scientific reports

OPEN



Renal histopathological predictors of end-stage kidney disease in ANCA-associated vasculitis with glomerulonephritis: a single-centre study in Korea

Sung-Eun Choi^{1,6}, Soo Bin Lee^{2,6}, Jung Yoon Pyo³, Sung Soo Ahn³, Jason Jungsik Song^{3,4}, Yong-Beom Park^{3,4}, Beom Jin Lim^{5,6} & Sang-Won Lee^{3,4,6}

This study investigated whether histopathological classification and histologic lesion scores could significantly and independently predict the progression to end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) in Korean patients with antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-associated vasculitis-glomerulonephritis (AAV-GN). This study included 113 patients with AAV-GN confirmed by kidney biopsy. The glomerular, tubulointerstitial, and vascular lesions were systematically assessed using a scoring system. The scoring system was adopted from the Banff scoring system but also the Oxford study and the revision of the ISN/RPS. For comparison, the scores were classified into two groups; the low, and the high, and the difference was investigated between ESKD and non-ESKD groups using Cox proportional analysis. At diagnosis, the median age was 59.0 years and 33.6% were males. Of 113 patients, 44.2% had ESKD progression during follow-up. There were significant differences in several kidney-, inflammation-, and AAV-pathogenesis-related variables between AAV-GN patients with ESKD and those without. The sclerotic class exhibited the worst renal prognosis among the four histopathological classes. Among histopathological features, high interstitial fibrosis, tubular atrophy and global glomerulitis scores were significantly associated with ESKD progression. Whereas multivariable Cox analysis revealed only a high global glomerulitis score which means global endocapillary hypercellularity in a larger number of glomeruli is an independent predictor of ESKD progression. Moreover, among clinical and histopathological features, a high global glomerulitis score could also predict ESKD progression in addition to serum blood urea nitrogen and creatinine. This study demonstrated the worst renal prognosis for the sclerotic class and first discovered that a high global glomerulitis score was an independent predictor of ESKD in patients with AAV-GN.

Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (ANCA)-associated vasculitis (AAV), one of two types of small vessel vasculitis, is characterised by necrotising vasculitis occurring primarily in capillaries, arterioles, and venules, and occasionally in medium-sized arteries¹. AAV has three traditional subtypes according to clinical, laboratory, radiological, and histological features: eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis (EGPA), granulomatosis with polyangiitis (GPA), and microscopic polyangiitis (MPA)¹⁻³. The role of ANCA in the pathogenesis of AAV has been described; given differences in the genetic background or clinical expression based on ANCA type, AAV may be divided into three subtypes: myeloperoxidase (MPO)-ANCA vasculitis, proteinase 3 (PR3)-ANCA

¹Department of Pathology, CHA University, CHA Bundang Medical Center, Seongnam-si, Kyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea. ²Department of Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ³Division of Rheumatology Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 50-1 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, Republic of Korea. ⁴Institute for Immunology and Immunological Diseases, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea. ⁵Department of Pathology, Gangnam Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 211 Eonju-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06273, Republic of Korea. ⁶These authors contributed equally: Sung-Eun Choi, Soo Bin Lee, Beom Jin Lim and Sang-Won Lee. [⊠]email: bjlim@yuhs.ac; sangwonlee@yuhs.ac

vasculitis, and ANCA-negative vasculitis⁴. In addition, the histopathological pattern of glomerulonephritis (GN) differs between MPO-ANCA and PR3-ANCA vasculitis⁵.

Among the three traditional AAV subtypes, the reported frequencies of renal involvement were up to 90% in patients with MPA, 50–80% in those with GPA, and 4–51% in those with EGPA⁶. A recent study reported that renal involvement was the most common manifestation, with a reported frequency of 58.2% in 182 Korean patients with AAV⁷. Another recent study observed a 27.8% frequency of end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) in Korean patients with AAV⁸. To date, several baseline risk factors for the progression to ESKD in patients with AAV have been reported including age, serum creatinine, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), blood pressure, the proportion of abnormal glomeruli, tubular atrophy, interstitial fibrosis on kidney biopsy^{9–11}.

In 2010, Berden et al. categorised ANCA-associated vasculitis and GN (AAV-GN) into four classes based on histopathological features: (i) focal class: ≥50% normal glomeruli; (ii) crescentic class: ≥50% glomeruli with cellular crescents; (iii) mixed class: < 50% normal, < 50% crescentic, and < 50% globally sclerotic glomeruli; and (iv) sclerotic class: \geq 50% globally sclerotic glomeruli. They also demonstrated higher kidney survival rates in the order of focal, crescentic, mixed, and sclerotic classes¹². A recent study provided information on the predictive potential for kidney outcomes of both histopathological and clinicopathologic classifications in Korean patients with AAV-GN13. However, the study had three limitations. First, no classification of AAV subtypes was performed in the two methods, the traditional subtypes and ANCA-based subtypes. Second, the multivariable analysis did not include MPO-ANCA (or perinuclear (P)-ANCA) and/or PR3-ANCA (or cytoplasmic (C)-ANCA) which are known to be associated with poor kidney outcomes. Third, the histological lesion scores were not described in detail. To overcome these issues, the present study included variables related to the five AAV-GN subtypes including EGPA-GN, GPA-GN, MPA-GN, renal limited vasculitis (RLV) and unclassifiable pauci-immune GN (UPIGN), and analysed their detailed histomorphologic features by adopting well-established histological parameters used in other kidney diseases. In addition to the ANCA type, this study also investigated whether histopathological classification and histologic lesion scores could significantly and independently predict the progression to ESKD in Korean patients with AAV-GN.

Patients and methods

Patients. This study retrospectively screened the medical records of 126 patients with AAV-GN, including five subtypes: EGPA-GN, GPA-GN, MPA-GN, RLV, and UPIGN. In patients who underwent kidney biopsy, RLV was defined as AAV with only crescentic glomerulonephritis and without any further involvement of major organs¹⁴. Conversely, in patients not undergoing kidney biopsy, RLV was classified in patients fulfilling all four requirements: (i) no kidney biopsy, (ii) no surrogate marker suggesting GPA, (iii) the presence of ANCA and (iv) red blood cell (RBC) cast-related haematuria or > 10% dysmorphic RBC or 2 + haematuria and 2 + proteinuria on urine sticks according to the 2007 European Medicine Agency (EMA) algorithm for AAV². UPIGN was defined as AAV-GN exhibiting histopathological crescent formation with no evidence of immune deposits and was not further classified as EGPA-GN, GPA-GN, MPA-GN or RLV¹⁵. The inclusion criteria were (i) patients who fulfilled both the 2012 revised International Chapel Hill Consensus Conference Nomenclature of Vasculitides and the 2007 EMA algorithm for AAV and renal vasculitis^{1,2}; (ii) patients first diagnosed with AAV and AAV-GN at the Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Severance Hospital, from April 2006 to March 2019 based on the day on which the kidney biopsy performed; (iii) patients with well-documented medical records sufficient to collect clinical and laboratory data including ANCA results, calculate Birmingham vasculitis activity score and five-factor score at AAV diagnosis, and assess the development of ESKD and all-cause mortality during follow-up; (iv) patients who underwent kidney biopsy and had histopathological results;, and (v) patients who were followed up for at least 6 months from the diagnosis of AAV-GN. Meanwhile, the exclusion criteria were (i) patients with concomitant serious medical conditions that might confound the interpretation of the results, such as malignancies, hospitalised infectious diseases, and systemic vasculitides other than AAV or AAV-GN; and (ii) patients previously exposed to immunosuppressants for the treatment of AAV or AAV-GN before the diagnosis. Concomitant serious medical conditions and immunosuppressive drug administration were identified accounting to the 10th revised International Classification of Diseases and the Korean Drug Utilization Review system, respectively. Based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria, this study analysed the medical records of 113 patients with AAV-GN.

The present study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Severance Hospital (Seoul, Korea, IRB No. 4-2020-1071) and was conducted according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Given the retrospective study design and the use of anonymised patient data, the requirement for written informed consent was waived by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Severance Hospital.

Clinical and laboratory data. Variables regarding demographic, AAV-specific serum immunoglobulins and laboratory data, described in Table 1, were collected. In this study, all-cause mortality was defined as death due to any aetiology. ESKD was defined as the initiation of dialysis due to kidney function, indicated by eGFR < 15 mL/min/1.73 m² and related uremic symptoms, after excluding acute kidney injury requiring dialysis¹⁶. For patients who progressed to ESKD, the follow-up duration based on ESKD was defined as the period between AAV-GN diagnosis based on kidney biopsy and ESKD occurrence. Conversely, for patients without ESKD, the follow-up duration was defined as the period between AAV-GN diagnosis and the last visit. The follow-up duration based on all-cause mortality was defined as the period between AAV-GN diagnosis and the last visit for surviving patients. For deceased patients, it was defined as the period between AAV-GN diagnosis and the last visit for surviving patients who received glucocorticoids and immunosuppressive drugs administered after diagnosis was counted.

