ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Korean J Intern Med 2021;36:441-446 https://doi.org/10.3904/kjim.2019.149



Reclassification of Korean patients with polymyositis and dermatomyositis based on the Bohan and Peter criteria by the 2017 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies

Juyoung Yoo¹, Sung Soo Ahn¹, Seung Min Jung¹, Jason Jungsik Song^{1,2}, Yong-Beom Park^{1,2}, and Sang-Won Lee^{1,2}

¹Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, ²Institute for Immunology and Immunological Diseases, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Received : May 3, 2019 Revised : June 21, 2019 Accepted: July 1, 2019

Correspondence to Sang-Won Lee, M.D.

Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Institute for Immunology and Immunological Diseases, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 50-1 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, Korea Tel: +82-2-2228-1987 Fax: +82-2-393-6884 E-mail: sangwonlee@yuhs.ac https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8038-3341 **Background/Aims:** We investigated the concordance rate of the classification of polymyositis (PM) and dermatomyositis (DM) between the Bohan and Peter criteria and the 2017 European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR)/American College of Rheumatology (ACR) classification criteria for idiopathic inflammatory myopathies (IIMs) (the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria) in Korean patients.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of 137 patients with PM and DM. We finally included 72 PM patients and 49 DM patients who fulfilled the Bohan and Peter criteria for PM and DM and reclassified them by the 2017 EU-LAR/ACR criteria.

Results: Three patients (4.2%) with probable PM were newly reclassified as non-IIM due to a total score of 5.3 or smaller. Meanwhile, one patient with possible PM was newly reclassified as probable PM due to the presence of dysphagia. In addition, eight patients (16.3%) with possible DM with DM-specific typical skin rash were newly reclassified as amyopathic DM (ADM) due to the absence of proximal muscle weakness. The concordance rate of the classification between the Bohan and Peter criteria and the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria was 95.8% for PM patients and 83.7% for DM patients.

Conclusions: The Bohan and Peter criteria were comparable to the 2017 EULAR/ ACR criteria for classifying PM and DM in Korean patients. Considering the convenience of the Bohan and Peter criteria in the real clinical settings, we suggest that the old criteria should be preferentially applied and then performing muscle biopsy should be considered in a patient suspected of PM without antihistidyl tRNA synthetase (anti-Jo-1). Moreover, we suggest that ADM could also clinically be classified by the old criteria.

Keywords: Polymyositis; Dermatomyositis; Idiopathic inflammatory myopathy

Copyright © 2021 The Korean Association of Internal Medicine

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



INTRODUCTION

Idiopathic inflammatory myopathies (IIMs) are a group of chronic autoimmune diseases which are characterised by muscle weakness and various related symptoms. IIM can be classified into several subgroups according to typical clinical manifestations and histological findings, such as polymyositis (PM), dermatomyositis (DM) and inclusion body myositis (IBM) [1]. PM often presents proximal muscle weakness, neck flexor muscle weakness and dysphagia [2]. Meanwhile, DM is classified based on DM-specific typical skin rashes such as heliotrope rash and Gottron's papule or histological features with proximal muscle weakness. DM without muscle involvement is called amyopathic DM (ADM) [3]. DM occurs in both children and adults, whereas PM predominantly occurs in elder adults [4]. The incidence of IIM was reported to range from 5 to 7.4 cases per million populations and it has been increasing in recent years [5,6].

So far, several classification criteria for IIM have been suggested and the classification criteria for PM and DM proposed by Bohan and Peter in 1975 (the Bohan and Peter criteria) have widely been used among them [7,8]. The Bohan and Peter criteria consist of five items: (1) symmetrical weakness, usually progressive, of the limb-girdle muscles; (2) elevation of skeletal muscle enzyme levels; (3) abnormal electromyography results; (4) muscle biopsy abnormalities; (5) typical skin rash of DM. Definite PM can be classified when all first four items are met, whereas definite DM can be classified when DM-specific typical skin rash plus three of other items are met [7,8].

