



National Trends in Sadness, Suicidality, and COVID-19 Pandemic-Related Risk Factors Among South Korean Adolescents From 2005 to 2021

Ho Geol Woo, MD, PhD; Sangil Park, MD; Hyunju Yon, MD; Seung Won Lee, MD, PhD; Ai Koyanagi, MD, PhD; Louis Jacob, PhD; Lee Smith, PhD; Wonyoung Cho, PhD; Chanyang Min, PhD; Jinseok Lee, PhD; Hayeon Lee, MS; Rosie Kwon, MS; Guillaume Fond, PhD; Laurent Boyer, PhD; Yoonie Yoonjung Joo, PhD; Yong Sung Choi, MD, PhD; Seung-Geun Yeo, MD, PhD; Sang Youl Rhee, MD, PhD; Jae Il Shin, MD, PhD; Dong Keon Yon, MD

Abstract

IMPORTANCE Despite the COVID-19 pandemic's effect on daily life, limited research exists on the prevalence and risk factors of suicidality and sadness among South Korean adolescents.

OBJECTIVES To examine whether the observed sadness and suicidality in the early to middle periods of the COVID-19 pandemic differed from the expected level and to investigate changes in risk factors for sadness and suicidality.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS This nationwide serial cross-sectional survey study used data on 1 109 776 Korean adolescents aged 13 to 18 years from the Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey from 2005 to 2021.

EXPOSURE The COVID-19 pandemic.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES The pattern of changes in the percentage or proportion of sadness or suicidality, as well as the risk factors for sadness or suicidality. The transitional effect of the COVID-19 pandemic was assessed using weighted odds ratios (wORs) or weighted beta coefficients with 95% CIs.

RESULTS Between 2005 and 2021, 1 109 776 adolescents (mean [SD] age, 15.0 [1.7] years; 51.5% male adolescents; and 51.7% in grades 7-9 and 48.3% in grades 10-12) were included in the Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey. The slope of the long-term trends in sadness and suicidality decreased in the prepandemic period (sadness: from 37.8% [95% CI, 37.4%-38.2%] in 2005-2007 to 26.1% [95% CI, 25.9%-26.4%] in 2016-2019; suicidality: from 23.0% [95% CI, 22.7%-23.3%] in 2005-2007 to 12.3% [95% CI, 12.1%-12.5%] in 2016-2019), whereas the slope increased during the COVID-19 pandemic (sadness: from 25.0% [95% CI, 24.5%-25.6%] in 2020 to 26.6% [95% CI, 26.1%-27.1%] in 2021; trend difference in β , 0.249 [95% CI, 0.236-0.262]; suicidality: from 10.7% [95% CI, 10.3%-11.1%] in 2020 to 12.5% [95% CI, 12.1%-12.9%] in 2021; trend difference in β , 0.328 [95% CI, 0.312-0.344]). The trends presented a similar tendency in the subgroups according to sex, school grade, residential area, smoking status, and current alcohol use. Compared with the prepandemic period, the risk factors associated with sadness during the pandemic were younger age (wOR, 0.907; 95% CI, 0.881-0.933), female sex (wOR, 1.031; 95% CI, 1.001-1.062), urban residence (wOR, 1.120; 95% CI, 1.087-1.153), current smoking status (wOR, 1.134; 95% CI, 1.059-1.216), and current alcohol use (wOR, 1.051; 95% CI, 1.002-1.102). Female sex (wOR, 1.064; 95% CI, 1.021-1.109), urban residence (wOR, 1.117; 95% CI, 1.074-1.162), and low economic status (wOR, 1.286; 95% CI, 1.180-1.403) were the risk factors significantly associated with suicidality after the COVID-19 pandemic began.

(continued)

Key Points

Question How has the long-term trend of suicidality among Korean youths changed during the COVID-19 pandemic?

Findings In this nationwide serial cross-sectional survey study from 2005 to 2021 of 1 109 776 Korean adolescents aged 13 to 18 years, the slope of the long-term trends in suicidality decreased in the prepandemic period (from 23.0% in 2005-2007 to 12.3% in 2016-2019), whereas the slope increased during the COVID-19 pandemic (from 10.7% in 2020 to 12.5% in 2021). The risk factors for suicidality during the pandemic were younger age, female sex, urban residence, smoking, current alcohol use, and low economic status.

Meaning These results can help improve the understanding of suicidality during the COVID-19 pandemic.

+ Supplemental content

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

Abstract (continued)

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE In this nationwide serial cross-sectional survey study of South Korean adolescents, the slope of the prevalence of sadness and suicidality increased during the COVID-19 pandemic after a decrease prior to the pandemic. The findings suggest that public health measures are needed to recognize vulnerable groups with risk factors and to prevent an increase in sadness and suicidality among adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic.

JAMA Network Open. 2023;6(5):e2314838. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.14838

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic may exacerbate current mental health problems and lead to an increase in the incidence of mental disorders among children and adolescents due to the rare convergence of public health crisis, social isolation, and economic downturn.¹ In the US, the growing trend of youth suicide rates and depression has become a major concern. According to the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the suicide rate for young people aged 10 to 24 years increased by 57.4% from 2007 to 2018.² Furthermore, previous studies have suggested that the spread of SARS-CoV-2 was associated with an increase in depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation.^{1,3} However, other conflicting reports suggested that suicidality decreased or remained stable during the COVID-19 pandemic.⁴⁻⁷ Furthermore, during the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic, overall suicide rates in high-income and upper-middle-income countries were largely constant or declining.⁷ Rapid financial support was provided during the early stages of the pandemic to mitigate the economic consequences of the pandemic and prevent increased suicide rates. However, this may change as government support decreases over time and the economy worsens.

According to available data, Korean adolescents have experienced high rates of mental health problems, including suicidal ideation, suicide attempts, and self-injury,⁸ due to a number of factors, including academic pressure, social isolation, and cultural stigma surrounding mental health.⁹ In addition, there have been concerns about the increase in overdose deaths among Korean young people.¹⁰ Addressing the underlying causes of these issues, providing access to mental health resources, and reducing the associated stigma are important steps toward improving the mental health and well-being of Korean adolescents.

Nevertheless, to our knowledge, there are few systemic data indicating a connection between COVID-19 and an increased risk of suicidality.^{1,3} Thus, this study aimed to analyze trends using nationally representative survey data from 2005 to 2021 involving South Korean adolescents. We evaluated the association of the pandemic with sadness and suicidality by comparing the trends and risk factors of sadness and suicidality during the prepandemic period (2005-2019) and pandemic period (2020-2021). In addition, this study investigated whether the levels of sadness and suicidality observed during the pandemic differed from the expected levels.

Methods

Sample Selection and Data Collection

This study was designed and conducted in accordance with the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) reporting guideline for investigative research. Our methods adhere to these guidelines by providing information on the study design, sampling procedures, response rates, and measures used in this research.¹¹ The Kyung Hee University and the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency approved the study protocol. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants at enrollment.

We used the nationally representative data of 1 109 776 adolescents from the Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey (KYRBS), which was conducted annually between 2005 and 2021 and

overseen by the Ministry of Education and the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency. This survey is an ongoing national cross-sectional survey to assess health risk behaviors among middle- and high-school students. The sampling strategy has been designed each year. It is possible that some of the adolescents had responded to the same survey more than once. First, the population was stratified using region and school level as stratification variables. Second, proportional assignment was conducted to match the ratio of population to sample composition. Third, for sampling, the first extraction unit was school and the second extraction unit was class using stratified cluster sampling (eFigure 1 in Supplement 1).¹² Then, the level of youth health behavior was estimated by weighting the students who participated in the survey to represent Korean youth. Through this method, approximately 2% of students conducted the survey as representatives.