Variables	Values	Patients without ESKD (N=63)	Patients with ESKD (N = 50)	P value		
At AAV-GN diagnosis by kidney biopsy						
Demographic data						
Age (years)	59.0 (16.0)	62.0 (20.0)	58.5 (13.0)	0.561		
Male gender (N, (%))	38 (33.6)	18 (28.6)	20 (40.0)	0.202		
AAV-GN Subtypes (N, (%))						
EGPA-GN	5 (4.4)	4 (6.3)	1 (2.0)			
GPA-GN	8 (7.1)	3 (4.8)	5 (10.0)	1		
MPA-GN	41 (36.3)	28 (44.4)	13 (26.0)	0.155		
RLV	33 (29.2)	15 (23.8)	18 (36.0)	1		
UPIGN	26 (23.0)	13 (20.6)	13 (26.0)	1		
ANCA positivity (N, (%))						
MPO-ANCA (or P-ANCA) positivity	81 (71.7)	48 (76.2)	33 (66.0)	0.232		
PR3-ANCA (or C-ANCA) positivity	10 (8.8)	3 (4.8)	7 (14.0)	0.086		
Both ANCA positivity	3 (2.7)	1 (1.6)	2 (4.0)	0.428		
ANCA negativity	25 (22.1)	13 (20.6)	12 (24.0)	0.669		
Routine Laboratory results			1	·		
White blood cell count (/mm ³)	7620.0 (4455.0)	8250.0 (4540.0)	7430.0 (4465.0)	0.493		
Neutrophil count (/mm ³)	5440.0 (4280.0)	5720.0 (4250.0)	5220.0 (4535.0)	0.699		
Lymphocyte count (/mm ³)	1230.0 (870.0)	1220.0 (820.0)	1255.0 (837.5)	0.437		
Eosinophil count (/mm ³)	180.0 (235.0)	180.0 (260.0)	205.0 (232.5)	0.797		
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	8.6 (1.9)	9.1 (1.9)	8.3 (1.9)	0.043		
Platelet count (×10 ⁹ /L)	272.0 (159.0)	270.0 (170.0)	276.0 (137.5)	0.619		
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	93.0 (29.0)	95.0 (37.5)	91.0 (24.0)	0.102		
BUN (mg/dL)	38.9 (33.7)	33.8 (24.6)	44.5 (32.2)	0.001		
Serum creatinine (mg/dL)	3.0 (3.7)	2.3 (1.7)	5.3 (2.7)	< 0.001		
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	16.0 (23.3)	23.0 (29.5)	10.0 (10.7)	< 0.001		
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	155.0 (51.5)	152.5 (56.8)	156.0 (50.5)	0.626		
Uric acid (mg/dL)	5.9 (2.8)	5.6 (2.6)	6.5 (2.8)	0.005		
Serum protein (g/dL)	6.1 (1.0)	6.2 (0.9)	6.1 (1.1)	0.201		
Serum albumin (g/dL)	3.0 (8.0)	3.0 (0.8)	3.0 (0.9)	0.289		
Acute phase reactants						
ESR (mm/h)	77.5 (61.3)	81.5 (62.0)	72.5 (66.3)	0.190		
CRP (mg/L)	10.7 (50.9)	20.1 (79.9)	9.8 (28.5)	0.045		
Urinalysis results				L		
Urine protein-to-creatinine ratio	2.3 (2.9)	1.9 (2.2)	3.6 (3.7)	0.002		
Haematuria (N, (%))	90 (79.6)	50 (79.4)	40 (80.0)	0.934		
Other results						
Serum IgA	259.0 (149.5)	261.0 (146.8)	239.0 (174.0)	0.752		
C3 (mg/dL)	104.3 (39.6)	110.3 (40.2)	99.8 (24.1)	0.020		
C4 (mg/dL)	27.7 (12.6)	27.9 (11.6)	27.7 (16.1)	0.701		
During follow-up			. ,			
Poor prognosis						
ESKD (N, (%))	50 (44.2)	0 (0)	50 (100)	N/A		
Follow-up period based on ESKD (months)	41.6 (73.5)	64.8 (58.8)	1.7 (26.1)	< 0.001		
All-cause mortality (N, (%))	23 (20.4)	9 (14.3)	14 (28.0)	0.072		
Follow-up period based on mortality (months)	64.1 (63.1)	56.4 (55.6)	67.2 (69.8)	0.324		
Medications administered (N. (%))						
Glucocorticoids	113 (100)	63 (100)	50 (100)	N/A		
Cyclophosphamide	47 (41.6)	29 (46.0)	18 (36.0)	0.283		
Rituximab	19 (16.8)	13 (20.6)	6 (12.0)	0.223		
Azathioprine	56 (49.6)	33 (52.4)	23 (46.0)	0.500		
Mycophenolate mofetil	32 (28.3)	16 (25.4)	16 (32.0)	0.439		
Continued	1	I	1			

Variables	Values	Patients without ESKD (N=63)	Patients with ESKD (N = 50)	P value
Tacrolimus	7 (6.2)	5 (7.9)	2 (4.0)	0.389
Methotrexate	3 (2.7)	1 (1.6)	2 (4.0)	0.428

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of 113 patients with AAV-GN and comparison of variables between patients with ESKD and those without ESKD. Values are expressed as a median (interquartile range, IQR) or N (%). *AAV* ANCA-associated vasculitis, *ANCA* antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody, *EGPA* eosinophilic granulomatosis with polyangiitis, *GPA* granulomatosis with polyangiitis, *MPA* microscopic polyangiitis, *RLV* renal limited vasculitis, *GN* glomerulonephritis, *MPO* myeloperoxidase, *P* perinuclear, *PR3* proteinase 3, *C* cytoplasmic, *BUN* blood urea nitrogen, *eGFR* estimated glomerular filtration rate, *INR* international normalised ratio, *ESR* erythrocyte sedimentation rate, *CRP* C-reactive protein, *C3* complement 3, *C4* complement 4, *ESKD* end-stage kidney disease, *N/A* not applicable.

.....

Histopathological data. Two renal pathologists, B.J.L. and S.C., reviewed the kidney biopsy slides and performed scoring. Glomerular lesions were classified according to the presence of sclerosis, the extent of global and/or segmental sclerosis, the presence of the crescent, the proportion of cellular, fibrocellular, and fibrous crescents, and the presence of fibroid necrosis. Tubulointerstitial lesions were classified as acute tubular injuries or medullary angiitis. The presence or absence of each component was recorded. Vascular lesions were classified as arterial intimal fibrosis or arterial medial sclerosis, and the presence or absence of the lesions was recorded. The definitions of the crescent and glomerular fibrinoid necrosis were described in the revision of the ISN/ RPS for lupus nephritis¹⁷. We also adopted the definition of the lesions and/or their scoring system from the Banff classification of renal allograft pathology and the Oxford classification of IgA nephropathy¹⁸. Mesangial hypercellularity was scored according to the definitions from the Oxford study¹⁹. Banff defines glomerulitis as "complete or partial occlusion of 1 or more glomerular capillaries by leukocyte infiltration and endothelial cell enlargement". In addition, we devised another item, global glomerulitis, defined as "complete or partial occlusion of glomerular capillaries by leukocyte infiltration and endothelial cell enlargement involving nearly all the capillary loops of a glomerulus. Global glomerulitis was scored according to the proportion of glomeruli showing global glomerulitis; global glomerulitis in less than 25% of glomeruli as 1, global glomerulitis in 25-75% as 2, and global glomerulitis in more than 75% as 3 (Supplementary Methods S1). To compare the differences in scores between ESKD and non-ESKD groups, the grades were classified as 'low' (grades 0 and 1) and 'high' (grades 2 and 3). However, as the scores for cg were only 0 and 1, these grades were classified as 'low' and 'high', respectively. For global glomerulitis and glomerular fibrinoid necrosis, grade 0 (the absence of these conditions) as 'low' and grade 1 or more, that is, 1, 2, and 3(the presence of these conditions), as 'high', as it yielded the lowest p-values in the statistical analyses.

Statistical analyses. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as medians with interquartile ranges, whereas categorical variables were expressed as numbers (percentages). Significant differences between two categorical variables were analysed using the chi-square and Fisher's exact tests. The Mann–Whitney U test was used to identify significant differences between two continuous variables. Comparisons of the cumulative ESKD-free and patients' survival rates between the two groups were analysed using the Kaplan Meier survival analysis with log-rank tests. Since six times of comparisons of the cumulative ESKD-free survival rates between the two groups were analysed using the Kaplan Meier survival analysis with log-rank tests. Since six times of comparisons of the cumulative ESKD-free survival rates were performed for the groups according to histopathological classification, statistical significance was set as P < 0.008 based on the Bonferroni's method. The multivariable Cox hazard model using variables with statistical significance in the univariable Cox hazard model was used to obtain hazard ratios (HRs) during the considerable follow-up duration. Statistical significance was set as P < 0.05.

Ethics approval and consent to participate. The present study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Severance Hospital (Seoul, Korea, IRB No. 4-2020-1071), and conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki. Given the retrospective design of the study and the use of anonymised patient data, the requirement for written informed consent was waived.

Results

Baseline characteristics of patients with AAV-GN. At AAV-GN diagnosis, the median age was 59.0 years and 33.6% of the patients were male. Of the 113 patients with AAV-GN, 41, 33, 26, 8, and 5 had MPA-GN, RLV, UPIGN, GPA-GN and EGPA-GN, respectively. The detection rate of MPO-ANCA (or P-ANCA) was much higher than that of PR3-ANCA (or C-ANCA) (71.7% vs. 8.8%). Additionally, ANCA was not detected in one of the 33 patients with RLV and 24 of the 26 patients with UPIGN. The median serum blood urea nitrogen (BUN) level, serum creatinine level, eGFR and random urine protein-to-creatinine ratio were 38.9 mg/dL, 3.0 mg/dL, 16.0 mL/min/1.73 m², and 2.3, respectively. The mean number of glomeruli per biopsy was 15.1062, and the median was 14. During follow-up, 50 patients (44.2%) exhibited the progression to ESKD for a median follow-up period of 41.6 months, and 23 patients (20.4%) died for a median period of 64.1 months (Table 1).

Cross-sectional comparisons of variables at AAV-GN diagnosis between patients with ESKD and without ESKD. Herein, we selectively described the variables that showed statistically significant differences. At diagnosis, demographic data, AAV-GN subtypes and ANCA positivity did not differ between the two groups. Among laboratory results, patients with ESKD exhibited higher serum BUN (44.5 vs. 33.8 mg/dL, P=0.001), creatinine (5.3 vs. 2.3 mg/dL, P<0.001) and uric acid (6.5 vs. 5.6 mg/dL, P=0.005) levels, and lower haemoglobin (8.3 vs. 9.1 g/dL, P=0.043) and eGFR (10.0 vs. 23.0 mL/min/1.73m², P<0.001) values than those without ESKD. Patients with ESKD showed significantly higher proteinuria compared to those without ESKD (3.6 vs. 1.9, P=0.002). Conversely, CRP (9.8 vs. 20.1 mg/L, P=0.045) and C3 (99.8 vs. 110.3 mg/dL, P=0.020) levels in patients with ESKD were lower than those in patients without ESKD. While the all-cause mortality rate in patients with ESKD tended to increase, the difference was not statistically significant. Additionally, no statistically significant differences in glucocorticoids and immunosuppressive drugs administered after AAV-GN between patients with ESKD and those without were observed (Table 1).

Cross-sectional comparison of histologic features at AAV-GN diagnosis between patients with ESKD and those without ESKD. Among 113 patients, 23, 28, 34 and 28 patients had focal, crescentic, mixed and sclerotic classes based on histopathological classification, respectively¹². The frequency of focal class was significantly higher in the patients without ESKD, whereas that of the sclerotic class was significantly higher in the patients with ESKD showed a higher proportion of global glomerular sclerosis than those without ESKD (35.7% vs. 22.9%, P=0.010). However, there were no significant differences in crescent formation between the two groups. In terms of specific histologic lesion scores, compared to patients without ESKD, those with ESKD significantly exhibited higher frequencies of high scores in three items such as interstitial fibrosis, tubular atrophy, and global glomerulitis (Table 2).