However, the Bohan and Peter criteria have several limitations such as a monocentric data based on clinical observation, no detailed mention of typical DM rashes, biopsy findings or myositis-specific autoantibodies. Moreover, they have no instruction for exclusion or sub-classification. It is difficult to differentiate IIM from other medical conditions including peripheral neurological diseases and muscular dystrophies, and in particular, they could not discriminate between PM and IBM [9,10]. To overcome these limitations, the 2017 European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR)/American College of Rheumatology (ACR) classification criteria for adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies (the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria) were recently established. The 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria are based on the scoring system and a score of < 5.3 may rule out IIM (non-IIM) [11]. Nevertheless, the Bohan and Peter criteria are still being used for classifying IIM due to the small number of items and convenience in the real clinical settings. For this reason, we wondered whether we should apply the new criteria to all patients suspected of IIM instead of the Bohan and Peter criteria. Till now, there was no report on the concordance rate of the classification of PM and DM between the Bohan and Peter criteria and the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria in Korean patients. Hence, in this study, we applied the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria to Korean patients with PM and DM, who were classified by the Bohan and Peter criteria at diagnosis, and investigated the concordance rate of the classification between the two criteria.

METHODS

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of 137 patients with IIM, which were identified in the 10th revised International Classification Diseases (ICD-10), and included 121 patients with IIM (72 PM patients and 49 DM patients) in this study. Sixteen patients with IIM were excluded due to insufficient medical records. Among 72 PM patients, 55 patients were classified as definite PM, 16 patients as probable PM and one patient as possible PM. Among 49 DM patients, 41 DM patients were diagnosed as definite DM and eight patients were as possible DM (Fig. 1). All patients had been first classified as PM and DM at the Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Severance Hospital, from January 2005 to September 2018. All patients fulfilled the Bohan and Peter criteria for PM and DM and had ever received glucocorticoid and/or immunosuppressive drugs for IIM, which were verified by the Korean Drug Utilisation Review system. All patients underwent neuromuscular examination by neurologists and had the results of anti-Jo-1 and serum muscle enzymes at diagnosis. We reclassified 72 PM patients and 49 DM patients based on the Bohan and Peter criteria by the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria using an online web calculator (www.imm.Kise/biostatistics/calculators/iim) and investigated the concordance rate of the classification between the two criteria. This study was approved

кјім≁

by the Institutional Review Board of Severance Hospital (4-2018-1089), who waived the need for patient written informed consent, as this was a retrospective study.

RESULTS

The mean age of PM patients was 60.5 years old (16 patients were men) and that of DM patients was 54.4 years old (15 patients were men). At diagnosis, proximal muscle weakness was observed in all PM patients but it was not in all DM patients (83.7%). DM-specific typical skin rash was presented only in DM patients but not PM patients



Figure 1. The algorithm for the inclusion of patients with polymyositis and dermatomyositis.

at all. By contrast, elevated serum skeletal muscle enzyme levels were detected in all subjects in this study. Electromyography (EMG) and muscle biopsy were performed in PM patients more frequently than DM patients at diagnosis (98.6% vs. 83.7% and 73.3% vs. 51.0%) (Table 1).

When the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria were applied to 72 PM patients, three patients (4.2%) were newly reclassified as non-IIM due to a total score of 5.3 or smaller. At diagnosis, these three patients were classified as probable PM without muscle biopsy, based on proximal muscle weakness, elevation of serum creatinine phosphokinase (CK) level and abnormal EMG results (Table 2). Meanwhile, one patient, who was classified as possible PM, was newly reclassified as probable PM due to the presence of dysphagia (Table 2).

In addition, when the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria were applied to 49 DM patients based on Bohan and Peter criteria, eight patients (16.3%) were newly reclassified as ADM due to the absence of proximal muscle weakness. At diagnosis, eight patients were classified as possible DM without muscle biopsy or EMG, based on elevation of serum CK level and DM-specific typical skin rash (Table 2). Nevertheless, these eight patients with DM could clinically be classified as ADM based on the Bohan and Peter criteria, despite no comment on ADM.

