Home modifications for older people with cognitive impairments: Mediation analysis of caregivers’ information needs and perceptions of fall risks
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Abstract
Aims and objectives: The aims were to (a) identify how many older people with cognitive impairments are living in modified homes and (b) explore associated factors, and (c) examine the mediating effects that their caregivers’ information needs and perceptions of fall risk and other factors.

Background: Older people and their informal caregivers may consider implementing home modifications as an effective strategy for fall prevention. However, there is a lack of information on which older people's homes receive modifications and the various factors associated with such modifications among community-dwelling older people with cognitive impairments.

Design: This cross-sectional and correlational study utilises a secondary data analysis.

Methods: The data for this secondary analysis were taken from the 2015 National Online Survey of Caregivers, which includes information provided by 226 adult caregivers for older people with cognitive impairments. Descriptive analyses, hierarchical binary logistic regression and structural equation modelling were performed based on the Andersen and Newman framework of health services utilisation.

Results: Overall, 46.5% of the older people lived in modified homes. Older people's impaired activities for daily living (ADLs), caregivers' information needs and perceptions of fall risk were all associated with home modifications (all p values<0.05). Caregivers’ information needs mediated the relationship between impaired ADLs and home modifications (indirect effect = 0.026, p < 0.05), whereas the caregivers’ perceptions of fall risk did not.

Conclusions: Older people with both cognitive and functional impairments are more likely to modify their home on behalf of care recipient’s staying at home. Caregivers’ information needs should thus be prioritized when considering home modifications to facilitate caring for older people with impaired ADLs.

Implication for practice: Nurses and other healthcare professionals should be prepared to offer appropriate information and comprehensive assessments of older people's conditions with regard to home modifications.
1 | INTRODUCTION

Falls are a major public health problem. In the United States, every year approximately one-third of those aged 65 or over experience a fall (Centers for Disease Control & Prevention [CDC], 2016). Both the fall rate and the number of fall injuries also increase with age, with about 37% of adults aged 75 and older experiencing a fall, and about 13.5% of adults in this age group experiencing a fall injury each year (Bergen, Stevens, & Burns, 2016). Falls are associated with high morbidity, low quality of life, unnecessary hospitalisation, increased financial burdens and high mortality (Peel, 2011). Fractures and traumatic injuries to the brain or internal organs are common after falls, and these can lead to further complications, such as haemorrhagic shock, sepsis, subdural haematomas and death (Chechik et al., 2012; Gazibara et al., 2014; Nahm, Como, Wilber, & Vallier, 2011). Among older people, fall-related fractures can reduce health-related quality of life substantially, as compared with the period before the fractures occurred (González et al., 2014). Falls account for over 80% of both injury-related hospital admissions and deaths for older people in the United States (CDC, 2012), and more time spent in the hospital is also associated with higher health care costs (Nahm et al., 2011). In the United States, for example, the average cost of fall-related health care is around US$10,000 per Medicare beneficiary per year; this figure is doubled for adults 72 and older (CDC, 2016). There is thus a clear and urgent need to prevent falls in older people, from both the individual and societal points of view.

Many different factors are associated with falls among community-dwelling older people. Although cognitive impairments are a well-known risk factor for falls in older people (Muir, Gopaul, & Montero Odasso, 2012), steps can be taken to reduce this risk. For instance, improving their environmental quality can significantly decrease the risk of falls in older people with cognitive impairments (Eshkoor, Hamid, Nudin, & Mun, 2014). In addition, functional impairment is associated with a fear of falling, which both increases the risk of falling and worsens fall-related outcomes (Brustio, Magistro, Zecca, Liubicich, & Rabaglletti, 2018; Gazibara et al., 2014; Mamikonian-Zarpas & Laganá, 2015). In systemic reviews, the most vulnerable groups of fall risk include older people with either cognitive impairments, functional impairments or both (Fernando, Fraser, Hendriksen, Kim, & Muir-Hunter, 2017; Härelin, Dassen, Halfens, & Heinez, 2009). It is therefore essential to consider the various fall-risk factors when seeking to prevent falls and assist older people (Ambrose, Paul, & Hausdorff, 2013). Unfortunately, the traditional fall-risk assessment measures are difficult to be applied to older people with cognitive impairments, as these individuals tend to be quite frail and can thus have difficulty completing the physical performance tests or self-reporting instruments (Shimada et al., 2011).

What does this research add to existing knowledge in gerontology?