Comparison of the cumulative ESKD-free survival rates among the four classes based on the histopathological classification. The highest cumulative ESKD-free survival rate was observed for the focal class, followed by the mixed, crescentic and sclerotic classes. When the cumulative ESKD-survival rates of the two classes were compared, there were two clinically significant comparisons. Patients in the focal class exhibited a significantly higher cumulative ESKD-free survival rate than those in the sclerotic (P = 0.003) classes. In addition, the cumulative ESKD-free survival rate of patients in the mixed class was significantly higher than that of patients in the sclerotic class (P = 0.005). Moreover, when compared between the sclerotic class and the remaining three classes (focal + crescentic + mixed), patients in the sclerotic class exhibited a significantly reduced cumulative ESKD-survival rate compared to those in the remaining three classes (P = 0.003) (Fig. 1A).

Comparison of the cumulative ESKD-free survival rates according to each item of histologic lesion scores. Among the histologic lesion scores, cumulative ESKD-free survival rates differed significantly between patients with high scores and those with low scores on interstitial fibrosis (P = 0.009), tubular atrophy (P = 0.003), and global glomerulitis (P = 0.001). Patients with high scores on all three items showed significantly lower cumulative ESKD-free survival rates compared to the rate in patients with low scores (Fig. 1B).

Cox hazards model analysis among histopathological features. In the univariable Cox hazards model analysis, high scores for interstitial fibrosis (HR 2.074), tubular atrophy (HR 2.259), and global glomerulitis (HR 3.311) were significantly associated with the progression to ESKD in patients with AAV-GN. In the multivariable analysis, only high global glomerulitis scores were independently and significantly associated with the progression to ESKD (HR 3.164, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.440, 6.949, P = 0.004) (Table 3).

Cox hazards model analysis among clinical and histopathological features. The clinical variables with statistical significance in the cross-sectional comparison analysis or known clinical risk factors for ESKD were also included in the Cox analysis together with the variables related to the histologic lesion scores. In the univariable Cox hazards model analysis, BUN (HR 1.016), serum creatinine (HR 1.406), uric acid (HR 1.195), urine protein-to-creatinine ratio (HR 1.141), and high scores for interstitial fibrosis (HR 2.074), tubular atrophy (HR 2.259), and global glomerulitis (HR 3.311) were significantly associated with the progression to ESKD in patients with AAV-GN. Since eGFR was calculated using four parameters (sex, age, serum creatinine and race), which were analysed as clinical variables, eGFR was not included in the analysis in this study. In the multivariable analysis, BUN (HR 0.964, 95% CI 0.940, 0.988), serum creatinine (HR 1.830, 95% CI 1.454, 2.302) and high global glomerulitis score (HR 3.325, 95% CI 1.373, 8.050) were independently and significantly associated with the progression to ESKD (Table 4).

Discussion

This study investigated which histopathological features could significantly and independently predict the progression to ESKD in patients with AAV-GN and obtained several interesting findings. First, in terms of the rate of ESKD occurrence, 44.2% of patients with AAV-GN confirmed by kidney biopsy showed progression to ESKD during follow-up. Second, in terms of clinical features, the cross-sectional comparison analysis revealed significant differences in several kidney-, inflammation-, and AAV-pathogenesis-related variables between patients with ESKD and those without ESKD. Third, in terms of histopathological classification and histologic lesion scores, the sclerotic class exhibited the worst renal prognosis among the four histopathological classes. Among histopathological features, in the univariable Cox proportional analysis, high interstitial fibrosis, tubular atrophy and global glomerulitis scores were significantly associated with ESKD progression, whereas in the multivariable

