Functional outcomes after sphincter-preserving surgeries for low-lying rectal cancer
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ABSTRACT
Sphincter preservation and organ restoration during rectal cancer surgery avoids permanent stoma creation and diminishes psychosocial damages. However, decreased rectal volumes and sphincter dysfunction—including pelvic nerve damage—results in low anterior resection syndrome, as well as urinary and sexual dysfunction after rectal resection. Bowel habit changes such as fecal incontinence, fecal urgency, frequent bowel movements, clustered stools, and difficulties in bowel emptying can be treated using medications, pelvic floor rehabilitation, sacral neuromodulation, or neorectal reservoir reconstruction. Pelvic nerve damage resulting from superior and inferior hypogastric plexi during sphincter-preserving rectal cancer surgery can induce urologic and sexual dysfunctions. Preoperative voiding difficulty, perioperative blood loss, and autonomic nerve injury are considered independent risk factors for postoperative urinary dysfunction. Retrograde ejaculation and erectile dysfunction, female dyspareunia, and vaginal dryness are manifestations of sexual dysfunction resulting from autonomic nerve injury during rectal cancer surgery. Multifactorial causes for functional outcomes after sphincter-preserving surgeries are considered to improve patient’s quality of life with acceptable oncologic outcomes in the treatment of rectal cancer patients.
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INTRODUCTION
In recent decades, rectal cancer surgery has advanced with the development of surgical techniques and multimodal treatments. The application of total mesorectal excision (TME) for rectal cancer has made it possible to perform anatomical dissection in the pelvis and markedly reduce the local recurrence rate [1-3]. In addition, concomitant chemotherapy with preoperative radiotherapy followed by curative resection has decreased local recurrence and enhanced anal sphincter preservation in patients with locally advanced low rectal cancer [4-6]. Ultra-low anterior resection (LAR) with dissection along intersphincteric planes may facilitate sphinc-
ter-preservation during rectal cancer surgery. Abdominoperineal resection (APR) was the gold standard treatment of patients with tumor infiltrations of rectal muscular layers and perirectal tissues in distal one-third low-lying rectal cancers; however, sphincter-preserving surgery using intersphincteric resection (ISR) is regarded as an alternative to APR, based on safe distal resection margins and equivalent oncologic outcomes [7,8]. It is currently acceptable that a 1-cm distal resection margin is sufficient despite concerns about tumor cell spread within mesorectal lymph nodes within less than 5 cm and the rule of 2 cm distal margin [9-11]. The local recurrence rate after sphincter-preserving surgery ranges from 4% to 13%, which is comparable with that of previous surgical techniques [12-14].

Rectal resection with sphincter preservation and organ restoration is advantageous, as it avoids a permanent stoma and diminishes psychosocial damage. However, decreased rectal volumes and sphincter dysfunction including pelvic nerve damage are worrisome features after sphincter-preserving low rectal cancer surgery. Herein, we evaluated the functional outcomes with a focus on bowel, urogenital, and sexual dysfunctions after sphincter-preserving surgery for low rectal cancer.

**BOWEL DYSFUNCTION**

**Physiological characteristics of the rectum**
The rectum is a storage reservoir of feces. Normal defecation processes are caused by a stimulation of rectal distention due to rectal filling and the recto-anal inhibitory reflex (RAIR) [15]. The coordination of both anal sphincter and pelvic floor muscles is important for fecal evacuation from the rectum. The internal sphincter, whose function is mediated by parasympathetic nerves, maintains anal closure with a continuous tonic contraction [16]. The external sphincter, innervated by the branches of pudendal and inferior rectal nerves, is controlled voluntarily. Internal sphincter relaxation and external anal sphincter activation with decreased puborectalis sling contraction are needed for defecation. Anorectal structure activation and nerve innervation near the rectum normally occur simultaneously. However, both structural and neurologic damages after sphincter-preserving surgery can change these mechanisms of defecation, thereby causing low anterior resection syndrome (LARS).