As a result, 30 015 middle school respondents and 24 833 high school respondents represent 1 339 993 middle school students and 1 289 595 high school students nationwide in 2021. Adolescents in middle and high schools between 13 and 18 years of age were recruited and voluntarily participated in the web-based survey at their individual schools (mean response rate, 95%).¹³

Covariate Definitions

The variables included age, grade (7-9 [middle school] and 10-12 [high school]), sex, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared; continuous value), residential areas (rural areas [Chungbuk, Chungnam, Gangwon, Gyeongbuk, Gyeongnam, Gyeonggi, Jeonbuk, Jeonnam, and Jeju] and urban areas [Seoul, Busan, Daegu, Incheon, Gwangju, Daejeon, Ulsan, and Sejong]), current smoking status, and parents' highest educational level (high school or lower, college or higher, and unknown). Furthermore, we defined current drinkers as those who experienced alcohol drinking on 1 to 30 days within 1 month. In the survey, the adolescent participants were asked to respond to how many days they consumed an alcohol drink within the last 30 days: none, 1 to 2 days, 3 to 5 days, 6 to 9 days, 10 to 19 days, 20 to 29 days, and every day. We sorted these responses into 2 categories: none and current drinker (alcohol drinking on 1-30 days). Socioeconomic status was classified subjectively into high, middle-high, middle, middle-low, and low using the single question, "What do you perceive as your household economic status?"¹⁴ Furthermore, academic performance was measured by the question, "During the past year, how was your school achievement?" The response was classified into 5 categories: (1) high, (2) middle-high, (3) middle, (4) middle-low, and (5) low on average within the last 12 months.¹⁵

End Points

The main objective was to ascertain whether the COVID-19 pandemic had any association with suicidality and sadness trends across 17 years. We classified the pandemic periods as 2 categories: the years 2020 and 2021. The term *suicidality* was defined as suicidal thoughts, plans, and attempted suicide within 12 months for questionnaires as in previous studies.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ The same question assessed suicidal thoughts over the entire time period: "Have you ever thought seriously about trying to kill yourself in the past 12 months?" For respondents who reported having suicidal thoughts in the past 12 months, 2 additional questions evaluated suicide plans and attempts: "Did you make any plans to kill yourself in the past 12 months?" and "Did you try to kill yourself in the last 12 months?" Sadness was defined as feelings of despair at least once within the last 12 months.¹⁷ One question assessed past 12-month sadness: "In the past 12 months, have you felt so much sadness that you stopped your daily life for 2 weeks?"

In addition, we compared the trend shift for sadness and suicidality in each subgroup (by sex, grade, location of residence, and smoking status) before and during the COVID-19 pandemic and used weighted means calculated through inverse probability weighting (eFigure 1 in Supplement 1).

Statistical Analysis

To assess the pattern of changes in the percentage or proportion of sadness and suicidal ideation, we used data from the KYRBS between 2005 and 2021, stratified by sex, grade, residence area, and

smoking status, and weighted mean values with 95% CIs and crude numbers with percentages. Time periods were divided into 3- to 4-year cycles for the prepandemic period (2005-2007, 2008-2011, 2012-2015, and 2016-2019) and the COVID-19 pandemic period (years 2020 and 2021) to stabilize the longitudinal trend.¹⁸

This repeated cross-sectional survey study confirmed the statistical significance of changes and trends over time through graphical methods¹⁹ and regression analysis.²⁰ Using binary logistic regression models, we conducted weighted complex sampling analysis to represent the nationwide population. The results of these analyses are shown as weighted odds ratios (wORs) for prevalence or weighted β coefficients with 95% CIs (eFigure 1 in Supplement 1).²¹ The KYRBS cycle was our preferred option for binary regression (latest prepandemic period [2016-2019] vs pandemic period [2020-2021]).

Using the Fisher exact test for categorical variables and the *t* test for continuous variables, it was possible to compare the changes in risk factors between the pre-COVID-19 pandemic (2005-2019) and COVID-19 pandemic (2020-2021) periods.²² In addition, we confirmed the linearity assumption of body mass index using the Box-Tidwell test.²³ If the tolerance is less than 1, the variance inflation factor is 10 or higher, or the correlation coefficient is 0.9 or higher through linear regression analysis, then the variable is excluded as a multicollinearity variable. To evaluate independent risk factors for sadness and suicidality, variables with $P < .10$ from the univariate logistic regression analysis were used to input variables. Weighted ORs with 95% CIs were provided to determine whether the magnitude of the risk factors differed before and after the pandemic.

All analyses were performed using SPSS, version 25.0 (IBM Corp) and R software, version 4.2.1 (R Group for Statistical Computing). A 2-sided $P < .05$ was considered statistically significant.

Results

Crude data on 1 109 776 adolescents (mean [SD] age, 15.0 [1.7] years; 51.7% in grades 7-9 and 48.3% in grades 10-12; and 106 979 adolescents during the pandemic) were collected in the KYRBS from 2005 to 2021, including 572 055 males (crude, 51.5%; weighted, 52.4% [95% CI, 51.8%-53.1%]).

Table 1 and eTable 1 in Supplement 1 provide an overview of the demographic characteristics. The weighted estimated mean age was 15.04 years (95% CI, 15.03-15.05 years), 50.3% (95% CI, 49.9%-50.6%) were in grades 7 to 9 (middle school), and 49.7% (95% CI, 49.4%-50.1%) were in grades 10 to 12 (high school).

The Figure and eFigure 2 in Supplement 1 show the trends in the prevalence of sadness and suicidality among Korean adolescents from 2005 to 2021. The prevalence rates for both items decreased steadily over time, with different slopes except during the COVID-19 pandemic. Table 2 and Table 3 present the trends and proportions of sadness and suicidality from 2005 to 2021 with regression slope coefficients denoted by β . The slope of the long-term trends in sadness and suicidality decreased in the prepandemic period (sadness: from 37.8% [95% CI, 37.4%-38.2%] in 2005-2007 to 26.1% [95% CI, 25.9%-26.4%] in 2016-2019 [Table 2]; suicidality: from 23.0% [95% CI, 22.7%-23.3%] in 2005-2007 to 12.3% [95% CI, 12.1%-12.5%] in 2016-2019 [Table 3]), whereas the slope increased during the COVID-19 pandemic (sadness: from 25.0% [95% CI, 24.5%-25.6%] in 2020 to 26.6% [95% CI, 26.1%-27.1%] in 2021; trend difference in β , 0.249 [95% CI, 0.236-0.262] [Table 2]; suicidality: from 10.7% [95% CI, 10.3%-11.1%] in 2020 to 12.5% [95% CI, 12.1%-12.9%] in 2021; trend difference in β , 0.328 [95% CI, 0.312-0.344] [Table 3]). The slope of the 17-year trends in the overall prevalence of sadness and suicidality presented a similar tendency in subgroups according to sex, grade, residential area, smoking, and current alcohol consumption (Table 2 and Table 3).

Compared with the prepandemic period, after the COVID-19 pandemic began, younger age (reference, middle school grade: wOR, 0.907; 95% CI, 0.881-0.933; $P < .001$), female sex (reference, male: wOR, 1.031; 95% CI, 1.001-1.062; $P = .04$), urban residence (reference, rural residence: wOR, 1.120; 95% CI, 1.087-1.153; $P < .001$), current smoking status (reference, no smoking: wOR, 1.134; 95% CI, 1.059-1.216; $P < .001$), and current alcohol use (reference, no alcohol use: wOR, 1.051; 95% CI,

1.002-1.102; $P = .04$) were the risk factors significantly associated with sadness (Table 4). Female sex (reference, male: 1.064; 95% CI, 1.021-1.109; $P = .003$) and urban residence (reference, rural residence: wOR, 1.117; 95% CI, 1.074-1.162; $P < .001$) were the risk factors significantly associated with suicidality after the COVID-19 pandemic began. In addition, compared with the highest economic level, lower economic level was a risk factor associated with suicidality, with the largest pandemic-to-prepandemic ratio of wOR at the middle-low economic level (1.286; 95% CI, 1.180-1.403) (Table 4; eTables 2-5 in Supplement 1).