HistophysicalHistophysicalHistophysicalHistophysicalRecarding18 (20,0)16 (20,0)0,203Cascantic12 (24,0)0,2030,203Glondina10 (59)18 (30,0)0,010Glondina sclorosis'1,1%0,010Symmal2,9%,01,1%0,010Symmal1,5%,01,1%0,010Symmal1,5%,01,1%0,010Symmal1,5%,01,1%0,010Fibros1,5%,01,1%0,010Fibros1,5%,01,1%0,010Fibros1,5%,01,1%0,010Fibros1,5%,01,0100,010Fibros1,01%1,01%0,010Fibros2,01%1,01%0,010Fibros2,01%1,01%0,010Fibros2,01%1,01%0,01%Fibros2,01%1,01%0,01%Fibros2,01%1,01%0,01%Fibros2,01%1,01%0,01%Fibros1,01%1,01%0,01%Fibros1,01%1,01%0,01%Fibros1,01%1,01%1,01%Fibros1,01%1,01%1,01%Fibros1,01%1,01%1,01%Fibros1,01%1,01%1,01%Fibros1,01%1,01%1,01%Fibros1,01%1,01%1,01%Fibros1,01%1,01%1,01%Fibros1,01%1,01%1,01% <t< th=""><th>Variables</th><th>Patients without ESKD (N=63)</th><th>Patients with ESKD (N=50)</th><th>P value</th></t<>	Variables	Patients without ESKD (N=63)	Patients with ESKD (N=50)	P value	
ñedinístaístaístaístaCreaenia13 (a)13 (a)13 (a)13 (a)Careania10 (a)10 (a)10 (a)10 (a)Camua10 (a)10 (a)10 (a)10 (a)10 (a)Camua10 (a)10 (a)10 (a)10 (a)10 (a)10 (a)10 (a)Careania10 (a)10 (a) <td>Histopathologic classification (N, (%))</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Histopathologic classification (N, (%))				
Generic100010000200Maced100010000000Generic100000000000Generic25%10,1%0000Generic25%10,1%0000Generic15%00000000Generic15%00000000Generic15%00000000Fibres15%00000000Fibres15%00000000Fibres15%00000000Fibres16%00000000Fibres16%00000000Fibres26,0%00000000Fibres26,0%00000000Fibres26,0%00000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres000000000000Fibres <t< td=""><td>Focal</td><td>18 (28.6)</td><td>5 (10.0)</td><td>0.015</td></t<>	Focal	18 (28.6)	5 (10.0)	0.015	
Mixed2 (24.9)1 (2 (2.0)0.09Sderotic10.15.9)18 (36.0)0.01Sderotic10.5.9)18 (36.0)0.01Segmental6.5%35.7%0.01Segmental6.5%35.7%0.03Crescent formation'11.1%0.0811.1%0.08Fibrosol19.6%21.5%0.080.08Fibrosol11.1%14.1%0.080.08Fibrosol11.1%14.1%0.080.08Stadogic Eson scores (%, (%))Patients without ESK (Max)0.080.08Histobagic Eson scores (%, (%))Patients without ESK (Max)0.020.02Present56 (3.1)25 (4.0)0.020.02Present56 (3.1)21 (4.0)0.020.02Present19 (30.0)10 (2.0)0.020.02Present19 (30.0)10 (2.0)0.020.02Present19 (30.0)21 (4.0)0.020.02Present19 (30.0)21 (4.0)0.020.02Present19 (30.0)21 (4.0)0.020.02Present19 (5.5)21 (4.0)0.020.02Present21 (4.0)21 (4.0)0.020.02Present21 (3.0)21 (4.0)0.020.02Present21 (3.0)21 (4.0)0.020.02Present21 (3.0)21 (4.0)0.020.02Present21 (3.0)21 (4.0)0.020.02Present21	Crescentic	13 (20.6)	15 (30.0)	0.252	
Selection10 (15.9)18 (36.0)0.014Glometral sciencial25.9%35.7%0.010Segmental6.5%11.1%0.044Segmental6.5%11.1%0.041Creacent formation1.5%4.2%0.080Fibrous1.8%4.6%0.080Fibrous1.1%4.1%0.361Total (chlar schwons schwonchlung)32.5%40.2%0.080Total (chlar schwons schwonchlung)32.5%40.2%0.080Austor21.41%0.3610.0800.080Austor21.41%0.3610.0800.080Austor36.50.1021.440.000.0900.090Austor21.41%0.0510.0900.090Present0.50.1010.60.000.0200.020Present19.06.010.420.000.0200.020Austor19.06.021.420.000.0200.020Present19.06.021.420.000.0200.020Austor19.05.021.420.000.0200.020Austor19.05.021.420.000.0200.020Present19.06.021.420.000.0200.020Austor19.05.021.420.000.0200.020Present21.420.0021.420.000.0200.020Austor19.05.021.420.000.0200.020Present21.420.0021.420.000.0200.020Austor21.420.0021.420.000.020 <td>Mixed</td> <td>22 (34.9)</td> <td>12 (24.0)</td> <td>0.209</td>	Mixed	22 (34.9)	12 (24.0)	0.209	
Glonerular sciencis/	Sclerotic	10 (15.9)	18 (36.0)	0.014	
Global22.9%35.7%0.01Segmental6.5%1.1%0.081Segmental6.5%21.5%0.293Fibros1.6%4.6%0.080Fibroscal1.1%4.4%0.080Fibroscal2.5%40.2%0.081Fibroscal2.5%40.2%0.081Fibroscal5.5%2.6%0.081Fibroscal3.65.132.04.0%0.081Present3.65.132.04.0%0.081Medulary angitis3.65.132.04.0%0.081Metalury angitis3.05.7%3.16.0%0.081Present3.06.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081Present1.00.0%3.16.0%0.081 <td>Glomerular sclerosis[†]</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Glomerular sclerosis [†]				
Segnential6.5%11.%0.044Cresent15.%2.5%0.23Fibrons1.8%4.6%0.80Fibrons1.8%4.6%0.80Fibrons11.%4.1%0.351Total (cellular fibrous fibrocellular22.5%0.888FibroscellularPatient without SKD (N=0)Patient SKD (N=0)Patient SKD (N=0)Ristologic Elson scores (Ns (69)Patient without SKD (N=0)28.56.0Patient SKD (N=0)Present36.50.12.64.0.010.90Present36.50.12.04.0.010.90Present37.69.731.62.010.90Present19.60.021.42.0.010.90Present19.60.021.42.0.010.90Present19.60.021.42.0.010.90Present19.60.021.42.0.010.90Present19.60.121.42.0.010.90Present37.69.731.66.0.010.90Present21.60.321.64.0.010.90Present37.69.731.66.0.010.90Present21.60.321.64.0.010.90Present37.69.731.66.0.010.90Present37.69.731.66.0.010.90Present37.69.731.66.0.010.90Present37.69.731.66.0.010.90Present37.69.731.66.0.010.90Present37.69.731.66.0.010.90Present37.69.731.66.0.010.90 <tr< td=""><td>Global</td><td>22.9%</td><td>35.7%</td><td>0.010</td></tr<>	Global	22.9%	35.7%	0.010	
Crescent formation?Cellular19.6%21.5%0.293Cellular19.6%21.5%0.293Fibrous1.8%4.6%0.300Fibrocellular11.1%14.1%0.351Total (cellular + fibrous+Iborcellular)32.5%40.2%0.088Histologic lesion scores (N.(%))Patients without ESKD (N=2)Patients with ESKD (N=2)Patients with ESKD (N=2)Patients with ESKD (N=3)Patients with ESKD (N=3)P	Segmental	6.5%	11.1%	0.044	
Cellular19.6%21.5%0.293Fibros1.8%4.6%0.080Fibrosellular1.1%14.1%0.531Fibrosellular + fibrose + fibrosellular32.5%40.2%0.088Histologic lesion scores (N. (%))Patients without ESKD (N = 62)Patients with ESKD (N = 50)PatueAcute tubular injury25 (56.0)22 (44.0)0.139Assent26 (41.9)28 (56.0)0.139Present36 (58.1)22 (44.0)0.139Medulary angitis19 (38.0)0.802Present37 (39.7)31 (62.0)0.202Arterial intimal fibrosis19 (36.0)10 (38.0)0.202Arterial medial sclerosis29 (58.0)0.202Arterial medial sclerosis3 (66.4)29 (58.0)0.202Arterial medial sclerosis3 (46.3)8 (16.0)0.202Interstitial inflammation27 (54.0)0.2020.202Interstitial inflammation27 (43.5)27 (40.0)0.202Uov (0-1)35 (56.5)27 (40.0)0.202High (2-3)27 (40.3)20 (40.0)0.202High (2-3)10 (1.0)20 (40.0)0.202High (2-3)3 (51.0)20 (40.0)0.202High (2-3)3 (54.3)20 (40.0)0.202	Crescent formation [†]	I			
Fibrous1.8%4.6%0.080Fibrocelluar1.1%1.41%0.531Total (cellular + fibrouel-har)22.5%40.2%0.3%Histologi (Esocores (N, %))24.61.024.04.0NameHistologi (Esocores (N, %))26.61.022.44.0NameArctu tubular injury26.50.022.44.0NameAbsent25.60.031.62.00.00Present37.69.731.62.00.00Present19.06.021.62.00.00Present19.06.021.62.00.00Present36.65.121.62.00.00Present31.63.08.10.00.00Present36.65.121.64.00.00Present31.65.521.64.00.00Present35.65.521.64.00.00Present35.65.521.64.00.00Present35.65.521.64.00.00Present35.65.721.64.00.00Present35.65.721.64.00.00Present35.65.721.64.00.00Present35.65.721.64.00.00Present35.65.721.64.00.00Present36.63.121.64.00.00Present36.63.121.64.00.00High (2-3)10.17.046.02.00.00Present36.63.121.64.00.00Present36.63.121.64.00.00Present36.63.121.64.00.00	Cellular	19.6%	21.5%	0.293	
Pibrocellular11.1%14.1%0.351Total (cellular + fibrous) fibrocellular)32.5%40.2%0.088Hatologi Lesion scores (N.(%))Patients without ESKD (N=20)Patients with ESKD (N=20)PatientsAute tubular injury25 (41.9)28 (56.0)1.19Present36 (58.1)20 (40.0)1.19Medullary angitits25 (40.3)19 (38.0)2.19Present25 (40.3)19 (38.0)2.12Present37 (59.7)31 (62.0)2.12Assent9 (36.0)20 (58.0)2.12Present19 (30.6)20 (58.0)2.12Assent9 (35.2)42 (49.0)2.12Present3 (46.9)20 (58.0)2.12Present3 (48.9)8 (16.0)2.12Present3 (48.9)8 (16.0)2.12Interstitial inflammation2 (54.0)2.12Low (0-1)35 (55.5)27 (54.0)2.12Tubulitis2 (40.3)2.162.12Low (0-1)3 (50.7)3 (60.0)2.12Tubulitis2 (40.3)3.162.12Low (0-1)5 (52.5)3 (60.0)2.12High (2-3)2 (40.3)3.162.12Low (0-1)5 (52.5)3 (60.0)2.12High (2-3)3 (50.1)3 (60.0)2.12High (2-3)3 (51.0)3 (60.0)2.12High (2-3)3 (51.0)3 (60.0)2.12High (2-3)3 (53.0)3 (63.0)2.12High (2-3)	Fibrous	1.8%	4.6%	0.080	
Total (cellular + fibrose)32.5%40.2%0.088Histologic lesion scores (N, (%)Pattents withOta ESKD (N = 60)PattentsAcute tubular injury26 (61.9)28 (56.0)0.199Absent26 (61.9)28 (56.0)0.199Present36 (58.1)21 (44.0)0.199Medulary angitis37 (59.7)31 (62.0)0.102Present37 (59.7)31 (62.0)0.102Arterial intimal fibrosis31 (62.0)0.121Arterial medial sclerosis14 (20.0)0.102Present19 (36.0)0.102Present19 (36.0)0.102Present19 (36.0)0.102Present19 (36.0)0.102Present19 (36.0)0.102Present19 (36.0)0.102Present3 (55.5)21 (54.0)Present37 (59.7)31 (60.0)Um (0-1)35 (56.5)21 (40.0)Present37 (59.7)30 (60.0)Present10 (10.7)High (2-3)25 (40.3)20 (40.0)Um (0-1)51 (62.3)4 (692.0)High (2-3)5 (81.0)20 (40.0)Present20 (40.0)0.102High (2-3)5 (61.0)20 (40.0)Present20 (40.0)0.102Present20 (40.0)0.102Present20 (40.0)0.102Present20 (40.0)0.102Present20 (40.0)0.102Present20 (40.0)0.102Present	Fibrocellular	11.1%	14.1%	0.351	
Histologic lesion scores (N, %)Patients without ESKD (N-62)Patients Without ESKD (N-62)PatientsAcute tubular injury26 (41.9)28 (56.0)0.139Absent26 (41.9)22 (44.0)0.139Present36 (58.1)19 (38.0)0.802Medullary angititis19 (38.0)0.802Present25 (40.3)19 (38.0)0.802Present19 (36.0)11 (42.0)0.121Absent19 (36.0)21 (42.0)0.121Present19 (36.0)21 (42.0)0.121Absent59 (95.2)42 (84.0)0.000Present3 (48.0)8 (16.0)0.000Present3 (48.0)23 (46.0)0.000Present3 (48.0)23 (46.0)0.900Present3 (56.5)23 (46.0)0.900Uno (0-1)37 (59.7)30 (60.0)0.970High (2-3)27 (43.5)20 (40.0)0.902VacultitsUU0.900Use (0-1)51 (82.3)46 (92.0)0.132High (2-3)10 (17.1)46 (92.0)0.902High (2-3)5 (61.1)28 (55.0)0.921High (2-3)5 (61.1)28 (55.0)0.921High (2-3)3 (63.0)20 (40.0)0.921High (2-3)3 (63.0)20 (40.0)0.921High (2-3)3 (63.0)3 (60.0)0.921High (2-3)3 (63.0)3 (60.0)0.921High (2-3)3 (60.0)0.9210.921High (2-3) </td <td>Total (cellular + fibrous + fibrocellular)</td> <td>32.5%</td> <td>40.2%</td> <td>0.088</td>	Total (cellular + fibrous + fibrocellular)	32.5%	40.2%	0.088	
Acter tabular injury Acter tabular injury Absent 26 (41.9) 28 (56.0) 0.139 Present 36 (58.1) 22 (44.0) 0.139 Medullary angitis 25 (40.3) 19 (38.0) 0.802 Present 37 (59.7) 31 (62.0) 0.802 Atterial initinal fibrosis 31 (62.0) 0.212 Present 19 (30.6) 21 (42.0) 0.212 Present 19 (30.6) 21 (42.0) 0.212 Atterial indial sclerosis 43 (69.4) 29 (58.0) 0.212 Atterial medial sclerosis 43 (68.0) 21 (42.0) 0.212 Interstitial inflammation Interstitial inflammation 0.212 Low (0-1) 35 (56.5) 27 (54.0) 0.795 High (2-3) 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 Vacultis Use (0-1) 37 (59.7) 30 (60.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 11 (17.7) 4 (80.0) 0.990 High (2-3) 16 (17.7) 4 (80.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 36 (Histologic lesion scores (N, (%))	Patients without ESKD (N=62)	Patients with ESKD (N=50)	P value	
Absent 26 (41.9) 28 (56.0) 0.139 Present 36 (58.1) 22 (44.0) 0.139 Medulary angitis 25 (40.3) 19 (38.0) 0.802 Present 37 (59.7) 31 (62.0) 0.802 Asterial initinal fibrosis 37 (59.7) 31 (62.0) 0.212 Arterial initinal fibrosis 43 (69.4) 29 (58.0) 0.212 Arterial medial sclerosis 21 (42.0) 0.600 Present 19 (30.6) 8 (16.0) 0.600 Present 3 (4.8) 8 (16.0) 0.600 Present 3 (4.8) 23 (46.0) 0.795 High (2-3) 27 (43.5) 23 (46.0) 0.792 Usw (0-1) 3 (55.5) 27 (54.0) 0.792 High (2-3) 2 (40.3) 2 (40.0) 0.792 Usw (0-1) 5 (182.3) 4 (692.0) 0.990 High (2-3) 5 (181.) 4 (80.0) 0.792 High (2-3) 5 (19.1) 2 (44.0) 0.792 High (2-3) 2 (41.9)	Acute tubular injury	× ,	. ,		
Present36 (58.1)22 (44.0)0.139Medullary angitisAbsent25 (40.3)19 (38.0)0.802Present37 (59.7)31 (62.0)0.802Arterial intimal fibrosis29 (58.0)0.212Present19 (36.0)21 (12.0)0.212Present19 (36.0)21 (12.0)0.000Present59 (95.2)42 (84.0)0.000Present3 (4.8)8 (16.0)0.000Present35 (56.5)27 (54.0)0.000Interstilla inflammation27 (43.5)23 (46.0)0.795Tubulits7 (43.5)23 (46.0)0.795Tubulits25 (40.3)20 (00.0)0.792Vascullits37 (59.7)30 (60.0)0.792Vascullits11 (17.7)4 (8.0)0.132High (2-3)11 (17.7)4 (8.0)0.132High (2-3)11 (17.7)4 (8.0)0.132High (2-3)5 (8.1)4 (8.0)0.992Preitubular capillaritis28 (56.0)0.921Low (0-1)5 (8.1)28 (56.0)0.921High (2-3)3 (58.1)28 (56.0)0.921High (2-3)3 (59.7)30 (60.0)0.972High (2-3) <td>Absent</td> <td>26 (41.9)</td> <td>28 (56.0)</td> <td>0.120</td>	Absent	26 (41.9)	28 (56.0)	0.120	
Medullary angiitis Image: Control of	Present	36 (58.1)	22 (44.0)	0.139	
Absent 25 (40.3) 19 (38.0) 0.802 Present 37 (59.7) 31 (62.0) 0.802 Arterial intimal fibrosis $37 (59.7)$ 31 (62.0) 0.802 Arterial intimal fibrosis $43 (69.4)$ 29 (58.0) 0.212 Present 19 (30.6) 21 (42.0) 0.212 Arterial medial sclerosis $42 (84.0)$ 0.0600 Arterial inflammation $21 (42.0)$ 0.0600 Interstitial inflammation 0.0795 0.6000 Interstitial inflammation 0.795 0.6000 Tubulitis 0.795 0.6000 0.797 High (2-3) 27 (43.5) 23 (46.0) 0.972 Vascultis 0.972 0.6000 0.972 Vascultis 0.972 0.6000 0.972 Uow (0-1) 51 (82.3) 46 (92.0) 0.132 Peritubular capillaritis 0.970 0.970 Low (0-1) 57 (91.9) 46 (92.0) 0.970 High (2-3) 5 (63.1) 28 (56.0) 0.972 Fretubular capillaritis 0.970	Medullary angiitis	I			