The concordance rate of the classification between the Bohan and Peter criteria and the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria was 95.8% for PM patients and 83.7% for DM patients. Because one patient with possible PM was reclas-

Variable	Patients with PM (n = 72)	Patients with DM $(n = 49)$
Age, yr	60.5 ± 16.0	54.4 ± 15.0
Male sex	16 (21.3)	15 (30.6)
Muscle weakness	72 (100)	41 (83.7)
Skin manifestation	0	49 (100)
Dysphagia	18 (25.0)	10 (20.4)
Anti-Jo-1	24 (33.3)	10 (20.4)
CK/LDH/LFT elevation	72 (100)	49 (100)
EMG	71 (98.6)	41 (83.7)
Muscle MRI	10 (13.9)	6 (12.2)
Muscle biopsy	55 (73-3)	25 (51.0)

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients with PM and DM based on the classification criteria proposed by Bohan and Peter

Values are expressed as mean ± SD or number (%).

PM, polymyositis; DM, dermatomyositis; Anti-Jo-1, antihistidyl tRNA synthetase; CK, creatinine phosphokinase; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; LFT, liver function test; EMG, electromyography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

criteria
/ACR
EULAR
the 2017
criteria and
l Peter
han and
of the Bo
l items o
Fulfilled
Table 2.

кјім≁

												The 2	017 EULAR	V/ACR cri	teria					
	Th	e Bohan a	ind Peter	· criteria	1	Age o onset,)f yr		Muscle we	akness		Skin	u manifesta	tions	Other	Laborato	ry results			MAT
Pt #	Prox- imal muscle weak- ness	Muscle biopsy	CK, LDH, AST, ALT eleva- tion	Abnor- mal EMG	DM skin rash	18- 39	t C C	Jpper trem- e ities	Lower xtremi- ties	Neck flexor domi- nant	Leg proximal muscle domi- nant	Helio- trope rash	Gottron's papule	Got- tron's sign	Dyspha- gia	An- ti-Jo-1	CK, LDH, AST, ALT elevation	Muscle Sc biopsy Sc	I Core	by the by the 2017 EU- AR/ACR criteria
A.Th	ree patien	ts with pr	obable P	M based	on the	Bohan	and Pe	ter criteı	ia were re	classifie	d as non-i	IIM by th	ie 2017 EUI	AR/ACR	criteria					
г	Yes	4	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes	4					Yes		.2	Non-IIM
7	Yes		Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes									Yes	7	1.3	Non-IIM
~	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes						Yes		.2	Non-IIM
B. On	e patient v	vith poss	ible PM b	ased on	the Bol	han anc	l Peter (criteria v	vas reclas	sified as	probable j	PM by th	e 2017 EUL	AR/ACR	criteria					
г	Yes		Yes			Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes				Yes		Yes	0	6.6 Pr	obable PM
C. Eig	ht patient	s with DI	M based c	on the Bo	han ar	nd Peter	· criteri	a were re	classified	l as ADN	I by the 2c	17 EULA	R/ACR crit	eria						
г			Yes		Yes	r :	Yes					Yes					Yes	U	6.8	ADM
5			Yes		Yes		Yes					Yes	Yes				Yes	0.	.5	ADM
ŝ			Yes		Yes	Yes						Yes	Yes				Yes	~	8.8	ADM
4			Yes		Yes		Yes					Yes	Yes				Yes	8	3.2	ADM
Ś			Yes		Yes		Yes					Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes	I	1.3	ADM
9			Yes		Yes		Yes					Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	г	3.3	ADM
5			Yes		Yes		Yes					Yes					Yes	0	5.8	ADM
8			Yes		Yes		Yes					Yes	Yes				Yes	0.	9-5	ADM
The F EUL/ LDH, svnth	sohan an NR/ACR, lactate d	d Peter c Europea lehydrog	rriteria, n Leagu yenase; A vositis. /	the clas le Agair AST, asF ADM a-	sificat 1st Rh 1artate	ion cri eumat trans;	teria fí ism/Ar umina:	or polyr nerican se; ALT,	nyositis : (College alanine	and der of Rhe transar	matomyc umatolo _{ ninase; I	sitis pr gy; IIM, ∃MG, el	oposed by idiopathi ectromyo§	Bohan a ic inflan graphy;	and Peter nmatory DM, derr	: in 1975. myopath matomyo	y; CK, cre sitis; Ant	eatinine J i-Jo-1, ant	phosph	okinase; lyl tRNA
TTTT Le	יד ד ישרים ש	1, PULY 111	VOLLEY,	ULULAL, a	odo litt	ייי יווווי	כן ווומני	TTEN ATTL												