- Half of our older people with cognitive impairments now live in modified home environments; a higher rate than those reported in the past.
- The hours spent caregiving and older people’s functional impairments in terms of ADLs, including IADLs, differed significantly according to whether the home had been modified.
- Caregivers’ information needs are critical in mediating the association between older people’s impaired ADLs and home modifications for older people with cognitive impairments.

What are the implications of this new knowledge for nursing care with older people?

- Nursing care for older people with cognitive impairments must focus on the care recipients’ ADLs; this may include undertaking home modifications for fall prevention.
- Nurses and other healthcare professionals should pay attention to informal caregivers’ information needs and should offer them appropriate information about potentially useful home modifications.

How could the findings be used to influence policy or practice or research or education?

- The findings could contribute to improving nursing assessments by identifying the candidates most likely to benefit by preventing falls among older people with cognitive and functional impairments.
- The findings could be used in multidisciplinary programmes to provide those who care for older people with evidence-based guidelines to help prevent falls.
- Based on the findings, multidisciplinary organisations should provide standardised information on this topic and develop regulations to guide the appropriate modification of homes to prevent falls.

so more extensive supplementary data or proxy reports are needed to perform accurate evaluations of fall risk.

Informal caregivers play an important role in the provision of a wide range of information regarding fall risks for older people. Researchers have shown that caregivers are able to subjectively
identify which older people are at high risk for both falls (Ryan, McCann, & McKenna, 2009) and fall-associated injuries (Lach, Krampe, & Phongphanngam, 2011; Shimada et al., 2011). Family caregivers can provide unique perspectives regarding the physical, spatial and interpersonal issues related to home safety, many of which differ from those of their care recipients (Tong, Sims-Gould, & Martin-Matthews, 2016). Informal caregivers such as family members can encourage older people to participate in preventive interventions or to use external resources (Boltz, 2012; Lach et al., 2011; Marquardt et al., 2011; Suttanon, Hill, Said, Byrne, & Dodd, 2012). With their caregivers’ support, older people are thus more likely to seek external resources regarding home modifications that promote home safety (Marquardt et al., 2011).

Such safety-related home modifications have proven to be very effective and are the preferred strategy for fall prevention, especially for frail older people who live in the community (Chase, Mann, Wasek, & Arbesman, 2012; Lach et al., 2011; Stark, Keglovits, Arbesman, & Lieberman, 2017). Both older people and their informal caregivers invariably consider the home to be the preferred care setting, as this generally allows care recipients to receive the benefits of home care in a safe environment (Tong et al., 2016). Healthcare professionals, including nurses, can work with informal caregivers to encourage appropriate home modifications by educating older people; this can include information on external resources from the federal government (e.g., the Department of Veterans Affairs) and from local ageing-related services (Currin, Comans, Heathcote, & Haines, 2012; Szanton et al., 2014). Certain types of home modifications can decrease older people’s fall risks substantially, including the removal of home environmental hazards such as loose rugs and clutter and simple modifications such as the installation of bathroom grab bars, stairway railings and additional lighting (Chase et al., 2012; Enderlin et al., 2015).

Despite the fact that home modifications are effective interventions for fall prevention among older people, they are often not implemented due to a lack of knowledge and/or resources on this topic among the older people concerned (Marquardt et al., 2011). There is also limited information that focuses specifically on how caregivers’ perceptions of fall risk are associated with the caregivers’ information needs and home modifications (Lach et al., 2011) for older people with cognitive impairments. This gap in the literature is significant because caregivers play an essential role in fall-risk assessment and related interventions for older people with cognitive and functional impairments (Wilkinson et al., 2018). This study was therefore performed to (a) quantify the prevalence of home modifications for older people with cognitive impairments; (b) identify the sociodemographic and caregiving-related characteristics associated with the home modifications; and (c) examine how caregivers’ information needs and perceptions of fall risk are associated with home modifications that support home health care and informal caregiving.

2 METHODS

2.1 Design

This study was cross-sectional and correlational in design. This secondary data analysis was based on data collected by the large 2015 National Alliance for Caregiving (NAC) and American Association of Retired Persons (AARP) survey (NAC & AARP Public Policy Institute, 2015a, 2015b).

2.2 Conceptual framework

This research project used the Andersen and Newman framework of health services utilisation (Andersen & Newman, 1973). Figure 1 shows how this conceptual framework was used to select variables, structure mediation models and interpret the study’s findings. The primary focus of the study was on population characteristics and health behaviours.