Present 37 (59.7) 31 (62.0) 0.802 Arterial intimal fibrosis $Atterial intimal fibrosis$ 0.212 Absent 43 (69.4) 29 (58.0) 0.212 Present 19 (30.6) 21 (42.0) 0.212 Arterial medial sclerosis $Atterial medial sclerosis 0.600 Arterial medial sclerosis 3 (4.8) 8 (16.0) 0.600 Present 3 (4.8) 8 (16.0) 0.600 Interstitial inflammation Z (754.0) 0.795 Tubulitis Z (43.5) 27 (54.0) 0.795 Tubulitis Z (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.792 High (2-3) 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.372 High (2-3) 11 (17.7) 4 (8.0) 0.132 Glomerulitis Z (40.3) 0.990 0.990 Pritubular capillaritis Z (40.0) 0.990 Low (0-1) 36 (58.1) 28 (56.0) 0.372 High (2-3) 26 (41.9) 21 (42.0) 0.72 High (2-3) 3 (58.1)$	Absent	25 (40.3)	19 (38.0)		
Arterial intimal fibrosisIAbsent43 (69.4)29 (58.0)0.212Present19 (30.6)21 (42.0)0.212Arterial medial selerosis59 (95.2)42 (84.0)0.060Present3 (4.8)8 (16.0)0.060Intersitial inflammation27 (54.0)0.075Low (0-1)35 (56.5)27 (54.0)0.795High (2-3)27 (43.5)20 (40.0)0.972High (2-3)25 (40.3)20 (40.0)0.972High (2-3)25 (40.3)20 (40.0)0.972High (2-3)25 (40.3)20 (40.0)0.972Glomerultis11 (7.7)46 (92.0)0.132Use (0-1)51 (82.3)46 (92.0)0.990High (2-3)5 (8.1)46 (92.0)0.990High (2-3)5 (8.1)28 (50.0)0.990High (2-3)5 (8.1)20 (40.0)0.990High (2-3)26 (41.9)21 (42.0)0.972Ur (0-1)36 (58.1)28 (50.0)0.972High (2-3)37 (59.7)30 (60.0)0.972High (2-3)37 (59.7)30 (60.0)0.972High (2-3)37 (59.7)30 (60.0)0.972GBM double contour1001000.972Low (0-1)25 (40.3)20 (40.0)0.972High (2-3)0.0010.001.000High (2-3)0.001.0000.972High (2-3)0.001.0000.972High (2-3)0.001.2000.972Hi	Present	37 (59.7)	31 (62.0)	0.802	
Absent 43 (69.4) 29 (58.0) 0.212 Present 19 (30.6) 21 (42.0) 0.212 Arterial medial sclerosis 59 (95.2) 42 (84.0) 0.060 Present 3 (4.8) 8 (16.0) 0.060 Present 3 (4.8) 8 (16.0) 0.060 Present 3 (4.8) 27 (54.0) 0.795 High (2-3) 27 (43.5) 23 (46.0) 0.795 Tubulits 1 0.060 0.792 High (2-3) 27 (54.0) 0.972 0.060 Vascultits 0 0.072 0.072 Vascultits 1 11 (17.7) 4 (8.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 11 (17.7) 4 (8.0) 0.990 Pretrubular capillaritis 0 0.990 0.990 Pretrubular capillaritis 0 0.990 0.990 Pretrubular capillaritis 0 0.990 0.990 High (2-3) 2 (6 (1.9) 2 (4 (0.0) 0.990 High (2-3) 2 (6 (3.9) <td< td=""><td>Arterial intimal fibrosis</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Arterial intimal fibrosis				
Present 19 (30.6) 21 (42.0) 0.212 Arterial medial sclerosis 0.060 Arterial medial sclerosis 3 (4.8) 8 (16.0) 0.060 0.060 0.060 0.060 0.060 0.060 0.060	Absent	43 (69.4)	29 (58.0)		
Arterial medial sclerosis 59 (95.2) 42 (84.0) 0.060 Present 3 (4.8) 8 (16.0) 0.060 Present 3 (4.8) 8 (16.0) 0.060 Interstitial inflammation 27 (54.0) 0.795 Low (0-1) 35 (56.5) 23 (46.0) 0.795 Tubulitis 23 (46.0) 0.792 Tubulitis 20 (40.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 51 (82.3) 46 (92.0) 0.972 Glomerulitis 0.132 0.132 0.132 Ifigh (2-3) 5 (8.1) 4 (8.0) 0.990 High (2-3) 5 (8.1) 4 (8.0) 0.990 High (2-3) 5 (8.1) 4 (8.0) 0.990 High (2-3) 2 (5 (19) 2 (2 (40.0) 0.990 High (2-3) 3 (6 (58.1) 2 (2 (40.0) 0.826 High (2-3) 3 (4 (58.2) 2 (4 (20.0) 0.737 High (2-3) 3 (4 (58.3) 2 (9 (58.0) 0.737 <	Present	19 (30.6)	21 (42.0)	0.212	
Absent59 (95.2)42 (84.0)0.060Present3 (4.8)8 (16.0)0.060Interstitial inflammation5 (56.5)27 (54.0)0.795High (2-3)27 (54.0)0.7950.975Uow (0-1)35 (56.5)20 (40.0)0.972High (2-3)25 (40.3)20 (40.0)0.972Vasculitis25 (40.3)20 (40.0)0.972Uow (0-1)51 (82.3)46 (92.0)0.132High (2-3)11 (17.7)4 (8.0)0.972Glomerulitis57 (91.9)46 (92.0)0.990High (2-3)57 (91.9)45 (50.0)0.920Peritubular capillaritis0.9900.972Low (0-1)36 (58.1)28 (56.0)0.826High (2-3)34 (54.8)29 (58.0)0.972Inflammation12142.00.737High (2-3)37 (59.7)30 (60.0)0.972GBM double contour2 (32.0)12.000.972Low (0-1)60 (96.8)49 (98.0)0.972High (1-3)2 (32.0)12.001.000High (2-3)0 (0.0)12.001.000High (2-3)0 (0.0)12.001.000High (2-3)0 (0.0)12.001.000High (2-3)0 (0.0) <td< td=""><td>Arterial medial sclerosis</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Arterial medial sclerosis				
Present 3 (4.8) 8 (16.0) 0.060 Interstitial inflammation Interstitial inflammation 0.795 High (2-3) 27 (43.5) 23 (46.0) 0.795 Tubulits 27 (54.0) 0.795 Tubulits 27 (54.0) 0.795 Low (0-1) 37 (59.7) 30 (60.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 Vasculits Vasculits 0.132 0.132 Low (0-1) 51 (82.3) 46 (92.0) 0.132 High (2-3) 11 (17.7) 4 (8.0) 0.990 High (2-3) 5 (91.9) 46 (92.0) 0.990 High (2-3) 5 (51.0) 46 (92.0) 0.990 High (2-3) 2 (41.9) 0.826 0.826 High (2-3) 3 (58.1) 2 (56.0) 0.826 Inflammation 1 0.737 0.60.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 3 (54.8) 29 (58.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 3 (59.7) 3 (60.0) 0.972	Absent	59 (95.2)	42 (84.0)		
Interstitial inflammation Interstitial inflammation Low (0-1) 35 (56.5) 27 (54.0) 0.795 High (2-3) 27 (43.5) 23 (46.0) 0.795 Tubulitis 23 (46.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 Vasculitis Uow (0-1) 51 (82.3) 46 (92.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 11 (17.7) 4 (8.0) 0.132 Glomerulitis Uow (0-1) 57 (91.9) 46 (92.0) 0.990 High (2-3) 5 (8.1) 4 (8.0) 0.990 High (2-3) 5 (8.1) 28 (56.0) 0.826 High (2-3) 26 (41.9) 22 (44.0) 0.826 High (2-3) 26 (43.9) 29 (80.0) 0.737 High (2-3) 3 (58.7) 30 (60.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 3 (59.7) 30 (60.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 3 (59.7) 30 (60.0) 0.972 GBM double contour Uow (0	Present	3 (4.8)	8 (16.0)	0.060	
Low (0-1) 35 (56.5) 27 (54.0) 0.795 High (2-3) 27 (43.5) 23 (46.0) 0.795 Tubulitis 23 (46.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 Vasculitis	Interstitial inflammation				
High (2-3) 27 (43.5) 23 (46.0) 0.795 Tubulitis	Low (0–1)	35 (56.5)	27 (54.0)		
Tubulitis Instrument of the second seco	High (2–3)	27 (43.5)	23 (46.0)	0.795	
Low (0-1)37 (59.7)30 (60.0)	Tubulitis				
High (2-3) 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 Vasculitis 20 (40.0) 0.972 Low (0-1) 51 (82.3) 46 (92.0) 0.132 High (2-3) 11 (17.7) 4 (8.0) 0.132 Glomerulitis 0.990 46 (92.0) 0.990 High (2-3) 5 (8.1) 4 (8.0) 0.990 Peritubular capillaritis 0.990 0.990 Low (0-1) 56 (8.1) 4 (8.0) 0.990 Peritubular capillaritis 0.826 0.826 High (2-3) 26 (41.9) 22 (44.0) 0.826 Total inflammation 10.0737 0.737 0.737 High (2-3) 34 (54.8) 29 (58.0) 0.737 Inflammation in IFTA 10.00 0.972 0.972 Low (0-1) 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 GBM double contour 10.00 10.00 0.972 Low (0) 60 (96.8) 49 (98.0) 1.000 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446	Low (0–1)	37 (59.7)	30 (60.0)		
Vasculitis Interference Interferenc Interference Interference <td>High (2–3)</td> <td>25 (40.3)</td> <td>20 (40.0)</td> <td>0.972</td>	High (2–3)	25 (40.3)	20 (40.0)	0.972	
Low (0-1) 51 (82.3) 46 (92.0) 0.132 High (2-3) 11 (17.7) 4 (8.0) 0.132 Glomerulitis	Vasculitis				
High (2-3) 11 (17.7) 4 (8.0) 0.132 Glomerulitis	Low (0–1)	51 (82.3)	46 (92.0)		
Glowerulitis Glowerulitis<	High (2–3)	11 (17.7)	4 (8.0)	0.132	
Low (0-1) 57 (91.9) 46 (92.0) 0.990 High (2-3) 5 (8.1) 4 (8.0) 0.990 Peritubular capillaritis 0.826 Low (0-1) 36 (58.1) 28 (56.0) 0.826 High (2-3) 26 (41.9) 22 (44.0) 0.826 Total inflammation 0.737 0.737 High (2-3) 34 (54.8) 29 (58.0) 0.737 High (2-3) 34 (54.8) 29 (58.0) 0.972 Inflammation in IFTA 0.972 0.972 High (2-3) 37 (59.7) 30 (60.0) 0.972 GBM double contour 0.968.0 0.972 High (1-3) 2 (3.2) 1 (2.0) 0.00 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.297	Glomerulitis				
High (2-3) 5 (8.1) 4 (8.0) 0.990 Peritubular capillaritis 0.990 Peritubular capillaritis 0.990 Low (0-1) 36 (58.1) 28 (56.0) 0.826 High (2-3) 26 (41.9) 22 (44.0) 0.826 Total inflammation 1000 0.737 0.737 High (2-3) 34 (54.8) 29 (58.0) 0.737 Inflammation in IFTA 20 (40.0) 0.972 Low (0-1) 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 37 (59.7) 30 (60.0) 0.972 GBM double contour 1.000 1.000 1.000 High (1-3) 2 (3.2) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.297 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.297	Low (0–1)	57 (91.9)	46 (92.0)		
B C Peritubular capillaritis Low (0-1) 36 (58.1) 28 (56.0) 0.826 High (2-3) 26 (41.9) 22 (44.0) 0.826 Total inflammation 1000 (0-1) 28 (45.2) 21 (42.0) 0.737 High (2-3) 34 (54.8) 29 (58.0) 0.737 Inflammation in IFTA 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 37 (59.7) 30 (60.0) 0.972 GBM double contour 2 (3.2) 1 (2.0) 1.000 High (1-3) 2 (3.2) 1 (2.0) 1.000 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 10 (16.1) 12 (24.0) 0.297	High (2–3)	5 (8.1)	4 (8.0)	0.990	
Image: Low (0-1) 36 (58.1) 28 (56.0) 0.826 High (2-3) 26 (41.9) 22 (44.0) 0.826 Total inflammation 1 1 1 Low (0-1) 28 (45.2) 21 (42.0) 0.737 High (2-3) 34 (54.8) 29 (58.0) 0.737 Inflammation in IFTA 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 37 (59.7) 30 (60.0) 0.972 GBM double contour 2 (3.2) 1 (2.0) 1.000 High (1-3) 2 (3.2) 1 (2.0) 1.000 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.297	Peritubular capillaritis				
High (2-3) 26 (41.9) 22 (44.0) 0.826 Total inflammation 22 (44.0) 0.737 Low (0-1) 28 (45.2) 21 (42.0) 0.737 High (2-3) 34 (54.8) 29 (58.0) 0.737 Inflammation in IFTA 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 37 (59.7) 30 (60.0) 0.972 GBM double contour 23 (32) 30 (60.0) 0.972 Low (0) 60 (96.8) 49 (98.0) 1.000 High (1-3) 2 (3.2) 1 (2.0) 1.000 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 10 (16.1) 12 (24.0) 0.297	Low (0–1)	36 (58.1)	28 (56.0)		
Indep (a. b) In (ab) In (ab) <thin (ab)<="" th=""></thin>	High (2–3)	26 (41.9)	22 (44.0)	0.826	
Low (0-1) 28 (45.2) 21 (42.0) 0.737 High (2-3) 34 (54.8) 29 (58.0) 0.737 Inflammation in IFTA 0.972 Low (0-1) 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 37 (59.7) 30 (60.0) 0.972 GBM double contour 0.972 Low (0) 60 (96.8) 49 (98.0) 1.000 High (1-3) 2 (3.2) 1 (2.0) 1.000 Arteriolar hyalinosis 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 0.297 High (2-3) 10 (16.1) 12 (24.0) 0.297	Total inflammation				
Information Information Information Information 0.737 High (2–3) 34 (54.8) 29 (58.0) 0.737 Inflammation in IFTA	Low (0–1)	28 (45.2)	21 (42.0)		
Ingr (2 5) D (516) D (516) D (500) D (500) Inflammation in IFTA	High (2-3)	34 (54 8)	29 (58 0)	0.737	
Low (0-1) 25 (40.3) 20 (40.0) 0.972 High (2-3) 37 (59.7) 30 (60.0) 0.972 GBM double contour 49 (98.0) 1.000 High (1-3) 2 (3.2) 1 (2.0) 1.000 Arteriolar hyalinosis 52 (100) 49 (98.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 52 (83.9) 38 (76.0) 0.297 High (2-3) 10 (16.1) 12 (24.0) 0.297	Inflammation in IFTA	51(51.6)	25 (50.0)		
How (or 1) 20 (10.5) 20 (10.5) 0.972 High (2-3) 37 (59.7) 30 (60.0) 0.972 GBM double contour 52 (3.2) 30 (60.0) 1.000 High (1-3) 2 (3.2) 1 (2.0) 1.000 Arteriolar hyalinosis 0.972 1.000 1.000 High (2-3) 0 (0) 49 (98.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 10 (16.1) 12 (24.0) 0.297	$I_{\text{OW}}(0-1)$	25 (40 3)	20 (40 0)		
Ingr (2.5) 57 (35.7) 58 (60.5) 60 (96.8) 58 (60.5) GBM double contour 60 (96.8) 49 (98.0) 1.000 High (1-3) 2 (3.2) 1 (2.0) 1.000 Arteriolar hyalinosis 1.000 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 Arteriosclerosis 1.000 0.446 Low (0-1) 52 (83.9) 38 (76.0) 0.297 High (2-3) 10 (16.1) 12 (24.0) 0.297	High (2-3)	37 (59 7)	30 (60 0)	0.972	
Low (0) 60 (96.8) 49 (98.0) 1.000 High (1-3) 2 (3.2) 1 (2.0) 1.000 Arteriolar hyalinosis	GBM double contour	57 (55.7)	56 (66.6)		
Instruction October	Low (0)	60 (96 8)	49 (98 0)		
Ingr (1 °) 2 (0.2) 1 (2.0) Arteriolar hyalinosis Low (0-1) 62 (100) 49 (98.0) High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) Arteriosclerosis Low (0-1) 52 (83.9) 38 (76.0) High (2-3) 10 (16.1) 12 (24.0)	High (1_3)	2 (3 2)	1 (2 0)	- 1.000	
Low (0-1) 62 (100) 49 (98.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) Arteriosclerosis 52 (83.9) 38 (76.0) 0.297 High (2-3) 10 (16.1) 12 (24.0) 0.297	Arteriolar hvalinosis	L (3.2)	1 (2.0)	L	
Low (0-1) 02 (100) 49 (96.0) 0.446 High (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) 0.446 Arteriosclerosis		62 (100)	49 (98 0)		
Ingn (2-3) 0 (0) 1 (2.0) Arteriosclerosis Low (0-1) 52 (83.9) 38 (76.0) High (2-3) 10 (16.1) 12 (24.0)	Low $(0-1)$	0.(0)	1 (20)	0.446	
Low (0-1) 52 (83.9) 38 (76.0) 0.297 High (2-3) 10 (16.1) 12 (24.0) 0.297	Arteriosclaracia	0(0)	1 (2.0)	l	
Low (0-1) 52 (05.9) 38 (70.0) 0.297 High (2-3) 10 (16.1) 12 (24.0) 0.297		52 (82.0)	28 (76 0)		
11gn (2-3) 10 (10.1) 12 (24.0)	Luw (U-1)	10 (16 1)	12 (24.0)	0.297	
Continued	Continued	10 (10.1)	12 (24.0)	l	