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Participating Adolescents in the Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey, 2005-2021

Variable	Weighted sample					
	Overall	2005-2007	2008-2011	2012-2015	2016-2019	COVID-19 pandemic (2020-2021)
Estimated weighted sample size, mean (SD)	56 122 639 (226 267)	10 518 980 (109 115)	15 148 697 (126 299)	13 901 009 (89 830)	11 418 859 (89 830)	5 135 095 (58 931)
Proportion (95% CI)	100.0 (100.0-100.0)	18.7 (18.4-19.1)	27.0 (26.6-27.4)	24.8 (24.4-25.1)	20.3 (20.1-20.6)	9.1 (9.0-9.4)
Age, mean (95% CI), y	15.04 (15.03-15.05)	14.88 (14.85-14.91)	15.08 (15.06-15.11)	14.99 (14.97-15.01)	15.12 (15.09-15.14)	15.20 (15.17-15.24)
School grade, proportion (95% CI)						
7-9 (Middle school)	50.3 (49.9-50.6)	56.3 (55.3-57.2)	50.6 (49.8-51.4)	48.4 (47.7-49.2)	46.4 (45.6-47.2)	50.4 (49.3-51.6)
10-12 (High school)	49.7 (49.4-50.1)	43.7 (42.8-44.7)	49.4 (48.6-50.2)	51.6 (50.8-52.3)	53.6 (52.8-54.4)	49.6 (48.4-50.7)
Sex, proportion (95% CI)						
Male	52.4 (51.8-53.1)	52.9 (51.2-54.6)	52.8 (51.4-54.2)	52.3 (50.9-53.7)	52.1 (50.7-53.4)	51.9 (50.2-53.6)
Female	47.6 (46.9-48.2)	47.1 (45.4-48.8)	47.7 (45.8-48.6)	47.7 (46.3-49.1)	47.9 (46.6-49.3)	48.1 (46.4-49.8)
BMI, mean (95% CI)	20.79 (20.78-20.81)	20.48 (20.45-20.51)	20.48 (20.45-20.50)	20.73 (20.70-20.75)	21.25 (21.22-21.27)	21.55 (21.51-21.60)
Residence, proportion (95% CI)						
Rural	45.9 (45.5-46.3)	47.3 (46.3-48.3)	50.1 (49.3-51.0)	44.0 (43.3-44.7)	43.0 (42.3-43.8)	42.2 (41.1-43.2)
Urban	54.1 (53.7-54.5)	52.7 (51.7-53.7)	49.9 (49.0-50.7)	56.0 (55.3-56.7)	57.0 (56.2-57.7)	57.8 (56.8-58.9)
Smoking, proportion (95% CI)	9.5 (9.4-9.7)	12.3 (11.9-12.6)	12.2 (11.9-12.5)	9.3 (9.0-9.6)	6.2 (6.0-6.4)	4.3 (4.1-4.5)
Current alcohol use, proportion (95% CI)	19.3 (19.2-19.5)	27.6 (27.2-28.1)	21.6 (21.2-21.9)	17.0 (16.7-17.3)	15.4 (15.1-15.7)	10.5 (10.2-10.8)
Sadness, proportion (95% CI)	31.5 (31.3-31.6)	37.8 (37.4-38.2)	36.4 (36.1-36.7)	27.8 (27.5-28.1)	26.1 (25.9-26.4)	25.8 (25.4-26.2)
Suicidality, proportion (95% CI)	16.6 (16.5-16.7)	23.0 (22.7-23.3)	18.9 (18.7-19.2)	14.7 (14.5-14.9)	12.3 (12.1-12.5)	11.6 (11.3-11.9)
Suicide attempt, proportion	3.6 (3.6-3.7)	5.1 (5.0-5.3)	4.4 (4.3-4.5)	3.2 (3.1-3.3)	2.5 (2.4-2.6)	2.0 (1.9-2.1)
Highest educational level of parents, proportion (95% CI)						
High school or lower	46.4 (46.1-46.7)	62.7 (62.0-63.4)	55.6 (55.1-56.2)	45.4 (45.0-45.9)	31.7 (31.2-32.1)	21.1 (20.6-21.7)
College or higher	38.5 (38.2-38.8)	27.2 (26.5-28.0)	33.9 (33.3-34.5)	42.1 (41.5-42.6)	47.8 (47.2-48.4)	44.9 (44.2-45.6)
Unknown	15.1 (15.0-15.2)	10.1 (9.8-10.3)	10.4 (10.2-10.7)	12.5 (12.3-12.7)	20.5 (20.2-20.9)	34.0 (33.4-34.5)
Economic level, proportion (95% CI)						
High	8.1 (8.0-8.2)	7.3 (7.1-7.5)	6.3 (6.1-6.5)	7.6 (7.4-7.8)	10.4 (10.2-10.7)	11.0 (10.7-11.3)
Middle-high	27.0 (26.8-27.2)	31.2 (30.7-31.6)	23.2 (22.9-23.5)	25.6 (25.3-25.9)	29.0 (28.7-29.3)	29.2 (28.7-29.6)
Middle	46.7 (46.6-46.9)	43.9 (43.5-44.3)	47.2 (47.0-47.5)	47.6 (47.3-47.9)	46.9 (46.6-47.3)	48.3 (47.8-48.8)
Middle-low	14.3 (14.2-14.5)	14.0 (13.7-14.3)	17.7 (17.5-18.0)	15.3 (15.1-15.5)	11.2 (11.1-11.4)	9.6 (9.3-9.8)
Low	3.8 (3.8-3.9)	3.7 (3.6-3.8)	5.6 (5.5-5.7)	3.9 (3.9-4.1)	2.3 (2.3-2.4)	1.9 (1.8-2.0)
School performance, proportion (95% CI)						
High	12.2 (12.1-12.3)	13.5 (13.3-13.8)	11.3 (11.1-11.5)	11.5 (11.3-11.7)	13.1 (12.9-13.3)	12.4 (12.1-12.7)
Middle-high	25.5 (25.4-25.6)	29.1 (28.8-29.3)	24.0 (23.8-24.2)	24.6 (24.4-24.7)	25.5 (25.3-25.7)	24.8 (24.4-25.1)
Middle	28.5 (28.4-28.6)	29.3 (29.0-29.6)	27.2 (27.0-27.5)	27.9 (27.7-28.1)	29.4 (29.2-29.6)	30.7 (30.4-31.0)
Middle-low	23.4 (23.3-23.5)	20.3 (20.1-20.6)	25.6 (25.4-25.8)	24.5 (24.3-24.7)	22.3 (22.1-22.6)	22.4 (22.1-22.7)
Low	10.4 (10.3-10.5)	7.8 (7.6-8.0)	11.9 (11.7-12.0)	11.6 (11.4-11.7)	9.7 (9.5-9.8)	9.7 (9.5-9.9)

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared).

Discussion

Findings and Explanation


This study analyzed trends and associated factors of sadness and suicidality during the COVID-19 pandemic compared with the prepandemic period using nationally representative survey data of over 1 million South Korean adolescents from 2005 to 2021. To our knowledge, this is the first long-term, large-scale study using a data set of over 1 million adolescents (including 106 979 adolescents during the pandemic) to examine trends and associated factors regarding sadness and suicidality. The prevalence rates of sadness and suicidality showed a steady decrease over time, with different slopes except during the COVID-19 pandemic. Although the overall prevalence of alcohol and smoking declined over the period, those who engaged in drinking and smoking behaviors showed increased sadness and suicidality during the pandemic. Younger age, female sex, urban residence, smoking, current alcohol use, and low economic status were risk factors significantly associated with sadness and suicidality during the pandemic. These results are in line with a previous study that suggested the pandemic may have an effect on vulnerable groups.²⁴

Plausible Mechanism

Our study showed that sadness and suicidality rates increased during the midpandemic period. As the COVID-19 pandemic has persisted, the nation's financial support for the economy steadily decreased.^{7,25} Adolescents worry about infecting themselves and their families, occasionally find social quarantine measures annoying, worry about when the pandemic will end, and feel alone as a result of the prolonged closure of schools and other public places. In addition, adolescents worry about the lack of opportunities to socialize outside.²⁶ Adolescents have been significantly affected psychologically by the COVID-19 pandemic; thus, it is important to assess trends over time to evaluate whether there is an association between the pandemic and sadness or suicidality in the pandemic period after 2021.

The risk factors for sadness and suicidality during the pandemic were young age, female sex, urban residence, smoking status, current alcohol use, and low economic status. Duan et al²⁶ found that urban inhabitants were more anxious than those in rural regions because COVID-19 outbreaks began in very densely populated areas. In comparison with male youths, female youths had higher levels of sadness and suicidality during the COVID-19 period, possibly because they may be more

Figure. Nationwide 17-Year Trends and Prevalence of Sadness and Suicidality Among 1 Million Korean Adolescents, 2005-2021



The trend line represented by a dotted line includes the period from 2015 to 2021. Error bars indicate weighted 95% CIs.