**Low anterior resection syndrome**
Patients with LARS have typical symptoms including fecal incontinence, fecal urgency, frequent bowel movements, clustered stools, and difficulties in bowel emptying after rectal resection [17]. Moreover, these patients present with daily episodes of various symptoms ranging from incontinence to constipation. Patients with LARS may present with the following symptoms: frequent and multiple daily fecal evacuations; urge to defecate more than four times within 1 or 2 hours, with small amounts of feces; continence disorders; and urgency, with difficulties in retaining fecal emission for more than 15 minutes after a rectal sensation to defecate [18,19]. LARS can be accompanied by pelvic pain, tenesmus, defecation difficulties, dyspareunia, and skin irritation from frequent defecation (Fig. 1). Previous studies have reported that 30% to 90% of patients who undergo sphincter-preserving surgery experience LARS [20,21]. The symptoms of LARS occur more frequently within 4 to 5 months after surgery, and tend to improve within 1 to 2 years [22,23]. However, the incidence and symptoms of LARS reported by previous studies depend on the study design, LARS definition used, and study follow-up period.

Hitherto, the pathophysiology of LARS remains unclear, as its etiology is multifactorial. However, the reduced size of the remnant rectum and the presence of mechanical injuries in the anal canal correlate with long-term fecal soiling and incontinence. A relatively higher rectal reservoir pressure with
decreased anal sphincter function can result in frequent stool soiling. Kakodkar et al. [22] reported that a reduced rectal reservoir capacity, a shortened high-pressure zone, and RAIR loss are associated with LARS occurrence. Moreover, a decreased rectal capacity compliance—that is related to pressure changes resulting from rectal volume changes—is associated with stool frequency and bowel urgency [24]. In addition, the hypermotility of the neorectum, which is reconstructed from the left colon, may cause fecal incontinence and bowel dysfunction. These structural damages of anal sphincter muscles and decreases the size of the rectal wall; more so, denervation may result in LARS after rectal surgery [19]. Eldamshety et al. [25] reported that the incidences of fecal incontinence, hypotonic anal function, and slightly hypotonic anal function were 10.6%, 8.6%, and 26.1%, respectively, based on their assessment using a per anal examination scoring system in patients with anorectal cancer who underwent TME with ISR.

Assessment and questionnaires of LARS
The assessment of patients with LARS begins with detailed history taking. Thereafter, patients undergo physical examination and evaluation using instrumental methods such as anal manometry [26]. The Wexner incontinence score, the Fecal Incontinence Severity Index (FISI), and the St. Marks’ Fecal Incontinence Grading Score have been used to assess incontinence and urgency in patients with LARS [27-29].

The Wexner score (Cleveland Clinic Incontinence Score) is widely used to evaluate fecal incontinence and defecatory function. It is used to assess solid stool, liquid stool, flatus, the use of wearing pad, and lifestyle alteration [27]. Each item is scored from 0 to 4 based on the frequency. Clinically, a patient with a Wexner score that exceeds 8 to 9 is considered to have fecal incontinence.

The FISI is composed of a matrix of type and frequency based on the components of fecal incontinence [18,28]. Four types of incontinence are assessed: gas and mucus, as well as liquid and solid stool incontinence. The frequency of incontinence is classified with respect to five periods: ≥ 2 times a day; once a day; ≥ 2 times a week; once a week; and one to three times a month. While Wexner score have the same weight for stool types, FISI has a scoring system to weigh the patient’s symptoms. However, both the Wexner score and FISI have several drawbacks; they cannot be used to evaluate other symptoms such as urgency and difficulties with rectal emptying in patients with LARS. The Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center Bowel Function Instrument (MSKCC BFI; 2005) is the first questionnaire that was used to evaluate bowel function after sphincter-preserving rectal cancer surgery [30]. It contains 18 questions related to bowel function, which have five categories of answers: always, most of the time, sometimes, rarely, and never. The 18 questions related to bowel function are divided into subscales: six, four, and four questions for the frequency, dietary, and urgency/soiling subscales, respectively; moreover, there are five individual questions. The MSKCC BFI score is advantageous in that it provides a detailed and comprehensive assessment of patients with LARS; nonetheless, the questions are too long and the scoring system is difficult.