In this model, predisposing, enabling and need factors are all associated with health behaviours, although the enabling and need factors could mediate the associations among these variables. Sociodemographic characteristics and health-related conditions (e.g., cognitive and functional impairments) are considered predisposing characteristics because they exist prior to the healthcare needs or the subsequent use of health services. Caregiving-related characteristics are enabling factors because they are the result of informal caregiving on behalf of older people with cognitive

![FIGURE 1 Conceptual framework for the study](image-url)
impairment. Caregivers’ information needs and perceptions of fall risk are need factors because they generate a need for health services to keep the older people safe at home.

Finally, home modification is considered a status after using health services, as it promotes older people’s health and well-being (Kim, Ahn, Steinhoff, & Lee, 2014). Based on the guidelines for clinical practice provided by the American Geriatrics Society and British Geriatrics Society’s Panel on Prevention of Falls in Older Persons (2010), modification of the home environment is a multifactorial fall intervention. Screening the home environment and identifying the need for modifications (with the help of healthcare professionals) is an effective intervention in the treatment of people with fall-risk factors (Panel on Prevention of Falls in Older Persons, 2011). Nurses and other healthcare providers use these practical recommendations to provide guidance regarding fall prevention (Reuben et al., 2017).

2.3 | Data source

This study’s primary data consist of information collected for the NAC and AARP’s survey of caregiving in the United States (2015b), which includes responses from 7,660 community-dwelling adults across the country. This survey was conducted from September to November 2014 and released for research use in 2015. The NAC and AARP data were gathered from GfK’s national, probability-based online KnowledgePanel®. Only caregivers completed the primary NAC and AARP survey; the care recipients did not. For this survey, a caregiver was defined as an individual who provides unpaid care or assistance to a family member, friend or anyone else whom the respondent knows; this includes help with personal needs or household chores (NAC & AARP Public Policy Institute, 2015b). Thus, the person who was defined as a caregiver reported all the information for both the care recipients and themselves. To generate representative caregiver samples for the U.S. population, the NAC and AARP conducted probability sampling in the general population and targeted particular subgroups for oversampling, including racial or ethnic minorities (such as African Americans, Hispanics, and Asian Americans) and people aged 65 or older (NAC & AARP Public Policy Institute, 2015a). The NAC and AARP selected these samples through random-digit dialing, stratified by geographic population density; this produced a set of telephone numbers and residential addresses. One caregiver was randomly selected from each household as a survey participant (NAC & AARP Public Policy Institute, 2015b).

The NAC and AARP online survey was conducted using the computer-aided, standardised interviewing system included in KnowledgePanel®. Greenwald & Associates helped to manage the data quality as a cooperating organisation. The online questionnaire used by the NAC and AARP in 2015 was an improved version of the surveys that the same organisations used in 1997, 2004 and 2009, which were telephone-based. Caregivers (not care recipients) were asked to answer the standardised survey, which was available in either Spanish or English. The online interviews included separate sections for each of the research goals: (a) sociodemographic and health-related characteristics of both caregivers and care recipients and (b) a core of interviews about caregiving. After providing informed consent, the caregivers received unique logins that allowed them to access the online surveys; they used their own equipment to do so. For caregivers who had no Internet access, GfK provided a laptop with an Internet connection free of charge (NAC & AARP Public Policy Institute, 2015b).

2.4 | Study samples for the secondary data analysis

This secondary data analysis used a subsample of 226 informal caregivers among the 1,563 caregivers in the larger survey. The inclusion criteria were informal caregivers who were caring for older people with cognitive impairments such as Alzheimer’s disease (Figure 2). The care recipients were defined as older if they were at least 60 years old. Care recipients not receiving informal caregiving, such as no or paid caregivers, were excluded in the analysis. The final sample size was 226, thus satisfying the requirements for the structural equation modelling (SEM)—10 to 20 subjects for every free parameter, as well as at least 200 in total (Fritz & MacKinnon, 2007; MacKinnon, 2008).
2.5 | Variables and measurements

2.5.1 | Home modifications

Consistent with the method used in previous studies (Kim et al., 2014; Marquardt et al., 2011; The Scan Foundation, 2010), home modification was identified through the caregiver reporting question, “Have modifications been made in the house or apartment where your (care recipient) lives/lived to make things easier for him/her?” The caregiver’s response was dichotomised, with “Yes” coded as 1 and “No” coded as 0 to indicate the referent groups.

2.5.2 | Characteristics of older people

Based on our conceptual framework and the literature review, we included several types of sociodemographic data for the older people that may be associated with home modifications (Kim et al., 2014; Lord, Menz, & Sherrington, 2006). The older people’s ages, sex, living arrangements, residential areas and household incomes were examined, with their age being a continuous variable and the other information categorical variables. We coded these reference groups as: 0: male, living with others, urban residential area and annual household income of less than US$50,000.