Histologic lesion scores (N, (%))	Patients without ESKD (N=62)	Patients with ESKD (N=50)	P value
Interstitial fibrosis			
Low (0–1)	50 (80.6)	27 (54.0)	0.002
High (2–3)	12 (19.4)	23 (46.0)	0.002
Tubular atrophy			
Low (0–1)	44 (71.0)	21 (42.0)	0.002
High (2–3)	18 (29.0)	29 (58.0)	0.002
Mesangial hypercellularity			
Low (0–1)	59 (95.2) 49 (98.0)		0.627
High (2-3)	3 (4.8)	1 (2.0)	0.027
Global glomerulitis			
Low (0)	60 (96.8)	42 (84.0)	0.041
High (1-3)	2 (3.2)	8 (16.0)	0.041
Glom. fibrinoid necrosis			
Low (0)	32 (51.6)	32 (64.0)	0.188
High (1–3)	30 (48.4)	18 (36.0)	0.100

Table 2. Comparison of histopathologic features between patients with ESKD and those without ESKD. Values are expressed as a median (interquartile range, IQR) or N (%). *AAV* ANCA-associated vasculitis, *ANCA* antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody, *ESKD* end-stage kidney disease, *IFTA* interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy, *GBM* glomerular basement membrane. [†]Mean percentage of each group. For each patient, the percentage was calculated by dividing the number of lesional glomeruli by the total number of glomeruli.

analysis, only a high global glomerulitis score was an independent predictor of ESKD progression. Finally, in terms of clinical and histologic lesion scores, a high global glomerulitis score could also predict ESKD progression in addition to serum BUN and creatinine levels at AAV-GN diagnosis.