Notwithstanding, Emmertsen and Laurberg [31] proposed a LARS score questionnaire (LARS score; 2012), which was developed and validated in Danish patients with rectal cancer; and is a symptom-based scoring system for patient who undergo LAR. The score comprises five important items: incontinence for flatus, incontinence for liquid stool, stool frequency, clustering, and urgency. The Danish patients were divided into three groups with scores ranging from 0 to 42 points based on the severity of LARS: no LARS (0 to 20 points), minor LARS (21 to 29), and major LARS (30 to 42).

The LARS score was associated quality of life (QoL), and was significantly different in patients with a history of radiotherapy, tumor location less than 5 cm, and who underwent complete TME. Urgency and clustering had the highest weights among the items in the LARS score, and therefore affected patient QoL. The main strength of the LARS score is that it is concise and easily reflects patient QoL. However, the measurement scope of the LARS score is smaller than that of the MSKCC BFI score. The LARS score is translated into several languages such as English, German, and Swedish. In addition, Kim et al. [32] validated the LARS score questionnaire in Korean patients after translating the LARS questionnaire from the English to the Korean language. In their assessment, the LARS score showed discriminative validities between groups in terms of sex (male vs. female, 29 vs. 25, P=0.014), tumor location (≤ 8 cm vs. > 8 cm, 29 vs. 29, P=0.021), and radiotherapy use (radiotherapy vs. no radiotherapy, 32 vs. 24, P=0.001). The LARS score was also related to patient QoL, which corroborated with the results of similar studies conducted in Europe and Japan.

Risk factors of LARS after sphincter-preserving surgery
LARS is known to be associated with young age, as well as low rates of anastomosis, neoadjuvant chemotherapy use, and anastomotic leakage in patients who underwent sphinc-
and elderly patients; nevertheless, elderly women showed a quality of life (FIQoL) scores between younger (< 70 years) and elderly patients 

deterioration of FIQoL subscale scores for coping/behavior and depression/self-perception than younger women.

Long-term survivors with anastomotic leakage were more predisposed to developing LARS in the Danish study. A French case-control study evaluated patients undergoing laparoscopic sphincter-preserving rectal cancer surgery, and demonstrated that patients in the anastomotic leakage group showed higher LARS scores than those in the control group (30 vs. 27, P = 0.02) [44]. Anorectal and urogenital dysfunctions deteriorated in patients with symptomatic anastomotic leakages such as pelvic sepsis, the presence of recto-vaginal fistula, or pus drainage from the pelvis. In addition, Kim et al. [33] evaluated the relationship between the severity of LARS and anastomotic leakage in patients who underwent LAR for rectal cancer. The ratio of major LARS score in patients with anastomotic leakage group were higher than control group (37.8% vs. 11.1%, P <0.001). Anastomotic leakage is thought to induce negative changes in bowel functions due to inflammation scar tissue formation in the pelvic cavity, thereby causing incontinence and urgency [45]. However, there is a paucity of studies regarding the occurrence of LARS after anastomotic leakage; the effect of a permanent stoma and the confounding risks of morbidities after sphincter-preserving surgery in patients with postoperative anastomotic leakage should be considered.