Table 2. National Weighted Prevalence and Trends for Sadness Among the Adolescent Population in South Korea, 2005-2021

Variable	Trends in sadness, weighted % (95% CI)										Trend analysis			2016-2019 [Reference] vs 2020-2021	
	2005-2007	2008-2011	2012-2015	2016-2019	2020-2021	2020	2021	Trend before pandemic, β (95% CI) ^a	P value	Trend after pandemic, β (95% CI) ^a	P value	Trend difference in β (95% CI)	wOR (95% CI) ^b	P value	
Overall	37.8 (37.4 to 38.2)	36.4 (36.1 to 36.7)	27.8 (27.5 to 28.1)	26.1 (25.9 to 26.4)	25.8 (25.4 to 26.2)	25.0 (24.5 to 25.6)	26.6 (26.1 to 27.1)	-0.229 (-0.237 to -0.222)	<.001	0.020 (0.010 to 0.031)	<.001	0.249 (0.236 to 0.262)	0.989 (0.967 to 1.010)	.30	
Sex															
Male	33.3 (32.9 to 33.8)	31.4 (31.1 to 31.7)	23.0 (22.7 to 23.3)	20.8 (20.5 to 21.1)	21.1 (20.7 to 21.6)	19.9 (19.3 to 20.5)	22.3 (21.7 to 22.9)	-0.265 (-0.274 to -0.225)	<.001	0.036 (0.023 to 0.049)	<.001	0.301 (0.273 to 0.329)	1.014 (0.989 to 1.041)	.30	
Female	42.8 (42.3 to 43.4)	41.9 (41.6 to 42.3)	33.0 (32.7 to 33.4)	31.9 (31.6 to 32.3)	30.9 (30.4 to 31.4)	30.6 (29.8 to 31.3)	31.2 (30.5 to 31.9)	-0.205 (-0.214 to -0.196)	<.001	0.007 (-0.004 to 0.019)	.22	0.212 (0.197 to 0.227)	0.969 (0.950 to 0.988)	.001	
School grade															
7-9 (Middle school)	34.6 (34.1 to 35.1)	33.3 (32.9 to 33.7)	25.7 (25.3 to 26.0)	24.3 (23.9 to 24.7)	24.4 (23.9 to 24.9)	22.8 (22.2 to 23.5)	25.8 (25.1 to 26.5)	-0.213 (-0.223 to -0.203)	<.001	0.040 (0.027 to 0.054)	<.001	0.253 (0.236 to 0.270)	1.004 (0.978 to 1.031)	.76	
10-12 (High school)	41.9 (41.4 to 42.4)	39.6 (39.1 to 40.0)	29.8 (29.4 to 30.2)	27.7 (27.3 to 28.1)	27.3 (26.7 to 27.9)	27.2 (26.3 to 28.1)	27.4 (26.6 to 28.2)	-0.260 (-0.270 to -0.250)	<.001	0.003 (-0.012 to 0.018)	.70	0.263 (0.245 to 0.281)	0.986 (0.955 to 1.017)	.36	
Residence															
Rural	37.9 (37.4 to 38.4)	36.8 (36.4 to 37.2)	27.9 (27.5 to 28.3)	25.8 (25.4 to 26.2)	24.9 (24.4 to 25.5)	24.3 (23.4 to 25.1)	25.6 (24.8 to 26.4)	-0.232 (-0.242 to -0.222)	<.001	0.018 (0.002 to 0.034)	.03	0.250 (0.231 to 0.269)	0.965 (0.939 to 0.991)	.001	
Urban	37.8 (37.2 to 38.3)	36.0 (35.5 to 36.4)	27.7 (27.4 to 28.1)	26.4 (26.0 to 26.8)	26.5 (25.9 to 27.0)	25.6 (24.9 to 26.3)	27.3 (26.6 to 28.0)	-0.226 (-0.236 to -0.215)	<.001	0.022 (0.009 to 0.035)	.001	0.248 (0.231 to 0.265)	1.004 (0.978 to 1.030)	.77	
Smoking															
No	35.9 (35.5 to 36.3)	34.6 (34.3 to 34.9)	26.4 (26.1 to 26.7)	25.2 (25.0 to 25.5)	25.0 (24.6 to 25.4)	24.2 (23.6 to 24.8)	25.8 (25.2 to 26.3)	-0.219 (-0.227 to -0.211)	<.001	0.021 (0.011 to 0.031)	<.001	0.240 (0.227 to 0.253)	0.992 (0.974 to 1.011)	.41	
Yes	51.6 (50.7 to 52.4)	49.0 (48.3 to 49.8)	41.5 (40.8 to 42.3)	39.8 (38.8 to 40.8)	44.3 (42.6 to 46.1)	43.6 (41.2 to 46.1)	44.9 (42.5 to 47.4)	-0.176 (-0.192 to -0.160)	<.001	0.013 (-0.022 to 0.048)	.47	0.189 (0.151 to 0.227)	1.113 (1.106 to 1.166)	<.001	
Current alcohol use															
No	33.8 (33.4 to 34.2)	33.3 (33.0 to 33.6)	25.4 (25.2 to 25.7)	24.0 (23.7 to 24.3)	24.2 (23.8 to 24.6)	23.4 (22.8 to 23.9)	25.0 (24.4 to 25.5)	-0.206 (-0.214 to -0.199)	<.001	0.022 (0.011 to 0.032)	<.001	0.228 (0.202 to 0.435)	1.008 (0.988 to 1.029)	.43	
Yes	48.4 (47.8 to 49.0)	47.6 (47.1 to 48.2)	39.3 (38.8 to 39.9)	37.8 (37.2 to 38.4)	39.8 (38.7 to 40.9)	39.2 (37.6 to 40.7)	40.4 (38.8 to 42.0)	-0.182 (-0.195 to -0.170)	<.001	0.013 (-0.010 to 0.036)	.27	0.195 (0.169 to 0.221)	1.053 (1.020 to 1.087)	.002	

Abbreviation: wOR, weighted odds ratio.

^a Calculated using linear regression.

^b Derived using logistic regression; this model included the Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey cycle (2016-2019 [reference] vs 2020-2021 [COVID-19 pandemic]) as a categorical variable.

Table 3. National Weighted Prevalence and Trends of Suicidality Among the Adolescent Population in South Korea, 2005-2021