We evaluated the functional impairment of the older people with cognitive impairments based on their ADLs, including instrumental ADLs (IADLs), which were again based on the caregivers’ reports. The variables for ADLs were based on Katz’s scales (Katz, Ford, Moskowitz, Jackson, & Jaffe, 1963), and the variables for the IADLs were based on Lawton and Brody’s scales (Lawton & Brody, 1969). These two instruments have been widely used for older people via self-reports; proxy, caregiver or informant reports; and professional observations (Milac & Feng, 2016). We used counting variables for impaired ADLs and IADLs (range: 0–6), with higher scores indicating that the older people were more dependent on assistance from their caregivers for ADLs (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.808) and IADLs (Cronbach’s alpha = 0.762).

2.5.3 | Caregiving-related characteristics

The caregiving-related characteristics reflect the older people’s conditions that require informal caregiving. This study data include the duration spent caregiving, as well as the caregivers’ information needs and their perceived risks of falling, each of which is a significant contributor to the caregiver burden (Chiao, Wu, & Hsiao, 2015).

In the survey, the history of caregiving comprised two continuous caregiver-reported variables: weekly hours spent caregiving and years of caregiving. The weekly hours spent on caregiving ranged from 1 (less than 60 min or one hour) to 168 (constant care). The years of caregiving constituted the length of time in the caregiver role. Caregivers with 1 year or less in this role were coded as 1; higher values represent the number of years spent providing such care.

We measured information needs using two items: (a) seeking information for keeping the care recipient safe at home and (b) seeking information for wandering management. A factor score was then constructed from these two variables based on a confirmatory factor analysis. The caregivers self-reported their perceptions of their care recipients’ fall risks. Caregivers who perceived that the older people’s main problem was a feeble condition, unsteady gait, history of previous falls or mobility difficulty were classified as belonging to the “risk” group (coded as 1). The others were classified in the “no-risk” group (coded as 0).

2.6 | Data analysis

We performed independent t tests, Mann–Whitney U tests and chi-square statistics, as well as a hierarchical binary logistic regression analysis, using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences Amos (version 23.0). SEM was also utilised to complete a mediation analysis. The two-tailed level of significance was set at 0.05. We did not apply either household or individual weights because the NAC and AARP did not calculate these based on the care recipients’ characteristics (NAC & AARP Public Policy Institute, 2015b).

In the regression analysis, the blocks were as follows: Block 1 consisted of the older people’s functional impairment based on ADLs and IADLs; Block 2 covered the caregiving-related characteristics; and Block 3 comprised the caregivers’ information needs and their perceptions of fall risks. Prior to each analysis, we checked all the pertinent assumptions, including multicollinearity and both univariate and multivariate normalities. To correct for univariate normality, we transformed the variables of weekly caregiving hours and years of caregiving using a natural log function. For multivariate normality, we identified no outliers.

After excluding the non-significant variables, we conducted an SEM for mediation analysis. The model consisted of the older people’s ADLs (the independent variable), home modifications (the dependent variable) and two mediators (caregivers’ information needs and perceptions of fall risk). We controlled for age because it is spurious to associate them with all the variables in the model. The hypothesised mediation models were tested in accordance with MacKinnon’s (2008) recommendations, using a bias-corrected bootstrap sampling distribution. Bias-corrected bootstrapping is helpful as it permits researchers to test hypotheses more accurately than conventional methods (Fritz & MacKinnon, 2007; Levy, Landerman, & Davis, 2011). Bias-corrected bootstrapping utilises repeated random sampling from the original samples. A bias-corrected confidence interval of 95% was applied here based on 5,000 bootstrap estimates, as well as bootstrap maximum likelihood (MacKinnon, 2008). The model-fit indices used for this evaluation were the chi-square statistic, comparative fit index, normed fit index, root mean square error of approximation (and its associated 90% confidence interval) and the Akaike information criterion (MacKinnon, 2008).