**Association between surgical technique and LARS occurrence**

With improvements in minimally invasive surgical techniques, laparoscopic and robotic surgeries—with comparable oncologic outcomes—are currently used as alternatives to conventional open surgery in the treatment of rectal cancer [46-48]. Specifically, colorectal surgeons are attracted by the magnified view that permits sharp dissection in a narrow pelvic cavity. Moreover, patients who undergo laparoscopic rectal cancer surgery have better QoLs than those who undergo open surgery [49,50]. Robotic TME for rectal cancer is associated with lower conversion rates, improved genitourinary functions, and comparable oncologic outcomes [51-54]. Recently, transanal total mesorectal excision (TaTME) was developed to overcome the difficulties encountered during procedures for mid or low rectal cancer that is located below 10 cm from the anal verge. TaTME has a clinical advantage, as it results in precise dissection with improved surgical views.

A previous study showed no significant difference for TME quality of specimens and CRM involvement between TaTME and robotic TME [55]. In a meta-analysis of studies evaluating...
pelvic floor rehabilitation was aimed at improving the support of pelvic structures and increasing the strength of autonomic pelvic contraction. The rehabilitation includes biofeedback therapy, pelvic floor muscle training, and rectal balloon training. Biofeedback therapy is useful to activate pelvic floor muscles via visual signals, and improve pelvic floor dysynergia such as fecal incontinence or rectal evacuation disorders [63]. Biofeedback therapy can induce synchronous voluntary movements of the external anal sphincter and rectal sensation. According to Lee et al. [64], biofeedback therapy decreases the Wexner score and increases the rectal capacity in patients who developed LARS following rectal cancer surgery. A systematic review of studies on pelvic floor rehabilitation of patients who underwent LAR demonstrated improvements in fecal incontinence, stool frequency, and QoL [39]. More so, pelvic floor rehabilitation improves the Wexner score and anal manometry findings [39, 65, 66].

Sacral neuromodulation

Sacral nerve stimulation (SNS) is a useful method to treat fecal incontinence; it involves anorectal function activation using low-voltage electrical stimulation of sacral spinal nerves. It may prolong colonic transit time and increase colonic storage capacity because antegrade and retrograde colonic activities are reduced and increased, respectively, during defecation [67, 68]. A systematic review revealed that SNS had symptomatic benefits in patients with refractory LARS, with improvements in the Wexner and LARS scores [69]. In a French study, SNS for bowel dysfunction showed an overall success rate of 86%, with a decrease in the Wexner and LARS scores in patients undergoing rectal resection, left hemicolectomy, or ileal-pouch anal anastomosis [70]. Permanent SNS device implantation reportedly has a long-term success rate of 74.4% [71], with a reduction in nocturnal clustering (3 to 0.5 episodes per night; 7 to 0.5 episodes per day; P < 0.01) [71]. In addition, fecal urgency, nocturnal defecation, fragmentation, and soiling were significantly resolved after SNS in patients with LARS. Thus, SNS is a promising therapeutic method in patients who develop LARS after rectal cancer surgery.

Neorectal reservoir reconstruction

The rectum is a stool reservoir; decreased rectal volumes after LAR result in stool urgency, frequency, and incontinence. Thus, colonic reconstructions such as colonic J pouch anastomosis, transverse colostomy, and side-to-end colo-anal anastomosis have been developed to enhance neorectal reservoir function. In previous studies, colonic J pouch anastomosis improved stool frequency and the use of anti-diarrheal agents until 1 year compared with straight colo-anal anastomosis [72, 73]. Fazio et al. [74] reported that a colonic J pouch anastomosis reduced the stool frequency and FISI in both patients who underwent LAR demonstrated improvements in fecal incontinence, stool frequency, and QoL [39]. More so, pelvic floor rehabilitation improves the Wexner score and anal manometry findings [39, 65, 66].