Variable	Trends in suicidality, weighted % (95% CI)										Trend analysis			2016-2019 [Reference] vs 2020-2021				
	2005-2007		2008-2011		2012-2015		2016-2019		2020-2021		2020		2021		P value	Trend difference in β (95% CI)	wOR (95% CI) ^b	P value
Overall	23.0 (22.7 to 23.3)	18.9 (18.7 to 19.2)	23.3 (22.9 to 23.6)	18.0 (17.7 to 18.2)	14.7 (14.5 to 14.9)	14.7 (14.5 to 14.9)	12.3 (12.1 to 12.5)	12.3 (12.1 to 12.5)	11.6 (11.3 to 11.9)	10.7 (10.3 to 11.1)	10.7 (10.3 to 11.1)	12.5 (12.1 to 12.9)	12.5 (12.1 to 12.9)	<.001	-0.284 (-0.276 to -0.276)	0.044 (0.031 to 0.057)	0.943 (0.915 to 0.972)	<.001
Sex																		
Male	18.5 (18.2 to 18.8)	15.1 (14.8 to 15.3)	23.3 (22.9 to 23.6)	18.0 (17.7 to 18.2)	11.7 (11.5 to 11.9)	11.7 (11.5 to 11.9)	9.1 (8.9 to 9.3)	9.1 (8.9 to 9.3)	8.7 (8.4 to 9.0)	7.9 (7.5 to 8.4)	7.9 (7.5 to 8.4)	9.4 (9.0 to 9.8)	9.4 (9.0 to 9.8)	<.001	-0.299 (-0.310 to -0.287)	0.046 (0.028 to 0.065)	0.956 (0.918 to 0.996)	.03
Female	28.0 (27.6 to 28.4)	23.3 (22.9 to 23.6)	23.3 (22.9 to 23.6)	18.0 (17.7 to 18.2)	15.7 (15.5 to 15.9)	15.7 (15.5 to 15.9)	15.7 (15.5 to 16.0)	15.7 (15.5 to 16.0)	14.8 (14.4 to 15.2)	13.7 (13.1 to 14.2)	13.7 (13.1 to 14.2)	15.8 (15.3 to 16.4)	15.8 (15.3 to 16.4)	<.001	-0.281 (-0.292 to -0.271)	0.043 (0.028 to 0.059)	0.943 (0.914 to 0.973)	<.001
School grade																		
7-9 (Middle school)	22.9 (22.4 to 23.3)	19.2 (18.8 to 19.5)	23.1 (22.7 to 23.6)	18.7 (18.4 to 19.1)	15.1 (14.9 to 15.4)	15.1 (14.9 to 15.4)	12.9 (12.6 to 13.1)	12.9 (12.6 to 13.1)	11.7 (11.6 to 12.0)	10.1 (9.6 to 10.6)	10.1 (9.6 to 10.6)	13.2 (12.7 to 13.8)	13.2 (12.7 to 13.8)	<.001	-0.265 (-0.276 to -0.253)	0.076 (0.059 to 0.093)	0.907 (0.884 to 0.931)	<.001
10-12 (High school)	23.1 (22.7 to 23.6)	18.7 (18.4 to 19.1)	23.1 (22.7 to 23.6)	18.7 (18.4 to 19.1)	14.2 (14.0 to 14.5)	14.2 (14.0 to 14.5)	11.8 (11.6 to 12.1)	11.8 (11.6 to 12.1)	11.5 (11.1 to 11.9)	11.3 (10.7 to 11.9)	11.3 (10.7 to 11.9)	11.8 (11.2 to 12.3)	11.8 (11.2 to 12.3)	<.001	-0.300 (-0.312 to -0.287)	0.012 (-0.008 to 0.031)	0.975 (0.936 to 1.015)	.21
Residence																		
Rural	23.0 (22.5 to 23.4)	19.0 (18.7 to 19.3)	23.0 (22.5 to 23.4)	18.9 (18.5 to 19.2)	14.9 (14.7 to 15.2)	14.9 (14.7 to 15.2)	12.3 (12.1 to 12.6)	12.3 (12.1 to 12.6)	11.1 (10.7 to 11.5)	10.3 (9.7 to 10.9)	10.3 (9.7 to 10.9)	11.9 (11.3 to 12.5)	11.9 (11.3 to 12.5)	<.001	-0.274 (-0.286 to -0.262)	0.040 (0.019 to 0.061)	0.902 (0.864 to 0.943)	<.001
Urban	23.0 (22.5 to 23.5)	18.9 (18.5 to 19.2)	23.0 (22.5 to 23.5)	18.9 (18.5 to 19.2)	14.5 (14.2 to 14.7)	14.5 (14.2 to 14.7)	12.3 (12.1 to 12.6)	12.3 (12.1 to 12.6)	12.0 (11.6 to 12.3)	11.0 (10.5 to 11.5)	11.0 (10.5 to 11.5)	13.0 (12.5 to 13.5)	13.0 (12.5 to 13.5)	<.001	-0.292 (-0.304 to -0.279)	0.047 (0.030 to 0.063)	0.976 (0.941 to 1.011)	.17
Smoking																		
No	21.6 (21.2 to 21.9)	17.7 (17.4 to 17.9)	23.1 (22.7 to 23.5)	18.7 (18.4 to 19.1)	13.7 (13.6 to 13.9)	13.7 (13.6 to 13.9)	11.8 (11.6 to 12.0)	11.8 (11.6 to 12.0)	11.1 (10.8 to 11.4)	10.2 (9.9 to 10.6)	10.2 (9.9 to 10.6)	12.0 (11.6 to 12.4)	12.0 (11.6 to 12.4)	<.001	-0.275 (-0.285 to -0.266)	0.045 (0.031 to 0.058)	0.941 (0.911 to 0.971)	<.001
Yes	33.1 (32.3 to 33.9)	28.0 (27.3 to 28.6)	23.8 (23.1 to 24.4)	23.8 (23.1 to 24.4)	23.8 (23.1 to 24.4)	23.8 (23.1 to 24.4)	19.9 (19.1 to 20.7)	19.9 (19.1 to 20.7)	22.4 (21.0 to 23.9)	21.0 (19.2 to 22.9)	21.0 (19.2 to 22.9)	23.8 (21.7 to 26.0)	23.8 (21.7 to 26.0)	<.001	-0.220 (-0.238 to -0.202)	0.041 (0.066 to 0.082)	1.126 (1.043 to 1.215)	.002
Current alcohol use																		
No	20.5 (20.2 to 20.8)	17.0 (16.8 to 17.2)	21.8 (21.3 to 22.3)	21.8 (21.3 to 22.3)	13.2 (13.0 to 13.4)	13.2 (13.0 to 13.4)	11.2 (11.0 to 11.4)	11.2 (11.0 to 11.4)	10.7 (10.4 to 10.9)	9.7 (9.3 to 10.1)	9.7 (9.3 to 10.1)	11.6 (11.2 to 12.0)	11.6 (11.2 to 12.0)	<.001	-0.267 (-0.277 to -0.258)	0.051 (0.037 to 0.064)	0.955 (0.928 to 0.984)	.002
Yes	29.4 (28.9 to 30.0)	26.0 (25.5 to 26.6)	21.8 (21.3 to 22.3)	21.8 (21.3 to 22.3)	21.8 (21.3 to 22.3)	21.8 (21.3 to 22.3)	18.2 (17.7 to 18.7)	18.2 (17.7 to 18.7)	19.6 (18.8 to 20.5)	19.2 (18.0 to 20.5)	19.2 (18.0 to 20.5)	20.0 (18.8 to 21.3)	20.0 (18.8 to 21.3)	<.001	-0.227 (-0.241 to -0.212)	0.013 (-0.016 to 0.041)	1.077 (1.023 to 1.134)	.005

Abbreviation: wOR, weighted odds ratio.

^a Calculated using linear regression.

^b Derived using logistic regression; this model included the Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey cycle (2016-2019 [reference] vs 2020-2021 [COVID-19 pandemic]) as a categorical variable.