2.7 | Ethical considerations

For the primary data in the NAC and AARP survey, all participating caregivers were anonymous volunteers who provided written, informed consent; the resulting NAC and AARP data is free for public
use. The data provided does not include identifying or other personal information and hence poses only a minimal risk to confidentiality and the privacy of the caregivers. Prior to this secondary data analysis, we confirmed the data’s exempt status through the Institutional Review Board of the affiliated university. There was no direct relationship between the caregivers and the researchers in this study.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Description of older people with cognitive impairments

Table 1 shows the sociodemographic and caregiving-related characteristics of the older people with cognitive impairments. Their average age was 82.65 years (SD = 8.40). The majority were female (66.8%), resided with others (80.9%) and lived in urban areas (74.4%); most (64.1%) had an annual household income of US$50,000 or more. According to their caregivers, the older people with cognitive impairment received informal caregiving for a mean of 29.25 hr per week (SD = 31.14) and had been receiving care from their caregiver for a mean of 5.01 years (SD = 7.15). On average, the caregivers reported 1.82 (SD = 1.97) functional ADL impairments in their care recipients and 4.22 (SD = 1.82) IADL impairments. The caregivers indicated a need for information on how to make the home environment safer (45.1%) and on the management of wandering care recipients (34.1%) to help them deal with these functional impairments. The majority of the caregivers perceived that their care recipients were at some risk of falls (89.8%).

3.2 | Group comparison of characteristics by home modification

Among those caring for older people with cognitive impairments, 46.5% reported that the care recipients’ homes had been modified to

| TABLE 1 | Sociodemographic and caregiving-related characteristics of the older people living with cognitive impairments |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | All | Home modification group (n = 105, 46.5%) | Non-home modification group (n = 121, 53.5%) | p values |
| Age, mean (SD) | 82.65 (8.40) | 83.03 (8.41) | 82.32 (8.41) | 0.530 |
| Weekly hours for caregiving, mean (SD)* | 29.25 (31.14) | 37.19 (32.67) | 22.55 (28.23) | <0.001 |
| Years of caregiving, mean (SD)* | 5.01 (7.15) | 5.60 (8.37) | 4.50 (5.88) | 0.228 |
| Impaired ADLs, mean (SD)* | 1.82 (1.97) | 2.45 (2.08) | 1.27 (1.70) | <0.001 |
| Impaired IADLs, mean (SD)* | 4.22 (1.82) | 4.67 (1.64) | 3.83 (1.88) | 0.001 |
| Sex, n (%) | | | | |
| Male | 75 (33.2) | 32 (30.5) | 43 (35.5) | 0.420 |
| Female | 151 (66.8) | 73 (69.5) | 78 (64.5) | |
| Living arrangement, n (%) | | | | |
| Living with others | 182 (80.9) | 89 (84.8) | 93 (77.5) | 0.167 |
| Living alone | 43 (19.1) | 16 (15.2) | 27 (22.5) | |
| Type of residential area, n (%) | | | | |
| Urban | 160 (74.4) | 72 (72.7) | 88 (75.9) | 0.600 |
| Rural | 55 (25.6) | 27 (27.3) | 28 (24.1) | |
| Household income, n (%) | | | | |
| Above US$50,000 | 143 (64.1) | 63 (61.8) | 80 (66.1) | 0.500 |
| Below US$50,000 | 80 (35.9) | 39 (38.2) | 41 (33.9) | |
| Need for information on safer homes, n (%) | | | | |
| Expressed | 102 (45.1) | 57 (54.3) | 45 (37.2) | 0.010 |
| Not expressed | 124 (54.9) | 48 (45.7) | 76 (62.8) | |
| Need for information on wandering management, n (%) | | | | |
| Expressed | 77 (34.1) | 44 (41.9) | 33 (27.3) | 0.021 |
| Not expressed | 149 (65.9) | 61 (58.1) | 88 (72.7) | |
| Caregivers’ perceptions of fall risk, n (%) | | | | |
| Risk | 203 (89.8) | 89 (84.8) | 114 (94.2) | 0.019 |
| No risk | 23 (10.2) | 16 (15.2) | 7 (5.8) | |

Note: ADLs, activities for daily living; IADLs, instrumental activities for daily living; SD, standard deviation.

*Tested by non-parametric test.
support their care. There was a significant difference in the amount of care needed based on whether the caregivers had modified the care recipients’ homes. In the home-modification group, the older people required more hours of caregiving each week \( (p < 0.001) \) and the older people with cognitive impairment had more functional impairments in their ADLs and IADLs \( (p < 0.001) \). The caregivers in the home-modification group also expressed a greater need for information on making their homes safe \( (p = 0.010) \) and managing their care recipients’ wandering \( (p = 0.021) \) than caregivers who had not modified their homes, while caregivers who had not modified their homes perceived a higher level of fall risk than those in the home-modification group \( (p = 0.019) \). There were no statistical differences between the two groups in terms of age, sex, years of caregiving, living arrangement, residential area or household income (Table 1).