Sacral neuromodulation

Sacral nerve stimulation (SNS) is a useful method to treat fecal incontinence; it involves anorectal function activation using low-voltage electrical stimulation of sacral spinal nerves. It may prolong colonic transit time and increase colonic storage capacity because antegrade and retrograde colonic activities are reduced and increased, respectively, during defecation [67, 68]. A systematic review revealed that SNS had symptomatic benefits in patients with refractory LARS, with improvements in the Wexner and LARS scores [69]. In a French study, SNS for bowel dysfunction showed an overall success rate of 86%, with a decrease in the Wexner and LARS scores in patients undergoing rectal resection, left hemicolectomy, or ileal-pouch anal anastomosis [70]. Permanent SNS device implantation reportedly has a long-term success rate of 74.4% [71], with a reduction in nocturnal clustering (3 to 0.5 episodes per night; 7 to 0.5 episodes per day; P < 0.01) [71]. In addition, fecal urgency, nocturnal defecation, fragmentation, and soiling were significantly resolved after SNS in patients with LARS. Thus, SNS is a promising therapeutic method in patients who develop LARS after rectal cancer surgery.

Neorectal reservoir reconstruction

The rectum is a stool reservoir; decreased rectal volumes after LAR result in stool urgency, frequency, and incontinence. Thus, colonic reconstructions such as colonic J pouch anastomosis, transverse colostomy, and side-to-end colo-anal anastomosis have been developed to enhance neorectal reservoir function. In previous studies, colonic J pouch anastomosis improved stool frequency and the use of anti-diarrheal agents until 1 year compared with straight colo-anal anastomosis [72, 73]. Fazio et al. [74] reported that a colonic J pouch anastomosis reduced the stool frequency and FISI in both
early and late postoperative periods. In addition, the surgical and functional outcomes of transverse coloplasty and side-to-end colo-anal anastomosis were comparable to those of colonic J pouch anastomosis. However, in some studies, neorectal reservoir reconstruction did not improve LARS outcomes in the long term; the effects disappeared within 24 months postoperatively [73,75]. Stoma formation is the final LARS treatment modality after anastomosis failure.

**UROLOGIC AND SEXUAL DYSFUNCTION**

**Anatomy of pelvic nerves**

As reported, 20% to 40% of patients who undergo rectal cancer surgery develop urinary and sexual dysfunctions [76], mostly resulting from intraoperative pelvic autonomic nerve injury [53]. Sympathetic and parasympathetic nerves originate from L2-3 and S2-4 nerve roots, respectively, to form the pelvic plexus [15,77]. The superior hypogastric nerves from the preaortic plexus descend inferiorly and laterally, and join the splanchnic nerves of the S2-4 nerve roots to form the pelvic plexus [16]. In addition, careful dissection should be performed along the Denovillier's fascia during rectal cancer surgery, in order to preserve autonomic nerves, because neurovascular bundles from the pelvic plexus are located anterior (10 o’clock and 2 o’clock directions) to the rectum. Nerve bundle damage from the superior and inferior hypogastric plexi during sphincter-preserving rectal cancer surgery can induce urologic and sexual dysfunction (Fig. 2).

**Urologic dysfunction**

Bladder dysfunction occurs in 30% to 70% of patients after rectal cancer surgery [78,79]. Sympathetic nerves are responsible for urinary continence, whereas parasympathetic nerves control detrusor muscle contraction and urethral relaxation. Injury to these pelvic nerves during rectal cancer surgery causes urologic dysfunction such as voiding dysfunction. The International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS) and Bristol Female Lower Urinary Tract Symptom instrument are widely use to evaluate urinary dysfunction. Lange et al. [80] demonstrated that 30.6% of patients who undergo rectal cancer surgery develop long-term problems of bladder emptying. In addition, preoperative voiding difficulty, perioperative blood loss, and autonomic nerve injury are independent risk factors. A Dutch TME trial—a multicenter, randomized trial—showed long-term functional outcomes in patients who underwent TME for rectal cancer with or without preoperative radiotherapy [81]. After 5 years postoperatively, 38% of patients developed urinary incontinence, of whom 72% had normal urinary function preoperatively [77,81,82].