Table 4. Adjusted and Weighted Logistic Regression Analysis

Variable	Pre-COVID-19 pandemic (2005-2019) (estimated weighted No. [SD], 50 987 544 [218 458])		COVID-19 pandemic (2020-2021) (estimated weighted No. [SD], 5 135 095 [58 931])		Ratio of wOR (pandemic to prepandemic) (95% CI)	P value
	wOR (95% CI) ^a	P value	wOR (95% CI) ^a	P value		
Sadness related^b						
School grade						
7-9 (Middle school)	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	NA	NA
10-12 (High school)	1.270 (1.259-1.281)	<.001	1.152 (1.121-1.184)	<.001	0.907 (0.881-0.933)	<.001
Sex						
Male	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	NA	NA
Female	1.755 (1.743-1.772)	<.001	1.809 (1.756-1.860)	<.001	1.031 (1.001-1.062)	.04
BMI	0.998 (0.997-0.999)	<.001	1.000 (0.996-1.004)	.85	1.002 (0.998-1.006)	.34
Residence						
Rural	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	NA	NA
Urban	0.965 (0.957-0.974)	<.001	1.081 (1.050-1.112)	<.001	1.120 (1.087-1.153)	<.001
Current smoking	1.541 (1.518-1.565)	<.001	1.748 (1.634-1.870)	<.001	1.134 (1.059-1.216)	<.001
Current alcohol use	1.671 (1.651-1.690)	<.001	1.756 (1.677-1.838)	<.001	1.051 (1.002-1.102)	.04
Highest educational level of parents						
High school or lower	1.141 (1.131-1.152)	<.001	1.129 (1.090-1.170)	<.001	0.989 (0.954-1.026)	.57
College or higher	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	NA	NA
Unknown	0.863 (0.851-0.874)	<.001	0.965 (0.935-0.996)	.03	1.118 (1.080-1.157)	<.001
Economic level						
High	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	NA	NA
Middle-high	0.951 (0.934-0.969)	<.001	0.974 (0.925-1.026)	.32	1.024 (0.969-1.082)	.39
Middle	0.946 (0.929-0.963)	<.001	0.922 (0.878-0.969)	.001	0.975 (0.925-1.027)	.34
Middle-low	1.332 (1.305-1.359)	<.001	1.360 (1.279-1.447)	<.001	1.021 (0.957-1.090)	.53
Low	1.836 (1.788-1.885)	<.001	1.951 (1.767-2.154)	<.001	1.063 (0.959-1.177)	.25
School performance						
High	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	NA	NA
Middle-high	1.092 (1.075-1.109)	<.001	1.046 (0.994-1.101)	.09	0.958 (0.908-1.010)	.11
Middle	1.180 (1.162-1.199)	<.001	1.121 (1.066-1.179)	<.001	0.950 (0.901-1.001)	.06
Middle-low	1.374 (1.352-1.397)	<.001	1.352 (1.283-1.425)	<.001	0.984 (0.931-1.040)	.57
Low	1.598 (1.568-1.629)	<.001	1.689 (1.588-1.795)	<.001	1.057 (0.991-1.127)	.09
Suicidality related^c						
School grade						
7-9 (Middle school)	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	NA	NA
10-12 (High school)	0.960 (0.950-0.970)	<.001	0.981 (0.945-1.018)	.31	1.022 (0.983-1.062)	.27
Sex						
Male	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	NA	NA
Female	1.882 (1.861-1.903)	<.001	2.002 (1.924-2.083)	<.001	1.064 (1.021-1.109)	.003
BMI	1.001 (0.999-1.002)	.34	1.002 (0.997-1.007)	.40	1.001 (0.996-1.006)	.71
Residence						
Rural	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	NA	NA
Urban	0.954 (0.943-0.964)	<.001	1.066 (1.026-1.107)	.001	1.117 (1.074-1.162)	<.001
Current smoking	1.667 (1.638-1.697)	<.001	1.716 (1.579-1.863)	<.001	1.029 (0.946-1.120)	.50
Current alcohol use	1.687 (1.664-1.710)	<.001	1.759 (1.660-1.865)	<.001	1.043 (0.982-1.107)	.17
Highest educational level of parents						
High school or lower	1.134 (1.122-1.147)	<.001	1.093 (1.042-1.146)	<.001	0.964 (0.918-1.012)	.14
College or higher	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	NA	NA
Unknown	0.909 (0.893-0.924)	<.001	0.882 (0.844-0.921)	<.001	0.970 (0.924-1.018)	.22

(continued)

Table 4. Adjusted and Weighted Logistic Regression Analysis (continued)

Variable	Pre-COVID-19 pandemic (2005-2019) (estimated weighted No. [SD], 50 987 544 [218 458])		COVID-19 pandemic (2020-2021) (estimated weighted No. [SD], 5 135 095 [58 931])		Ratio of wOR (pandemic to prepandemic) (95% CI)	P value
	wOR (95% CI) ^a	P value	wOR (95% CI) ^a	P value		
Economic level						
High	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	NA	NA
Middle-high	0.961 (0.939-0.984)	.001	1.092 (1.014-1.175)	.02	1.136 (1.052-1.228)	.001
Middle	0.961 (0.940-0.983)	.001	1.076 (1.002-1.155)	.04	1.120 (1.039-1.206)	.003
Middle-low	1.484 (1.448-1.522)	<.001	1.909 (1.757-2.074)	<.001	1.286 (1.180-1.403)	<.001
Low	2.200 (2.213-2.269)	<.001	2.743 (2.433-3.092)	<.001	1.247 (1.105-1.406)	<.001
School performance						
High	1 [Reference]	NA	1 [Reference]	NA	NA	NA
Middle-high	1.015 (0.996-1.036)	.13	0.941 (0.878-1.009)	.94	0.927 (0.862-0.997)	.04
Middle	1.041 (1.021-1.062)	<.001	0.917 (0.856-0.982)	.01	0.881 (0.820-0.946)	.001
Middle-low	1.203 (1.179-1.227)	<.001	1.112 (1.036-1.193)	.003	0.924 (0.859-0.995)	.04
Low	1.386 (1.355-1.418)	<.001	1.402 (1.294-1.520)	<.001	1.012 (0.930-1.100)	.79

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); NA, not applicable; wOR, weighted odds ratio.

^c This model was adjusted for grade, sex, BMI, residence, smoking status, current alcohol use, highest educational level of parents, economic level, and school performance.

^a Calculated for a 1-unit increase in BMI.

^b This model was adjusted for grade, sex, BMI, residence, smoking status, current alcohol use, highest educational level of parents, economic level, and school performance.

vulnerable to stressful life events.²⁷ In addition, adolescents with risk factors for sadness and suicidality are more prone to becoming victims of domestic violence, including child abuse or relational violence, which became increasingly common during times of lockdown and stay-at-home orders, and might also have caused an increase in alcohol consumption.^{28,29}

Comparison With Previous Studies

The COVID-19 pandemic has been shown to have a significant negative association with the mental health of adolescents in several studies,³⁰⁻³² with most of the research focusing on China (n = 859 to 8079),^{26,33-39} Canada (n = 1054),⁴⁰ the US (n = 1181),⁴¹ Spain (n = 459 to 1049),^{42,43} Brazil (n = 289),⁴⁴ Greece (n = 67),⁴⁵ India (n = 121),⁴⁶ Israel (n = 351),⁴⁷ and Bangladesh (n = 384).⁴⁸ However, these studies may have produced low levels of evidence and conflicting results because of their small sample sizes, short follow-up periods (most were only conducted up to 2020), and inadequate study designs (nonrepresentative or nonrandom selection of participants, including convenience, purposive, and volunteer sampling).⁴⁹ In contrast, our study used long-term, large population-based data from a nationwide investigation to examine the trends and associated factors of adolescents' sadness and suicidality in South Korea from 2005 to 2021.

Policy Implications

Adolescence is a critical stage of life when a person experiences a rapid range of physical and psychological changes, creating both opportunities and dangers for healthy growth.⁵⁰ Adolescents' investment in mental health and well-being is beneficial to the present and next generations.⁵⁰ Understanding the trends and risk factors of adolescents' mental health issues during the pandemic period is important to identify vulnerable adolescents and provide programs that aim to address mental health problems.⁵¹ Because the risk factors vary depending on individual circumstances, such as school grade and current alcohol use during the pandemic for suicidality, it is imperative to adopt approaches that optimize the limited resources available and consider factors such as substance abuse, urban residency, and vulnerable adolescents, including those of younger age, female sex, and lower economic status.

Limitations

This study has several limitations. First, a self-report questionnaire was used to collect information about adolescent sadness and suicidality, which may underestimate the prevalence of these issues due to reporting bias (eg, recall, information, and selection biases) and the stigma effect. Second, Korean adolescents' degree of sadness is unknown. In addition, there has been no investigation of self-injury or overdose, which further limits our understanding. Third, our data pertain to Korean adolescents only during the period when strict pandemic precautions were in place from 2020 to 2021,⁵² and sexual minority status (self-identification as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, or questioning) was not investigated; the findings may not be generalizable to other regions of the world. Large-scale international research is required to examine the factors associated with adolescents' sadness and suicidality. Fourth, this study did not account for 35 402 (3.2%) cases of missing information, which may have been associated with our findings. In future studies, missing data can be imputed using multiple imputation methods. Fifth, the survey on economic status and academic performance was divided into 5 categories based on students' experience rather than actual income. Evaluating the association between economic status, academic performance, and sadness or suicidality objectively is crucial as a predictor of the association of familial economic status. Sixth, in this study, we found that younger age, female sex, urban residence, smoking, current alcohol use, and low economic status were associated with an increased risk of sadness and suicidality. Although other government policy factors, such as quarantine, school change, and social opportunities, may also be risk factors, we were unable to confirm their association with sadness and suicidality in our study. Therefore, in addition to the risk factors presented here, other factors should also be considered. Seventh, this study needs to be continued to consider risk factors after the COVID-19 pandemic ends. Despite these limitations, this study is the first long-term, large-scale study using a data set of more than 1 million adolescents to examine trends and factors associated with sadness and suicidality.