### 3.3 Results of the hierarchical binary logistic regression

The hierarchical binary logistic regression explained 15.6% \( (\text{per Cox and Snell } R^2) \) or 20.9% \( (\text{per Nagelkerke } R^2) \) of the variance in whether the homes were modified for older people with cognitive impairments \( (p < 0.001) \). In the model, older people’s impaired ADLs, caregivers’ information needs and their perceptions of fall risk were all statistically significant factors, \( \chi^2(6) = 37.946, p < 0.001 \). The caregivers reported home modifications when the older people had more ADL impairment, with Wald’s \( \chi^2 = 6.587, p = 0.010 \), odds ratio (OR) = 1.238 and a 95% confidence interval (CI) for OR = 1.052–1.457. Caregivers had a greater need for information on how to make their homes safe or on how to cope with wandering, with Wald’s \( \chi^2 = 6.070, p = 0.014 \), and OR = 1.472, with a 95% CI of 1.082–2.002, and this was also the case when the caregivers perceived that the older people were feeble and thus at a greater risk of falls, with Wald’s \( \chi^2 = 4.049, p = 0.044 \), and OR = 2.877, with a 95% CI of 1.028–8.052. However, IADL impairments, weekly hours spent caregiving, and years of caregiving did not have significant associations with home modification (Table 2).

### 3.4 Results of mediation analyses with structural equation modelling

Prior to completing the SEM, a correlation matrix was identified based on the significant variables obtained from the logistic regression (Table 3). The four competing models were Model 1, a multiple-mediator model with a recursive relationship between the two mediators (caregivers’ information needs and perceptions of fall risk); Models 2 and 3, multiple-mediator models with one-way relationships between the two mediators; and Model 4, a multiple-mediator model with no relationship between the two mediators. A summary of the fit comparisons is provided in Table 4 (described in more detail in Appendix 1). Based on the model-fit comparison, Model 4 was selected as the final model because it had the lowest Akaike information criterion, but the fits of all the other models were also acceptable in terms of their cutoffs and their insignificant chi-squared statistics. Model 4 achieved the following results: \( \chi^2 = 0.053; p = 0.818 \); comparative fit index = 1.000; normed fit index = 0.999; and root mean square error of approximation = 0.001, with a 90% CI of 0.001–0.108.

Figure 3 shows the direct, indirect and total effects that caregivers’ information needs and their perceptions of fall risk had on the significant relationship between older people’s impaired ADLs and falls.

### Table 2: Hierarchical binary logistic regression analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>( B )</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Wald’s ( \chi^2 )</th>
<th>( df )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI for OR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-1.348</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>10.325</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 1:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older people’s impaired ADLs</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>6.587</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>1.238</td>
<td>1.052–1.457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predisposing factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Older people’s impaired IADLs</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>0.802–1.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 2:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly hours for caregiving</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>2.794</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>1.246</td>
<td>0.963–1.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enabling factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of caregiving</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>1.123</td>
<td>0.860–1.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block 3:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregivers’ information needs</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>6.070</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>1.472</td>
<td>1.082–2.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need factors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregivers’ perceptions of fall risk</td>
<td>1.057</td>
<td>0.525</td>
<td>4.049</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>2.877</td>
<td>1.028–8.052</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \text{Cox and Snell } R^2 = 0.156^{***} \)

\( \text{Nagelkerke } R^2 = 0.209^{***} \)

Note: ADLs, activities for daily living; CI, confidence interval; IADLs, instrumental activities for daily living; OR, odds ratio; SE, standard error.

***\( p < 0.001 \).
KIM et al. and home modifications. Although the caregivers’ information needs partially mediated that relationship (indirect effect = 0.026, \( p < 0.05 \)), the caregivers’ perceptions of fall risk did not mediate that relationship due to the insignificant relationship between caregivers’ perceptions and home modifications. There was no relationship between the two mediators.

### TABLE 3  
Correlation coefficients for the measured variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Home modification</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Older people’s impaired ADLs</td>
<td>0.298**</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Caregivers’ information needs-safe home</td>
<td>0.171**</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Caregivers’ information needs-wandering management</td>
<td>0.154*</td>
<td>0.176*</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Caregivers’ perceptions of fall risk</td>
<td>0.156*</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: ADLs, activities for daily living.  
**\( p < 0.01 \).

