere to the surfaces of resins of the dentures and proliferate [16]. *Candida* carrier rates were 70% and 39%, respectively, with and without dentures, which was a statistically significant difference [20]. This can cause oral health issues, pneumonia, and infections of the other body organs, as well as systemic diseases such as a 4-times higher prevalence of cancer in the elderly with reduced immunity. Therefore, the cleanliness of the dentures must be maintained [8,17-19]. Removing the plaques from dentures and maintaining their hygiene is essential to prevent the other complications mentioned above [21]. However, the elderly might be unable to clean the dentures appropriately due to reasons such as decreased vision caused by aging, inadequate hand movements, and discomfort in walking [22]. In particular, the condition of the dentures of the elderly who are not interested in denture management or who have learned wrong information is inevitably poor. Therefore, simple methods and safe cleansers that can effectively remove the foreign substances and bacterial plaque on dentures without damaging them and affecting oral health would be necessary.

In this study, abrasions on dentures after cleaning with NAC was assessed. After 10,000 brushings, abrasion of the resin specimen in the NAC group ( $2.52 \pm 0.25 \mu\text{m}$ ) was 1/3 of that in the TP group ( $6.50 \pm 0.60 \mu\text{m}$ ), while it was similar to that in the DW group ( $2.31 \pm 1.20 \mu\text{m}$ ). Park et al. [15] reported that the average abrasion caused by soap solution and toothpaste was  $2.46 \mu\text{m}$  and  $13.85 \mu\text{m}$ , respectively, after 20,000 brushings. The frequency of abrasion caused by toothpaste was 5 times higher than that by the soap solution, which is consistent with our findings. Additionally, similar to our finding, previous studies reported that the decrease in weight of the resin specimen was approximately 5 times higher after brushing with toothpaste for 300 minutes compared to that by brushing with distilled water [23].

SEM was used to evaluate the surfaces of dentures, which revealed that the surface in the TP was rougher than in the other two groups. This is consistent with the finding of a previous study, which confirmed that brushing the denture resin with toothpaste leads to a rough surface compared to that by brushing with soap solution [12]. Moreover, our finding confirms that brushing the dentures with commercially available toothpaste leads to increased roughness of the surface than brushing with distilled water [24].

Tellefsen et al. [25] reported that brushing the dentures with toothpaste results in a high degree of abrasion of the denture surface, even when the force applied during brushing is weak. In other words, irrespective of the force applied during brushing, scratches are induced by the abrasive ingredients of toothpaste, and this can lead to the colonization of bacteria [13,25]. The main factors that affect the abrasion of the dental base resin are the size and hardness of abrasive materials in the cleansers and the intensity and speed of brushing [26]. Several previous studies on denture deformation and bacterial growth caused due to the abrasive material of toothpaste recommend using detergent for dentures or dish soap as the cleanser [27,28]. NAC evaluated in our study did not contain any abrasive materials and caused similar abrasion of the denture base resin as DW. Therefore, it is thought that NAC can be used as a new denture cleanser.

In all three groups,  $T_L$  significantly changed from the 1,000<sup>th</sup> to 10,000<sup>th</sup> brushing ( $p < 0.001$ ), and it is thought that the abrasion was inevitably caused by the physical motion of the toothbrush. Therefore, a soft denture brush or toothbrush for physical cleaning must be selected to minimize the damage to the denture surface [29].

In this study, abrasion caused by NACs was assessed. In future studies, the antibacterial effects and cytotoxicity of denture cleansers should also be assessed. Additionally, the cleansers should be tested on actual denture wearers to support the clinical studies for efficacy, safety, and evaluation of patient satisfaction.

## Conclusions

This study aimed to provide basic data about the selection and use of denture cleansers by evaluating the abrasion on denture base resins after using NAC. The following conclusions were made based on the results. The average  $T_L$  after brushing was  $2.31 \pm 1.20 \mu\text{m}$ ,  $2.52 \pm 0.25 \mu\text{m}$ , and  $6.50 \pm 0.60 \mu\text{m}$  in the DW, NAC, and TC groups, respectively. The average  $T_L$  was not significantly different between the DW and NAC groups ( $p > 0.05$ ). However, the  $T_L$  was significantly greater in the TC group than in the two other groups ( $p < 0.001$ ). The surface roughness was assessed using SEM, which demonstrated that the specimen of the TC group was rougher than that of DW and NAC groups. Based on these findings, toothpaste is not recommended to maintain the longevity of dentures and for oral health, and NACs would be ideal for cleaning the dentures.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

## Authorship

Conceptualization: SM Lee, JH Choi; Data collection: SM Lee, JH Choi; Data analysis: JH Min; Writing - original draft: SM Lee, JH Min, JH Choi; Writing - review & editing: SM Lee, JH Min