Conclusion

In this cross-sectional survey study of South Korean adolescents, the slope of the prevalence of sadness and suicidality increased during the COVID-19 pandemic after a decrease prior to the pandemic. This was the first long-term, large-scale, serial cross-sectional study to examine trends and factors associated with sadness and suicidality among over 1 million single-ethnicity South Korean adolescents. Younger age, female sex, urban residence, smoking status, current alcohol use, and low economic status were risk factors for sadness and suicidality during the COVID-19 pandemic. These findings indicated that various factors associated with adolescent sadness and suicidality interact intricately. Our study suggests that public health measures need to be developed for recognizing vulnerable groups with risk factors and preventing an increase in sadness and suicidality among adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: April 10, 2023.

Published: May 24, 2023. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.14838

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [CC-BY License](#). © 2023 Woo HG et al. *JAMA Network Open*.

Corresponding Authors: Jae Il Shin, MD, PhD, Department of Pediatrics, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 50 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, South Korea (shinji@yuhs.ac); Dong Keon Yon, MD, Department of Pediatrics, Kyung Hee University College of Medicine, 23 Kyungheedaero, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02447, South Korea (yonkkang@gmail.com).

Author Affiliations: Department of Neurology, Kyung Hee University Medical Center, Kyung Hee University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea (Woo, Park); Department of Medicine, Kyung Hee University College of

Medicine, Seoul, South Korea (H. Yon); Department of Precision Medicine, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Suwon, South Korea (S. W. Lee); Research and Development Unit, Parc Sanitari Sant Joan de Deu, Network Centre for Biomedical Research in Mental Health, Health Institute Carlos III, Barcelona, Spain (Koyanagi, Jacob); Catalan Institution for Research and Advanced Studies (ICREA), Pg. Lluís Companys, Barcelona, Spain (Koyanagi); Faculty of Medicine, University of Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, Montigny-le Bretonneux, France (Jacob); Centre for Health, Performance and Wellbeing, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge, United Kingdom (Smith); Center for Digital Health, Medical Science Research Institute, Kyung Hee University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea (Cho, Min, Kwon, Rhee, D. K. Yon); Department of Biomedical Engineering, Kyung Hee University, Yongin, South Korea (J. Lee, H. Lee); Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor (Kwon); Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Marseille, Aix-Marseille University, CERESS-Health Service Research and Quality of Life Center, Marseille, France (Fond, Boyer); Depression and Schizophrenia Expert Center, FondaMental Foundation, Creteil, France (Fond, Boyer); Institute of Data Science, Korea University, Seoul, South Korea (Joo); Department of Pediatrics, Kyung Hee University Medical Center, Kyung Hee University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea (Choi, D. K. Yon); Department of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, Kyung Hee University Medical Center, Kyung Hee University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea (Yeo); Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Kyung Hee University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea (Rhee); Department of Pediatrics, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea (Shin).

Author Contributions: Dr D. K. Yon had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Drs Woo, Park, and H. Yon contributed equally to this work.

Concept and design: Woo, Park, H. Yon, Smith, H. Lee, Choi, Rhee, Shin, D. K. Yon.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Woo, Park, H. Yon, S. W. Lee, Koyanagi, Jacob, Smith, Cho, Min, J. Lee, H. Lee, Kwon, Fond, Boyer, Joo, Yeo, D. K. Yon.

Drafting of the manuscript: Woo, Park, H. Yon, Smith, H. Lee, Choi, D. K. Yon.

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Woo, Park, H. Yon, S. W. Lee, Koyanagi, Jacob, Smith, Cho, Min, J. Lee, H. Lee, Kwon, Fond, Boyer, Joo, Yeo, Rhee, Shin, D. K. Yon.

Statistical analysis: Woo, Park, H. Yon, S. W. Lee, Cho, Min, H. Lee, Kwon, D. K. Yon.

Obtained funding: Woo, D. K. Yon.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Woo, Cho, Yeo, D. K. Yon.

Supervision: Woo, Jacob, Smith, H. Lee, Fond, Joo, Choi, Yeo, Rhee, Shin, D. K. Yon.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

Funding/Support: This research was supported by grants HV22C0233 and HI22C1976 from the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute, funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funding source had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Data Sharing Statement: See Supplement 2.

REFERENCES

1. Lee SW, Yang JM, Moon SY, et al. Association between mental illness and COVID-19 in South Korea: a post-hoc analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2021;8(4):271-272. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00043-2
2. Daly M. Prevalence of depression among adolescents in the U.S. from 2009 to 2019: analysis of trends by sex, race/ethnicity, and income. *J Adolesc Health*. 2022;70(3):496-499. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2021.08.026
3. Lee SW, Yang JM, Moon SY, et al. Association between mental illness and COVID-19 susceptibility and clinical outcomes in South Korea: a nationwide cohort study. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2020;7(12):1025-1031. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30421-1
4. Curtin SC, Hedegaard H. Provisional numbers and rates of suicide by month and demographic characteristics: United States, 2020. Report no. 16. November 2021. NVSS Vital Statistics Rapid Release. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Accessed April 13, 2023. <https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/110369>
5. Mitchell TO, Li L. State-level data on suicide mortality during COVID-19 quarantine: early evidence of a disproportionate impact on racial minorities. *Psychiatry Res*. 2021;295:113629. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113629
6. Min J, Oh J, Kim SI, et al. Excess suicide attributable to the COVID-19 pandemic and social disparities in South Korea. *Sci Rep*. 2022;12(1):18390. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-22751-7

7. Pirkis J, John A, Shin S, et al. Suicide trends in the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic: an interrupted time-series analysis of preliminary data from 21 countries. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2021;8(7):579-588. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00091-2
8. Cheon J, Oh D, Lee J, Ahn J, Song DH, Cheon KA. Increasing trend and characteristics of Korean adolescents presenting to emergency department for self-harm: a 5-year experience, 2015 to 2019. *Yonsei Med J*. 2020;61(7):614-622. doi:10.3349/ymj.2020.61.7.614
9. Kim KM, Kim D, Chung US. Investigation of the trend in adolescent mental health and its related social factors: a multi-year cross-sectional study for 13 years. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(15):5405. doi:10.3390/ijerph17155405
10. Chung H, Park M, Hahn E, Choi H, Choi H, Lim M. Recent trends of drug abuse and drug-associated deaths in Korea. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2004;1025:458-464. doi:10.1196/annals.1316.056
11. Pitt SC, Schwartz TA, Chu D. AAPOR reporting guidelines for survey studies. *JAMA Surg*. 2021;156(8):785-786. doi:10.1001/jamasurg.2021.0543
12. Kim Y, Choi S, Chun C, Park S, Khang YH, Oh K. Data resource profile: the Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey (KYRBS). *Int J Epidemiol*. 2016;45(4):1076-1076e. doi:10.1093/ije/dyw070
13. Kim MJ, Lee KH, Lee JS, et al. Trends in body mass index changes among Korean adolescents between 2005-2020, including the COVID-19 pandemic period: a national representative survey of one million adolescents. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*. 2022;26(11):4082-4091. doi:10.26355/eurrev_202206_28978
14. Hong S, Bae HC, Kim HS, Park EC. Variation in meal-skipping rates of Korean adolescents according to socio-economic status: results of the Korea Youth Risk Behavior Web-based Survey. *J Prev Med Public Health*. 2014;47(3):158-168. doi:10.3961/jpmph.2014.47.3.158
15. Lee J, Ko YH, Chi S, Lee MS, Yoon HK. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on Korean adolescents' mental health and lifestyle factors. *J Adolesc Health*. 2022;71(3):270-276. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2022.05.020
16. Becker M, Correll CU. Suicidality in childhood and adolescence. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*. 2020;117(15):261-267. doi:10.3238/arztebl.2020.0261
17. Noh H, An J, Kim MJ, et al. Sleep problems increase school accidents related to allergic diseases. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol*. 2020;31(1):98-103. doi:10.1111/pai.13132
18. Wang L, Li X, Wang Z, et al. Trends in prevalence of diabetes and control of risk factors in diabetes among US adults, 1999-2018. *JAMA*. 2021;326(8):1-13. doi:10.1001/jama.2021.9883
19. Quah D. Empirical cross-section dynamics in economic growth. *Eur Econ Rev*. 1992;37(2-3):426-434. doi:10.1016/0014-2921(93)90031-5
20. Wang X, Cheng Z. Cross-sectional studies: strengths, weaknesses, and recommendations. *Chest*. 2020;158(15):S65-S71. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2020.03.012
21. Lee SW. Regression analysis for continuous independent variables in medical research: statistical standard and guideline of Life Cycle Committee. *Life Cycle*. 2022;2:e3. doi:10.54724/lc.2022.e3
22. Lee SW. Methods for testing statistical differences between groups in medical research: statistical standard and guideline of Life Cycle Committee. *Life Cycle*. 2022;2:e1. doi:10.54724/lc.2022.e1
23. Lee SW, Lee J, Moon SY, et al. Physical activity and the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection, severe COVID-19 illness and COVID-19 related mortality in South Korea: a nationwide cohort study. *Br J Sports Med*. 2022;56(16):901-912. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2021-104203
24. Azar KMJ, Shen Z, Romanelli RJ, et al. Disparities in outcomes among COVID-19 patients in a large health care system in California. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2020;39(7):1253-1262. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2020.00598
25. Kólves K, Kólves KE, De Leo D. Natural disasters and suicidal behaviours: a systematic literature review. *J Affect Disord*. 2013;146(1):1-14. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2012.07.037
26. Duan L, Shao X, Wang Y, et al. An investigation of mental health status of children and adolescents in China during the outbreak of COVID-19. *J Affect Disord*. 2020;275:112-118. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.029
27. Vallejo-Slocker L, Fresneda J, Vallejo MA. Psychological wellbeing of vulnerable children during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psicothema*. 2020;32(4):501-507. doi:10.7334/psicothema2020.218
28. Piquero AR, Jennings WG, Jemison E, Kaukinen C, Knaul FM. Domestic violence during the COVID-19 pandemic—evidence from a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Crim Justice*. 2021;74:101806. doi:10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2021.101806
29. Kapetanovic S, Ander B, Gurdal S, Sorbring E. Adolescent smoking, alcohol use, inebriation, and use of narcotics during the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMC Psychol*. 2022;10(1):44. doi:10.1186/s40359-022-00756-1