### TABLE 4  
Model-fit indices among four competing models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>( \chi^2 ) (1, ( N = 226 )), ( p )</th>
<th>CFI</th>
<th>NFI</th>
<th>RMSEA</th>
<th>90% CI for RMSEA</th>
<th>AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model 1 (Recursive relationship of mediators)</td>
<td>0.081 (1, 226), 0.776</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001, 0.116</td>
<td>26.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 2 (Information needs → Perceptions)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.116, 0.209</td>
<td>28.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 3 (Information needs → Perceptions)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.116, 0.209</td>
<td>28.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model 4 (No relationship between mediators)</td>
<td>0.053 (1, 226), 0.818</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001, 0.108</td>
<td>26.053</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: AIC, Akaike information criterion; CFI, comparative fit index; CI, confidence interval; NFI, normed fit index; RMSEA, root mean square error of approximation.

and home modifications. Although the caregivers’ information needs partially mediated that relationship (indirect effect = 0.026, \( p < 0.05 \)), the caregivers’ perceptions of fall risk did not mediate that relationship due to the insignificant relationship between caregivers’ perceptions and home modifications. There was no relationship between the two mediators.

### 4  
**DISCUSSION**

The aim of this study was to investigate the characteristics of older people with cognitive impairments who live in homes that have been modified, with a specific focus on their functional impairments and the mediating roles of caregivers’ information needs and perceptions of fall risk. Half of the older people with cognitive impairments were living in homes that had been modified to support their needs and were receiving informal caregiving. Older people’s impaired ADLs, caregivers’ information needs and their perceptions of fall risk were all significantly associated with home modifications. Impaired ADLs were particularly strongly associated with home modification, although this relationship was partially mediated by the caregivers’ information needs.

These findings reveal that almost half, 46.5%, of the older people with cognitive impairment were living in modified home environments. Combined with the findings of earlier studies (Kim et al., 2014; The Scan Foundation, 2010), this suggests a steady increase in home modifications for older people over the last decade; the reported prevalence of home modification was 36% in 2006 (The Scan

![FIGURE 3](image-url)  
Results of the multiple-mediator model. This structural equation model illustrates the standardised regression coefficients. All path coefficients are statistically significant, except for the path from caregivers’ perceptions of fall risk to home modification. A dotted line means a relationship has a statistically insignificant coefficient. * \( p < 0.05 \), ** \( p < 0.01 \), *** \( p < 0.001 \).
Deal of research has shown that home modifications significantly
ship between older people’s impaired ADLs and home modification.
associated with home modification, partially mediating the relation‐
ers’ information needs related to fall management were significantly
strong need related to a particular problem. We found that caregiv
increasing the use of direct resources or health care when there is a
Stark et al., 2017). Those with impaired ADLs also have an above
average chance of experiencing a severe cognitive impairment, so
home modification is particularly advantageous for older people
with impaired ADLs, at least for those for whom staying at home in
the community is still possible (Stark et al., 2017).

Our assumption was that longer hours or years spent caregiv
would be likely to increase the accumulated caregiver burden,
which would be ameliorated by better access to external resources
and coping strategies such as home modification (Chiao et al., 2015).
However, our analysis found that caregiving-related information
becomes non-significant when other factors such as functional im‐
pairment and information needs were taken into account. This sug‐
gests that caregiving-related characteristics are less important than
increasing the use of direct resources or health care when there is a
strong need related to a particular problem. We found that caregiv
ers’ information needs related to fall management were significantly
associated with home modification, partially mediating the relation‐
ship between older people’s impaired ADLs and home modification.

This suggests that it is crucial for nursing providers to work closely
with multidisciplinary healthcare professionals such as occupational
therapists, physical therapists and construction professionals to
provide relevant resources and information to caregivers regarding
both home modification and fall prevention (Lach et al., 2011). A
multidisciplinary team plays an important role in helping caregivers
understand the importance of home modification in fall prevention
as part of both health care and community well-being, as caregivers
are more likely to initiate home modifications after discussing their
options with healthcare professionals (Marquardt et al., 2011).

Healthcare professionals can utilise clinical guidelines and online
resources when providing educational information to caregivers.
For example, the American Geriatrics Society and British Geriatrics
Society have provided clinical practice guidelines for the prevention
of falls in older persons. This programme’s comprehensive approach
to fall prevention in older people includes a home-environment
assessment that highlights potentially helpful home modifications
(Panel on Prevention of Falls in Older Persons, 2011). The CDC
has also developed a checklist to identify environmental hazards
in the home, providing recommendations for home-hazard reduc‐
tion through home modifications (CDC & National Center for Injury
Prevention & Control, 2015). Thus, healthcare providers should (a)
be aware of the diverse sources of information that are available to
help caregivers select appropriate home modifications; (b) provide
specific information-based education regarding the older people’s
conditions and their caregivers’ needs; and (c) evaluate their under‐
standing to determine the caregivers’ level of knowledge and accep‐
tance of home modification’s benefits, as well as their satisfaction
with the information provided (Lach et al., 2011).