## References

- [1] Statistics Korea. 2020 Senior Statistics [Internet]. Statistics Korea; 2020.[cited 2021 Jan 29]. Available from: [http://kostat.go.kr/portal/korea/kor\\_nw/1/1/index.board?bmode=read&aSeq=385322](http://kostat.go.kr/portal/korea/kor_nw/1/1/index.board?bmode=read&aSeq=385322)
- [2] Hur IG, Lee TY, Dong JK, Hong SH. The effects of dental prosthesis to the quality of life among the elderly. *J Korean Acad Prosthodont* 2010;48(2):101-10.
- [3] Lee NS. A comparative study on denture cleansers for food stain removal on the denture of elders in domiciliary care. *J Korea Acad Industr Coop Soc* 2018;19(1):331-6. <https://doi.org/10.5762/KAIS.2018.19.1.331>
- [4] Moskona D, Kaplan I. Oral lesions in elderly denture wearers. *Clin Prev Dent* 1992;14(5):11-4.
- [5] Jagger DC, Harrison A. Denture cleansing—the best approach. *Br Dent J* 1995;178(11):413-7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4808788>
- [6] Gwinnett AJ, Caputo L. The effectiveness of ultrasonic denture cleaning: a scanning electron microscope study. *J Prosthet Dent* 1983;50:20-5. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913\(83\)90159-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913(83)90159-2)
- [7] Kim SJ, Kim SK, Noh KT, Ahn SJ, Kim JH, Kim KR, et al. Denture wearers' recognition for their oral health status, denture cleansing methods, and insurance health system. *J Korean Acad Prosthodont* 2018;56(4):287-94. <https://doi.org/10.4047/jkap.2018.56.4.287>
- [8] Heath JR, Davenport JC, Jones PA. The abrasion of acrylic resin by cleaning pastes. *J Oral Rehabil* 1983;10(2):159-75. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2842.1983.tb00110.x>
- [9] Silva-Lovato CH, Wever Bd, Adriaens E, Paranhos HdFO, Watanabe E, Pisani MX, et al. Clinical and antimicrobial efficacy of NitrAdine™-based disinfecting cleaning tablets in complete denture wearers. *J Appl Oral Sci* 2010;18(6):560-5. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1678-77572010000600005>
- [10] Nikawa H, Yamamoto T, Hamada T, Sadamori S, Agrawal S. Cleansing efficacy of commercial denture cleansers: ability to reduce candida albicans biofilm activity. *Int J Prosthodont* 1995;8:527-34.
- [11] Hwang JW, Shin SW. Denture cleansers. *Korea Clin Prev Dent* 1997;35:1-13.
- [12] Kiesow A, Sarembe S, Pizzey RL, Axe AS, Bradshaw DJ. Material compatibility and antimicrobial activity of consumer products commonly used to clean dentures. *J Prosthet Dent* 2016;115(2):189-98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2015.08.010>
- [13] Charman KM, Fernandez P, Loewy Z, Middleton AM. Attachment of *Streptococcus oralis* on acrylic substrates of varying roughness. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2009;48(4):472-7. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2008.02551.x>
- [14] Sexson JC, Phillips RW. Studies on the effects of abrasives on acrylic resins. *J Prosthet Dent* 1951;1:454-71. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913\(51\)90031-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3913(51)90031-5)
- [15] Park R, Shim JS, Han DH. Abrasion resistance of denture base resin including vinyloligosilsesquioxane. *J Korean Acad Prosthodont* 2003;41(5):626-39.
- [16] Salerno C, Pascale M, Contaldo M, Esposito V, Busciolano M, Milillo L, et al. Candida-associated denture stomatitis. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2011;16(2):e139-43. <https://doi.org/10.4317/medoral.16.e139>



- [17] Davenport JC. The oral distribution of candida in denture stomatitis. *Br Dent J* 1970;129(4):151-6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4802540>
- [18] Budtz-Jørgensen E. Clinical aspects of Candida infection in denture wearers. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1978;96:474-9. <https://doi.org/10.14219/jada.archive.1978.0088>
- [19] Nikawa H, Hamada H, Yamamoto T. Denture plaque - past and recent concerns. *J Dent* 1998;26(4):299-304. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0300-5712\(97\)00026-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0300-5712(97)00026-2)
- [20] Lee CG, Kim CW. A study on the prevalence and intra-oral distribution of candida albicans. *J Korean Acad Prosthodont* 1986;24(1):91-103.
- [21] Olsen I. Denture stomatitis. The clinical effects of chlorhexidine and amphotericin B. *Acta Odintol Scand* 1975;33(1):47-52. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00016357509004626>
- [22] Jainkittivong A, Aneksuk V, Langlais RP. Oral mucosal lesion in denture wearers. *Gerodontology* 2010;27(1):26-32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-2358.2009.00289.x>
- [23] Pisani MX, Bruhn JP, Paranhos HFO, Silva-Lovato CH, Souza RFd, Panzeri H. Evaluation of the abrasiveness of dentifrices for complete dentures. *J Prosthodont* 2010;19(5):369-73. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-849X.2010.00592.x>
- [24] Kang JK, Kim SH, Yoo EM, Choi HS, Choi YR, Kim KM. Surface changes of denture base resin according to two toothpastes and a kitchen detergent. *J Korean Soc Dent Hyg* 2012;12(3):611-20.
- [25] Tellefsen G, Liljeborg A, Johannse A, Johannsen G. The role of the toothbrush in the abrasion process. *Int J Dent Hyg* 2011;9:284-90. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5037.2011.00505.x>
- [26] Haselden CA, Hobkirk JA, Pearson GJ, Davies EH. A comparison between the wear resistance of three types of denture resin to three different dentifrices. *J Oral Rehabil* 1998;25:335-9. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2842.1998.00250.x>
- [27] Salles AES, Macedo LD, Fernandes RAG, Silva-Lovato CH, Paranhos HdFO. Comparative analysis of biofilm levels in complete upper and lower dentures after brushing associated with specific denture paste and neutral soap. *Gerodontology* 2007;24:217-23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-2358.2007.00169.x>
- [28] Kumar B, Sandhu PK, Kumar AN, Patil CP. A comparative study for plaque removing efficacy between commonly used denture cleansers in India. *J Indian Prosthodont Soc* 2017;17:295-300. [https://doi.org/10.4103/jips.jips\\_2\\_17](https://doi.org/10.4103/jips.jips_2_17)
- [29] Žilinskas J, Junevičius J, Česaitis K, Junevičiūtė G. The effect of cleaning substances on the surface of denture base material. *Med Sci Monit* 2013;19:1142-5. <https://doi.org/10.12659/MSM.889568>