The Korean Journal of Internal Medicine Vol. 36, No. 2, March 2021

sified as probable PM, one patient was not included in the concordance rate analysis.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we reclassified Korean patients with PM and DM, who were classified by the Bohan and Peter criteria at diagnosis, the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria and found that three of 72 PM patients were newly reclassified as non-IIM and eight of 49 DM patients were reclassified as ADM. The concordance rate of the classification between the two criteria was 95.8% for PM patients and 83.7% for DM patients. On the other hand, we compared the concordance rates in IIM patients with and without the results of the muscle biopsy. PM patients with histological results of the muscle biopsy exhibited a concordance rate of 100%, whereas those without the histological results showed a concordance rate of 80.0% between the Bohan and Peter criteria and 2017 EULAR/ ACR criteria. DM patients with the histological results of the muscle biopsy exhibited a concordance of 100%, whereas those without the histological results showed a reduced concordance rate of 52.2% between the two criteria. Therefore, if muscle biopsy could be performed in most cases of PM and DM, the clinical significance of the Bohan and Peter criteria for clearly classifying patients suspected with IIM as PM and DM could be increased. A recent study investigated the relationship between the expert-assigned and the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria-assigned IIM subtypes. When applying the 2017 EULAR/ ACR criteria to patients with IIM based on the expert opinion, they reported that all 37 patients with PM were reclassified as PM, whereas three of 57 patients with DM were reclassified as PM. The concordance rate of the classification of the recent study was 100% for PM patients and 94.7% for DM patients [12]. However, we could not compare the concordance rate of our study with that of the recent study, because the expert opinion was not according to the Bohan and Peter criteria and furthermore, the geographical and ethnic backgrounds were different.

DM patients without evidence of myositis but with DM-specific typical skin rashes, such as heliotrope rash or Gottron's sign or papules based on the Bohan and Peter criteria could be clinically classified as ADM. This assumption may increase the concordance rate in DM



patients up to 100%. However, precise attention should be paid to the differential diagnosis ADM from DM, as ADM is a poor prognostic factor for the concurrence of interstitial lung disease in Korean patients with ADM [13]. These overall results suggest that the classification-accuracy of Bohan and Peter criteria might be not inferior to that of the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria in Korean patients with PM and DM.

A remarkable change between the two criteria was that dysphagia and anti-Jo-1 were included in the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria. Among several myositis-specific autoantibodies, such as anti-Jo-1, anti-Mi-2, anti-signal recognition particle (SRP), anti-PL-7 and anti-PL-12, only anti-Jo-1 was included [11]. Furthermore, the highest score (3.9 without biopsy and 38 with biopsy) was allocated to the presence of anti-Jo-1. In our study, three PM patients were reclassified as non-IIM by the new criteria. Anti-Jo-1 was not detected and muscle biopsy was not performed in these three patients in whom the classification was changed. Considering the high concordance rate of the classification and the highest score of anti-Jo-1 in the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria, we suggest that the Bohan and Peter criteria should preferentially be applied to a patient suspected of PM without DM-specific typical skin rash. And then, if the patient is classified as probable PM without both muscle biopsy or anti-Jo-1, performing muscle biopsy should be considered to discern between PM and other IIMs. Meanwhile, although a relatively low score of 0.7 was allocated to dysphagia, which was newly included in the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria, one patient with possible PM was reclassified as and promoted to probable PM by the new criteria owing to the presence of dysphagia.