Toritani et al. [83] reported the following risk factors of urinary dysfunction after autonomic nerve-preserving rectal cancer surgery: low rectal tumor, tumor diameter ≥ 4 cm, operation time ≥ 240 minutes, blood loss ≥ 300 mL, and the presence of diabetes mellitus. In addition, women are more predisposed to developing urinary dysfunction than men after TME [80,84]. Surgery-induced anatomical changes in the bladder, pelvic floor muscles, and urethra may alter the functions of pelvic nerves around the levator ani muscles and trigger urinary continence. In addition, postoperative tissue inflammation, altered pelvic muscle anatomy, and difficulties with perineal relaxation may cause stress-induced bladder hyperactivity and reduced bladder contractility.
botic rectal surgery results in improved urogenital function with pelvic nerve preservation compared with laparoscopic surgery, due to magnified and improved surgical visualization [53,85].

**Sexual dysfunction**

Sexual dysfunction after rectal cancer surgery consists of erectile dysfunction and retrograde ejaculation in men; and dyspareunia, arousal, vaginal dryness, and orgasm in women. Retrograde ejaculation occurs when the superior hypogastric plexus or sympathetic nerves are injured, whereas erectile dysfunction, dyspareunia, and reduced vaginal lubrication occur due to inferior hypogastric nerve or pelvic plexus damage [86]. The International Index of Erectile Function and the Female Sexual Function Index are broadly used to assess sexual dysfunction after rectal cancer surgery.

Duran et al. [78] demonstrated that patients with tumors at the lower one-third of the rectum might be predisposed to developing urinary and sexual dysfunctions. A prospective cohort study of 948 patients who underwent rectal cancer surgery reported the following risk factors of sexual dysfunction: age ≥ 45 years, tumor located below the peritoneal reflection, neoadjuvant radiotherapy, and APR [87]. Attaallah et al. [88] found that 76% of patients who underwent curative rectal cancer surgery had severe sexual dysfunction. Specifically, women showed higher rates of sexual dysfunction than men.

The quality of life after sphincter preservation surgery or abdominoperineal resection for low rectal cancer (ASPIRE) study compared the QoL of patients who underwent APR and sphincter-preserving surgery for low rectal cancer; patients who underwent APR had higher rates of worse body image, male sexual dysfunction (erection dysfunction), and urinary dysfunction [89]. The National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project Randomized Trial R-04 showed that men who underwent APR had worse sexual satisfaction compared with those who underwent sphincter-preserving surgery [90]. In addition, patients who underwent APR had worse 1-year postoperative functional outcomes (evaluated using EORTC QLQ-CR38) than those who underwent sphincter-preserving surgery. Thus, sphincter-preserving surgery is considered an alternative to APR in the treatment of low rectal cancer, due to the comparable oncologic outcomes and acceptable QoL [11,36].

Medications including tricyclic antidepressants, antihistamines, and decongestants such as phenylephrine can be used to treat retrograde ejaculation after rectal cancer surgery [91]. Tricyclic antidepressants enhance α-adrenergic action, thereby increasing the pressure profile of the posterior urethra. Sildenafil citrate or vardenafil can be used to treat erectile dysfunction, because it increases blood flow to tissues near the nerves. Botulinum toxin, intracavernosal injections, inflatable penile implants, or vacuum devices can be used in cases of intractable medical therapy [81]. Topical estrogen can be applied in women with vaginal dryness and atrophy.

**CONCLUSION**

Sphincter-preserving rectal cancer surgery has acceptable oncologic outcomes with organ restoration. However, functional outcomes after rectal resection lead to bowel function impairment, resulting in LARS due to alternations in rectal capacity and damages of pelvic nerves. Urogenital and sexual dysfunctions are caused by intraoperative pelvic nerve injury and worsen patient’s QoL. Therefore, surgeons should carefully perform sphincter-preserving rectal cancer surgery to ensure remnant bowel functional recovery and improve urologic and sexual dysfunction.
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