Our findings reveal that caregivers’ perceptions of fall risk are
significantly and independently associated with home modification.
However, their perceptions did not influence home modification de‐
cisions once the older people’s impaired ADLs and the caregivers’
information needs were taken into account. This unexpected finding
may be understandable in the context of Andersen and Newman’s
framework of health services utilisation (Andersen & Newman, 1973),
where a perceived need activates an immediate search for health
services and for information on the options for dealing with health
problems, while an evaluated need relates to professional judgement
and the decision to accept healthcare assistance or resources. The
informal caregivers in our study had undertaken home modifications
based on their evaluated needs, using relevant information rather
than subjective views or worries (Andersen & Newman, 1973). This
was because modifying the home environment is expensive and re‐
quires the caregivers’ time and attention. One major barrier to home
modification is that caregivers do not essentially understand when
such modification becomes necessary or would be helpful for fall
prevention (Marquardt et al., 2011). These misperceptions of home
modification may be due to the caregivers’ lack of knowledge about
the methods that have been shown to be helpful for fall prevention
(Pynoos, Steinman, Do Nguyen, & Bressette, 2012). This suggests
that nurses and other healthcare professionals, when providing fall
prevention education to the caregivers, should focus on explaining
how the care recipients’ various conditions or symptoms could improve as a result of appropriate choice of home modifications.

4.1 | Limitations and future research

This study has several important limitations. Firstly, as it was based on cross-sectional data, it cannot be used to infer causal relationships among older people’s ADL impairment, caregivers’ information needs and home modification. Based on our clinical practice, home modification tends to be decided by both the older adults and their caregivers together because of the cost implications and the complicated decision-making involved. This secondary data analysis is based on limited information concerning who initiated the process and how the decision to engage in home modification was reached. However, the findings of this study do provide useful information through its proposed associations, which could lead to fruitful research in the future that focuses on the study variables—especially with regard to longitudinal studies. Secondly, there is an inherent limitation as this secondary data analysis used survey data based on proxy reports by caregivers and questions that did not focus specifically on falls. Thus, researchers should consider adding objective measures of caregiver behaviour and/or home-environment assessments in future studies in order to examine the actual actions that caregivers routinely take to prevent falls. More specific assessment tools must also be developed to capture the specific needs of those who care for older people with cognitive impairments. Thirdly, caregivers can have different levels and types of information related to fall-risk assessment. Researchers should provide standardised sets of information on this topic in order to properly evaluate the caregivers’ knowledge, practices and attitudes within controlled designs, as this could help to identify subgroups who need particular attention and assistance from healthcare providers (Chippendale & Raveis, 2017).

5 | CONCLUSION

Overall, almost half of older people with cognitive impairments are living in homes that have been modified to support their needs. Our findings confirm that home modification is common for older people with cognitive impairments and/or limited ADLs. Our analysis indicates that caregivers’ information needs (for topics such as home safety and the management of wandering older people) mediate the relationship between older people’s impaired ADLs and home modification. This study’s results highlight the important role healthcare professionals play in the development of high-quality, standardised, information-based education programmes targeted at caregivers. Our results also emphasise the need to identify hazards in the home environment, particularly for older people with impaired ADLs. If we are to raise the standard of the care provided to older people with cognitive impairments living in the community, more research is needed to differentiate caregivers’ knowledge, practices and attitudes regarding appropriate home modifications.
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Implication Practice

- Healthcare providers should be prepared to meet the needs that homebound older people with limited ADLs and their caregivers encounter challenges to prevent fall in community.
- It is crucial for nursing providers to work closely with multidisciplinary healthcare professionals to provide relevant resources and information to caregivers regarding both home modification and fall prevention.
- Healthcare professionals should focus on explaining how the care recipients’ various conditions or symptoms could improve as a result of appropriate choice of home modifications.
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APPENDIX 1

Diagrams of four competing models. The diagrams show four competing models with multiple mediators. This structural equation model illustrates the standardised regression coefficients. All path coefficients are statistically significant, except for the path represented by the dotted lines. *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$.  

Notes: *$p < 0.05$, **$p < 0.01$, ***$p < 0.001$; --- statistically insignificant coefficient