30. Gracia R, Pamiás M, Mortier P, Alonso J, Pérez V, Palao D. Is the COVID-19 pandemic a risk factor for suicide attempts in adolescent girls? *J Affect Disord*. 2021;292:139-141. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2021.05.044
31. Hermsillo-de-la-Torre AE, Arteaga-de-Luna SM, Acevedo-Rojas DL, et al. Psychosocial correlates of suicidal behavior among adolescents under confinement due to the COVID-19 pandemic in Aguascalientes, Mexico: a cross-sectional population survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(9):4977. doi:10.3390/ijerph18094977
32. Yard E, Radhakrishnan L, Ballesteros MF, et al. Emergency department visits for suspected suicide attempts among persons aged 12-25 years before and during the COVID-19 pandemic—United States, January 2019–May 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2021;70(24):888-894. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm7024e1
33. Dong H, Yang F, Lu X, Hao W. Internet addiction and related psychological factors among children and adolescents in China during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) epidemic. *Front Psychiatry*. 2020;11:00751. doi:10.3389/fpsy.2020.00751
34. Chen F, Zheng D, Liu J, Gong Y, Guan Z, Lou D. Depression and anxiety among adolescents during COVID-19: a cross-sectional study. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2020;88:36-38. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2020.05.061
35. Hou TY, Mao XF, Dong W, Cai WP, Deng GH. Prevalence of and factors associated with mental health problems and suicidality among senior high school students in rural China during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Asian J Psychiatr*. 2020;54:102305. doi:10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102305
36. Liu JJ, Bao Y, Huang X, Shi J, Lu L. Mental health considerations for children quarantined because of COVID-19. *Lancet Child Adolesc Health*. 2020;4(5):347-349. doi:10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30096-1
37. Qi M, Zhou SJ, Guo ZC, et al. The effect of social support on mental health in Chinese adolescents during the outbreak of COVID-19. *J Adolesc Health*. 2020;67(4):514-518. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2020.07.001
38. Zhang L, Zhang D, Fang J, Wan Y, Tao F, Sun Y. Assessment of mental health of Chinese primary school students before and after school closing and opening during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(9):e2021482. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.21482
39. Zhou J, Yuan X, Qi H, et al. Prevalence of depression and its correlative factors among female adolescents in China during the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak. *Global Health*. 2020;16(1):69. doi:10.1186/s12992-020-00601-3
40. Ellis WE, Dumas TM, Forbes LM. Physically isolated but socially connected: psychological adjustment and stress among adolescents during the initial COVID-19 crisis. *Can J Behav Sci*. 2020;52(3):177-187. doi:10.1037/cbs0000215
41. Gassman-Pines A, Ananat EO, Fitz-Henley J II. COVID-19 and parent-child psychological well-being. *Pediatrics*. 2020;146(4):e2020007294. doi:10.1542/peds.2020-007294
42. Negri A, Conte F, Caldiroli CL, Neimeyer RA, Castiglioni M. Psychological factors explaining the COVID-19 pandemic impact on mental health: the role of meaning, beliefs, and perceptions of vulnerability and mortality. *Behav Sci (Basel)*. 2023;13(2):162. doi:10.3390/bs13020162
43. Romero E, López-Romero L, Domínguez-Álvarez B, Villar P, Gómez-Fraguela JA. Testing the effects of COVID-19 confinement in Spanish children: the role of parents' distress, emotional problems and specific parenting. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(19):6975. doi:10.3390/ijerph17196975
44. Garcia de Avila MA, Hamamoto Filho PT, Jacob FLDS, et al. Children's anxiety and factors related to the COVID-19 pandemic: an exploratory study using the Children's Anxiety Questionnaire and the Numerical Rating Scale. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(16):5757. doi:10.3390/ijerph17165757
45. Sakka S, Nikopoulou VA, Bonti E, et al. Assessing test anxiety and resilience among Greek adolescents during COVID-19 pandemic. *J Mind Med Sci*. 2020;7(2):173-178. doi:10.22543/7674.72.P173178
46. Saurabh K, Ranjan S. Compliance and psychological impact of quarantine in children and adolescents due to COVID-19 pandemic. *Indian J Pediatr*. 2020;87(7):532-536. doi:10.1007/s12098-020-03347-3
47. Shorer M, Leibovich L. Young children's emotional stress reactions during the COVID-19 outbreak and their associations with parental emotion regulation and parental playfulness. *Early Child Dev Care*. 2022;192(6):861-871. doi:10.1080/03004430.2020.1806830
48. Yeasmin S, Banik R, Hossain S, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of children in Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study. *Child Youth Serv Rev*. 2020;117:105277. doi:10.1016/j.childyouth.2020.105277
49. Nawi AM, Ismail R, Ibrahim F, et al. Risk and protective factors of drug abuse among adolescents: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2021;21(1):2088. doi:10.1186/s12889-021-11906-2
50. Patton GC, Sawyer SM, Santelli JS, et al. Our future: a *Lancet* commission on adolescent health and wellbeing. *Lancet*. 2016;387(10036):2423-2478. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00579-1

51. Melnyk BM. Reducing healthcare costs for mental health hospitalizations with the evidence-based COPE program for child and adolescent depression and anxiety: a cost analysis. *J Pediatr Health Care*. 2020;34(2):117-121. doi:10.1016/j.pedhc.2019.08.002

52. Lim S, Sohn M. How to cope with emerging viral diseases: lessons from South Korea's strategy for COVID-19, and collateral damage to cardiometabolic health. *Lancet Reg Health West Pac*. 2023;30:100581. doi:10.1016/j.lanwpc.2022.100581

SUPPLEMENT 1.

eFigure 1. The Method of Calculating the Weight

eFigure 2. Nationwide 17-Year Trends and Prevalence of Sadness and Suicidality Among One Million Korean Adolescents, 2005-2021

eTable 1. Demographic Characteristics of Participating Adolescents in the KYRBS, 2005-2021 (total n=1,109,776)

eTable 2. Univariate Logistic Regression Analysis for Sadness

eTable 3. Univariate Logistic Regression Analysis for Suicidality

eTable 4. Adjusted and Logistic Regression Analysis for Sadness, 2005-2021

eTable 5. Adjusted and Logistic Regression Analysis for Suicidality, 2005-2021

SUPPLEMENT 2.

Data Sharing Statement