Because the number of patients with EGPA-GN and GPA-GN was small, it might be difficult to analyse them by dividing them into 5 groups. We excluded 5 and 8 patients with EGPA-GN, and GPA-GN, respectively, and performed the univariable and multivariable Cox analyses in the remaining 100 patients again. In the univariable Cox analysis of variables regarding histologic lesion scores for ESKD during follow-up in 100 patients with AAV-GN excluding patients with EGPA-GN, and GPA-GN, interstitial fibrosis was not significantly associated with ESKD. Instead, arterial intimal fibrosis was significantly associated with ESKD. In the multivariable Cox analysis, in addition to global glomerulitis (HR 3.253, 95% CI 1.410, 7.505), tubular atrophy (HR 2.123, 95% CI 1.133, 3.977) was also independently associated with ESKD (Supplementary Table S1). Also, in the multivariable Cox analysis, of variables regarding clinical features and histologic lesion scores with significance in univariable analysis for the progression to ESKD during follow-up in 100 patients with AAV-GN excluding patients with EGPA-GN, and GPA-GN, in addition to serum creatinine (HR 1.794, 95% CI 1.407, 2.287), only global glomerulitis was independently associated with ESKD (HR 3.157, 1.234, 8.076) (Supplementary Table S2). However, we hope to include patients with EGPA-GN and GPA-GN patients in this study for three reasons: first, in both analyses including or excluding patients with EGPA-GN and GPA-GN, only global glomerulitis was independently associated with ESKD; second, in real clinical practice, it may be sometimes difficult to clearly distinguish AAV subtypes in one patient; and third, there are also occasional cases in which the AAV subtype changes in one patient during the follow-up period owing to the change and update of the classification criteria for AAV.

Although the difference between the focal and mixed classes has been questioned^{20–22}, the Kaplan Meier curve for renal survival according to histopathological classification in the present study demonstrated a different pattern from those described previously^{12,13}. The survival curve of the focal class crossed over the mixed and crescentic classes, finally reaching worse survival than those of the other two classes. In other studies, the focal class retained the best survival among the four classes during follow-up^{12,13}. First, this may occur due to the heterogeneous nature of the focal class, which may include glomeruli with an underlying pathology that is not yet fully observable by light microscopy. Second, the classification originally suggested a minimum requirement of 10 glomeruli in kidney biopsy samples. However, the present study did not exclude kidney biopsy samples with < 10 glomeruli, thereby allowing little chance of including glomeruli with true lesions, such as crescents or glomerulosclerosis, and erroneously classifying them into the focal class. For example, if the total number of glomeruli obtained from a biopsy is 9, and 4 of them are normal, it would not be classified as focal class. However, if the total number of glomeruli obtained is 8, and 4 of them are normal, it would be classified as focal class. In other words, the classification changes with the number of normal glomeruli changes by one where the total number of glomeruli is less than 10, but it is doubtful whether the change is meaningful enough to represent the unsampled kidney. The histopathological classification itself also has limitations as it does not include tubulointerstitial or vascular lesions. Moreover, the therapeutic effects on renal survival have not been considered¹².

The results of the multivariable Cox hazard model analysis revealed that BUN, serum creatinine, and global glomerulitis were independently associated with the progression to ESKD in patients with AAV-GN. Since both BUN and serum creatinine are well-known clinical risk factors for ESKD occurrence along with eGFR, it was not unexpected that they were independent predictors of ESKD. Moreover, this finding also supported the validity of the analysis in the present study. Among the variables related to histopathological lesion scores, only



Figure 1. Comparison of the cumulative ESKD-free survival rates. (**A**) The sclerotic class exhibited the lowest ESKD-free survival rate among the four histopathological classes in patients with AAV-GN. (**B**) Patients with high scores of interstitial fibrosis, tubular atrophy and endocapillary hypercellularity showed significantly lower cumulative ESKD-free survival rates compared to those with low scores. *ESKD* end-stage kidneye disease, *AAV-GN* antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis-glomerulonephritis.

	Univariable			Multivariable			
Variables	HR	95% CI	P value	HR	95% CI	P value	
Acute tubular injury	0.688	0.387, 1.223	0.202				
Medullary angiitis	1.037	0.577, 1.863	0.903				
Arterial intimal fibrosis	1.600	0.903, 2.834	0.108				
Arterial medial sclerosis	1.933	0.903, 4.135	0.089				
Interstitial inflammation	1.216	0.688, 2.152	0.501				
Tubulitis	1.070	0.598, 1.912	0.820				
Vasculitis	0.562	0.201, 1.570	0.272				
Glomerulitis	1.061	0.381, 2.955	0.910				
Peritubular capillaritis	1.137	0.642, 2.013	0.661				
Total inflammation	1.215	0.684, 2.161	0.506				
Inflammation in IFTA	1.026	0.578, 1.822	0.930				
GBM double contour	0.726	0.100, 5.272	0.752				
Arteriolar hyalinosis	5.576	0.746, 41.663	0.094				
Arteriosclerosis	1.501	0.780, 2.889	0.224				
Interstitial fibrosis	2.074	1.171, 3.674	0.012	1.335	0.638, 2.792	0.443	
Tubular atrophy	2.259	1.274, 4.066	0.005	1.878	0.898, 3.927	0.094	
Mesangial hypercellularity	0.506	0.070, 3.671	0.501				
Global glomerulitis	3.311	1.520, 7.209	0.003	3.164	1.440, 6.949	0.004	
Glomerular fibrinoid necrosis	0.726	0.402, 1.312	0.289				

Table 3. Cox hazards model analysis of variables regarding histologic lesion scores for ESKD during follow-up in patients AAV-GN. *ESKD* end-stage kidney disease, *AAV* ANCA-associated vasculitis, *ANCA* antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody, *GN* glomerulonephritis, *UPIGN* unclassifiable pauci-immune glomerulonephritis, *IFTA* interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy.

	Univariable		Multivariable			
Variables	HR	95% CI	P value	HR	95% CI	P value
Age (years)	1.000	0.982, 1.018	0.998			
Male gender (N, (%))	1.384	0.769, 2.493	0.278			
MPO-ANCA (or P-ANCA) positivity	0.732	0.396, 1.350	0.318			
PR3-ANCA (or C-ANCA) positivity	1.742	0.740, 4.103	0.204			
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	0.855	0.700, 1.045	0.126			
BUN (mg/dL)	1.016	1.006, 1.026	0.002	0.964	0.940, 0.988	0.004
Serum creatinine (mg/dL)	1.406	1.238, 1.559	< 0.001	1.830	1.454, 2.302	< 0.001
Uric acid	1.195	1.039, 1.374	0.012	1.186	0.936, 1.503	0.157
ESR (mm/h)	0.994	0.985, 1.002	0.157			
CRP (mg/L)	0.994	0.986, 1.001	0.102			
Urine protein-to-creatinine ratio	1.141	1.052, 1.237	0.002	1.080	0.974, 1.197	0.144
Haematuria	1.118	0.556, 2.245	0.755			
C3 (mg/dL)	1.001	0.998, 1.004	0.496			
C4 (mg/dL)	1.000	0.974, 1.027	0.996			
Interstitial fibrosis	2.074	1.171, 3.674	0.012	0.815	0.385, 1.727	0.594
Tubular atrophy	2.259	1.274, 4.066	0.005	1.804	0.853, 3.812	0.122
Global glomerulitis	3.311	1.520, 7.209	0.003	3.325	1.373, 8.050	0.008

Table 4. Cox hazards model analysis of variables regarding clinical features and histologic lesion scores with significance in univariable analysis for the progression to ESKD during follow-up in patients AAV-GN. eGFR (EPI) is calculated using 4 parameters, sex, age, serum creatinine and race (all Korean patients (non-black)). Therefore, eGFR was not included in this analysis because age, sex and serum creatinine were analysed in this analysis. *ESKD* end-stage kidney disease, *AAV* ANCA-associated vasculitis, *ANCA* antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody, *GN* glomerulonephritis, *MPO* myeloperoxidase, *P* perinuclear, *PR3* proteinase 3, *C* cytoplasmic, *BUN* blood urea nitrogen, *ESR* erythrocyte sedimentation rate, *CRP* C-reactive protein, *C3* complement 3, *C4* complement 4.

global glomerulitis was a significant and independent predictor for the progression to ESKD in patients with AAV-GN²³⁻²⁶. Consistent with previous findings, the results of the univariable Cox analysis in the present study also demonstrated the predictive power of interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy for the progression to ESKD in patients with AAV-GN. Notably, global glomerulitis was more powerful than interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy in predicting ESKD. Glomerulitis or endocapillary hypercellularity (though not all endocapillary hypercellularity corresponds to glomerulitis because endocapillary hypercellularity includes mesangial or endothelial proliferation) has been described as a significant histologic parameter in some kidney diseases. Endocapillary hypercellularity was predictive of poorer renal survival in patients with IgA nephropathy not treated with immunosuppressants²⁷. It was also associated with better renal outcomes when treated with immunosuppressants in patients with IgA nephropathy^{28,29} or lupus nephritis³⁰, reflecting the reversible and active nature of the lesion. Endocapillary hypercellularity may result from immune-complex deposition on the capillary wall along with an activated alternative complement pathway. An influx of leukocytes releases cytokines and proteases, leading to endothelial injury and capillary damage due to proteinuria and haematuria³¹. Although glomerulitis itself did not reveal significant association with ESKD in the present study, narrowing the variable into global glomerulitis, a more severe form of glomerulitis, revealed a significance in predicting ESKD in patients with AAV-GN. Moreover, receiving treatment was not included in analysis as a variable, future studies may find more information on the association of global glomerulitis with ESKD after treatment.

The frequency of the sclerotic class based on histopathological classification and the proportion of global glomerular sclerosis at the time of kidney biopsy were significantly higher in patients with ESKD than in those without ESKD (Table 2). Therefore, these factors might contribute to the assessment of the progression to ESKD in AAV-GN. When they were included in the univariable Cox hazard model analysis along with significant variables related to clinical features and histologic lesion scores in univariable analysis, the sclerotic class (HR 2.299) and global glomerular sclerosis (HR 1.016) were significantly associated with the progression to ESKD. However, they were not independent predictors of ESKD in the multivariable Cox analysis. BUN (HR 0.961, 95% CI 0.937, 0.985), serum creatinine (HR 1.911, 95% CI 1.512, 2.416) and global glomerulitis (HR 3.781, 95% CI 1.514, 9.445) were also significantly and independently associated with the progression to ESKD (Supplementary Table S3). Thus, close follow-up and prompt intervention in patients with low BUN, high creatinine, and global glomerulitis in renal biopsy might prevent the progression to ESKD.

On the other hand, it is already well known that the sclerosing type has a poor prognosis. Therefore, we excluded 28 patients with sclerotic type and performed the Cox analyses again. In the univariable Cox analysis, only global glomerulitis was significantly associated with ESKD, and thus, the multivariable Cox analysis could not be performed (Supplementary Table S4). Therefore, we conclude that similar to the results analysed in all 113 patients, global glomerulitis is a major predictor of ESKD occurrence during follow-up, regardless of the inclusion of patients with sclerotic type.