Our study has a merit that we first reclassified Korean patients with PM and DM based on the Bohan and Peter criteria at diagnosis by the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria and demonstrated that the concordance rate between the two criteria was 95.8% for PM patients and 83.7% for DM patients. Our study also has an issue that the number of patients in this study was too small to represent Korean patients due to a retrospective and monocentric study. However, we could minimise the inter-centric variation and provide the unified the neuromuscular examination by neurologists based on the same protocol due to a monocentric study. Future studies with a larger number of IIM patients will afford the more reliable and clearer

кјім≁

information on the concordance rate of the classification between the Bohan and Peter criteria and the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria.

The Bohan and Peter criteria were comparable to the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria for classifying PM and DM in Korean patients. Given that the Bohan and Peter criteria are convenient in the real clinical settings, we suggest that the old criteria should be preferentially applied and then performing muscle biopsy should be considered in a patient suspected of PM without anti-Jo-1. Moreover, ADM could also clinically be classified by the old criteria.

KEY MESSAGE

- The concordance rate of the classification between the Bohan and Peter criteria and the 2017 European League Against Rheumatism (EU-LAR)/American College of Rheumatology (ACR) criteria was 95.8% for polymyositis (PM) patients and 83.7% for dermatomyositis (DM) patients.
- 2. The Bohan and Peter criteria were comparable to the 2017 EULAR/ACR criteria for classifying PM and DM in Korean patients.
- 3. The old criteria should be preferentially applied and then performing muscle biopsy should be considered in a patient suspected of PM without antihistidyl tRNA synthetase (anti-Jo-1).

Conflict of interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2017R1D1A1B03029050) and a grant from the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute, funded by the Ministry of Health and Welfare, Republic of Korea (HI14C1324).

REFERENCES

- 1. Tieu J, Lundberg IE, Limaye V. Idiopathic inflammatory myositis. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol 2016;30:149-168.
- 2. Dalakas MC, Hohlfeld R. Polymyositis and dermatomyositis. Lancet 2003;362:971-982.
- 3. Callen JP. Cutaneous manifestations of dermatomyositis and their management. Curr Rheumatol Rep 2010;12:192-197.
- 4. Findlay AR, Goyal NA, Mozaffar T. An overview of polymyositis and dermatomyositis. Muscle Nerve 2015;51:638-656.
- 5. Lundberg IE, Miller FW, Tjarnlund A, Bottai M. Diagnosis and classification of idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. J Intern Med 2016;280:39-51.
- Lundberg IE, Svensson J. Registries in idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2013;25:729-734.
- 7. Bohan A, Peter JB. Polymyositis and dermatomyositis (first of two parts). N Engl J Med 1975;292:344-347.
- 8. Bohan A, Peter JB. Polymyositis and dermatomyositis (second of two parts). N Engl J Med 1975;292:403-407.
- Schmidt K, Schmidt J. Inclusion body myositis: advancements in diagnosis, pathomechanisms, and treatment. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2017;29:632-638.
- Betteridge Z, McHugh N. Myositis-specific autoantibodies: an important tool to support diagnosis of myositis. J Intern Med 2016;280:8-23.
- 11. Lundberg IE, Tjarnlund A, Bottai M, et al. 2017 European League Against Rheumatism/American College of Rheumatology classification criteria for adult and juvenile idiopathic inflammatory myopathies and their major subgroups. Ann Rheum Dis 2017;76:1955-1964.
- Parker MJS, Oldroyd A, Roberts ME, et al. The performance of the European League Against Rheumatism/ American College of Rheumatology idiopathic inflammatory myopathies classification criteria in an expert-defined 10 year incident cohort. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2019;58:468-475.
- Kang EH, Lee EB, Shin KC, et al. Interstitial lung disease in patients with polymyositis, dermatomyositis and amyopathic dermatomyositis. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2005;44:1282-1286.