In addition, we investigated the clinical significance of normal glomeruli at the time of the kidney biopsy in the progression to ESKD during follow-up in patients with AAV-GN by performing the univariable and multivariable Cox analyses using the % normal glomeruli, % crescents and % sclerotic glomeruli. In the univariable analysis, among the three variables, global glomerulosclerosis (%) (HR 1.016) and normal (%) (HR 0.972) were significantly associated with ESKD, whereas, in the multivariable analysis, only normal (%) was independently associated with ESKD during follow-up in patients with AAV-GN (HR 0.975, 95% CI 0.958, 0.992) (Supplementary Table S5). Therefore, it is concluded that a finding of normal glomeruli at the time of the kidney biopsy may play a protective role in the progression to ESKD during follow-up in patients with AAV-GN.

Among studies that have investigated the association between histopathological signs and ESKD³², some studies have utilized parts of the Banff scoring system to assess the risk of ESKD33-35. However, not only have we adopted the entire Banff scoring system, but also incorporated new item such as global glomerulitis. Thus, the strength of this study is that this is the first to extensively evaluate all three components (glomerular, tubulointerstitial, and vascular lesions) that constitute renal pathology, and evaluate the risk of ESKD. In addition, this study first discovered that a high global glomerulitis was an independent predictor of ESKD in patients with AAV-GN. This study has several limitations. The number of patients was not sufficiently large to represent Korean patients with AAV-GN and the statistical power of the retrospective study design was not as high as that of a prospective study. The follow-up period was not long enough to confirm future differentiation of the AAV-GN subtype in patients with UPIGN, and the interobserver variation among the pathologists was not evaluated. Additionally, another limitation is that the "10 events per variable" rule, which is a common heuristic to balance model complexity and statistical power, was not obeyed in the Cox analyses. However, given that it is not a strict rule and can vary based on the study context, and we considered factors like variable importance and interactions, it is believed that this limitation might be tolerated. Future prospective studies with larger numbers of patients and serial collections of clinical data will overcome these limitations and provide more reliable and clearer information on the histopathological predictors of the progression to ESKD in patients with AAV-GN.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated the worst renal prognosis for the sclerotic class among the four histopathological classes. High histological lesion scores for interstitial fibrosis, tubular atrophy, and global glomerulitis were significantly associated with the progression to ESKD in Korean patients with AAV-GN. Finally, this study is the first to show that global glomerulitis independently predicted the progression to ESKD in Korean patients with AAV-GN. Therefore, more careful monitoring and shorter follow-ups are needed in patients with AAV-GN with high global glomerulitis scores in the biopsy findings.

Data availability

Data and material can be requested from Sang-Won Lee (sangwonlee@yuhs.ac) on reasonable request.

Received: 20 March 2023; Accepted: 31 August 2023 Published online: 08 September 2023

References

- 1. Jennette, J. C. *et al.* 2012 revised international Chapel Hill consensus conference nomenclature of vasculitides. *Arthritis Rheum* **65**, 1–11 (2013).
- 2. Watts, R. *et al.* Development and validation of a consensus methodology for the classification of the ANCA-associated vasculitides and polyarteritis nodosa for epidemiological studies. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* **66**, 222–227 (2007).
- Jennette, J. C. et al. Nomenclature of systemic vasculitides. Proposal of an international consensus conference. Arthritis Rheum 37, 187–192 (1994).
- Cornec, D., Cornec-Le Gall, E., Fervenza, F. C. & Specks, U. ANCA-associated vasculitis—clinical utility of using ANCA specificity to classify patients. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 12, 570–579 (2016).
- Hakroush, S., Tampe, D., Strobel, P., Korsten, P. & Tampe, B. Comparative histological subtyping of immune cell infiltrates in MPO-ANCA and PR3-ANCA glomerulonephritis. Front. Immunol. 12, 737708 (2021).
- Millet, A., Pederzoli-Ribeil, M., Guillevin, L., Witko-Sarsat, V. & Mouthon, L. Republished: Antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodyassociated vasculitides: Is it time to split up the group?. Postgrad. Med. J. 90, 290–296 (2014).
- Kim, M. K. et al. Multivariable index for assessing the activity and predicting all-cause mortality in antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis. J. Clin. Lab. Anal. 34, e23022 (2020).
- Park, P. G. et al. Metabolic syndrome severity score, comparable to serum creatinine, could predict the occurrence of end-stage kidney disease in patients with antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis. J. Clin. Med. 10 (2021).
- 9. Wang, R. et al. Clinicopathological characteristics and influencing factors of renal vascular lesions in anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic autoantibody-related renal vasculitis. Front. Med. (Lausanne) 8, 710386 (2021).
- Solbakken, V., Fismen, A. S., Bostad, L. & Bjorneklett, R. Impact of proteinase 3 versus myeloperoxidase positivity on risk of endstage renal disease in ANCA-associated glomerulonephritis stratified by histological classification: A population-based cohort study. Dis. Markers 2018, 3251517 (2018).
- Brix, S. R. et al. Development and validation of a renal risk score in ANCA-associated glomerulonephritis. Kidney Int. 94, 1177– 1188 (2018).
- 12. Berden, A. E. et al. Histopathologic classification of ANCA-associated glomerulonephritis. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 21, 1628–1636 (2010).
- Lim, J. H. et al. Histopathologic and clinicopathologic classifications of antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated glomerulonephritis: A validation study in a Korean cohort. Kidney Res. Clin. Pract. 40, 77–88 (2021).
- 14. Sato, N. et al. Renal-limited vasculitis with elevated levels of multiple antibodies. CEN Case Rep. 6, 79-84 (2017).
- Naidu, G. S. et al. Histopathological classification of pauci-immune glomerulonephritis and its impact on outcome. *Rheumatol.* Int. 34, 1721–1727 (2014).
- Agarwal, R. Defining end-stage renal disease in clinical trials: A framework for adjudication. Nephrol. Dial Transplant 31, 864–867 (2016).
- 17. Bajema, I. M. *et al.* Revision of the International Society of Nephrology/Renal Pathology Society classification for lupus nephritis: clarification of definitions, and modified National Institutes of Health activity and chronicity indices. *Kidney Int.* **93**, 789–796 (2018).

- Roufosse, C. et al. A 2018 reference guide to the Banff classification of renal allograft pathology. Transplantation 102, 1795–1814 (2018).
- Working Group of the International Ig, A. N. N. et al. The Oxford classification of IgA nephropathy: Pathology definitions, correlations, and reproducibility. Kidney Int. 76, 546–556 (2009).
- Bjorneklett, R., Šriskandarajah, S. & Bostad, L. prognostic value of histologic classification of ANCA-associated glomerulonephritis. Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 11, 2159–2167 (2016).
- Cordova-Sanchez, B. M. et al. Clinical presentation and outcome prediction of clinical, serological, and histopathological classification schemes in ANCA-associated vasculitis with renal involvement. Clin. Rheumatol. 35, 1805–1816 (2016).
- 22. Moroni, G. *et al.* Predictors of renal survival in ANCA-associated vasculitis. Validation of a histopatological classification schema and review of the literature. *Clin. Exp. Rheumatol.* **33**, S56–S63 (2015).
- Bajema, I. M. et al. Kidney biopsy as a predictor for renal outcome in ANCA-associated necrotizing glomerulonephritis. Kidney Int. 56, 1751–1758 (1999).
- Tanna, A. *et al.* Long-term outcome of anti-neutrophil cytoplasm antibody-associated glomerulonephritis: Evaluation of the international histological classification and other prognostic factors. *Nephrol. Dial Transplant* 30, 1185–1192 (2015).
- de Lind van Wijngaarden, R. A. et al. Clinical and histologic determinants of renal outcome in ANCA-associated vasculitis: A prospective analysis of 100 patients with severe renal involvement. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 17, 2264–2274 (2006).
- Hauer, H. A. et al. Determinants of outcome in ANCA-associated glomerulonephritis: a prospective clinico-histopathological analysis of 96 patients. Kidney Int. 62, 1732–1742 (2002).
- Chakera, A. *et al.* Prognostic value of endocapillary hypercellularity in IgA nephropathy patients with no immunosuppression. J. Nephrol. 29, 367–375 (2016).
- Trimarchi, H. et al. Oxford classification of IgA nephropathy 2016: An update from the IgA nephropathy classification working group. Kidney Int. 91, 1014–1021 (2017).
- Shen, X. H. *et al.* Reversal of active glomerular lesions after immunosuppressive therapy in patients with IgA nephropathy: A repeat-biopsy based observation. *J. Nephrol.* 28, 441–449 (2015).
- Obrisca, B. et al. Histological predictors of renal outcome in lupus nephritis: the importance of tubulointerstitial lesions and scoring of glomerular lesions. Lupus 27, 1455–1463 (2018).
- Sethi, S. & Rajkumar, S. V. Monoclonal gammopathy-associated proliferative glomerulonephritis. Mayo Clin. Proc. 88, 1284–1293 (2013).
- Boud'hors, C. et al. Histopathological prognostic factors in ANCA-associated glomerulonephritis. Autoimmun. Rev. 21, 103139 (2022).
- Bitton, L. *et al.* Tubulointerstitial damage and interstitial immune cell phenotypes are useful predictors for renal survival and relapse in antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated vasculitis. *J. Nephrol.* 33, 771–781 (2020).
- Quintana, L. F. et al. ANCA serotype and histopathological classification for the prediction of renal outcome in ANCA-associated glomerulonephritis. Nephrol. Dial Transplant 29, 1764–1769 (2014).
- Nohr, E., Girard, L., James, M. & Benediktsson, H. Validation of a histopathologic classification scheme for antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody-associated glomerulonephritis. *Hum. Pathol.* 45, 1423–1429 (2014).

Author contributions

S.L. and B.J.L. conceived the study; J.Y.P., S.S.A., J.J.S., and Y.P. participated in the study design; S.B.L. and S.C. collected the data; S.L. and S.C. performed the statistical analyses; S.L. and S.C. drafted the manuscript; S.C. and B.J.L. edited and checked the manuscript. All the authors read and approved the final manuscript. Consent to publication was obtained from all participants and all authors.

Funding

This research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea Grant funded by the Korean Government (NRF-2019R1F1A1058877), CELLTRION PHARM, Inc. Chungcheongbuk-do, Republic of Korea (NCR 2019-6), and Chong Kun Dang Pharmaceutical Corp, Seoul, Republic of Korea. The funder was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article or the decision to submit it for publication. All authors declare no other competing interests.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-41811-0.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.J.L. or S.-W.L.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023