A Case of Intraoperative Anaphylaxis Caused by Bovine-Derived Thrombin
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INTRODUCTION

Topical thrombin is one of the major hemostatic aids, which is often used when surgical ligation or electrocautery of bleeding vessels is not effective. It has been used during surgery since the 1940s and exponentially after their effect on hemostasis during surgery was proved effective.1,2 Currently, it is used for more than 1 million patients per year in the United States.3 Despite its popular use, adverse reactions have rarely been reported.4 However, bovine thrombin formulations are linked to the development of antibodies against human coagulation proteins.5,6 Therefore, human thrombin formulations and recombinant protein thrombin formulations have been developed.2 However, bovine thrombin formulations are still widely used today because of the extremely low incidence of adverse event, and cost-effectiveness.

Herein, we describe a case of anaphylaxis after the use of intraoperative bovine-derived thrombin powder for hemostasis during anterior cervical discectomy and fusion.

CASE REPORT

A 38-year-old woman was referred to the orthopedic surgery department for herniated disk from C2 to C7 level. Her medical history showed brain artery aneurysm, hypertension (on losartan potassium and clopidogrel daily), and chronic spontaneous urticaria. Staged anterior and posterior cervical diskectomy and fusion were performed to relieve her symptoms.

During the first stage of operation, bovine-derived thrombin powder soaked in Gelfoam was used as a hemostatic aid. After 30 minutes, the patient developed anaphylactic shock with systemic skin rash and angioedema. Repeated intravenous bolus and infusion of vasopressor were carried out, and her blood pressure normalized. Skin test and specific immunoglobulin E test showed positive results for bovine thrombin and beef, respectively. During the second stage of operation (After 10 days from first stage of operation), bovine-derived thrombin powder was excluded and the operation was successful without any unexpected events. Therefore, bovine thrombin should be used with caution because it might cause anaphylaxis.

Key Words: Anaphylaxis; bovine; thrombin

Correspondence to: Hye Jung Park, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Internal Medicine, Gangnam Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 211 Eonju-ro, Gangnam-gu, Seoul 06273, Korea.
Tel: +82-2-2019-4375; Fax: +82-2-3463-3882; E-mail: craft7820@yuhs.ac
Received: September 18, 2017; Revised: November 2, 2017; Accepted: November 6, 2017
• There are no financial or other issues that might lead to conflict of interest.
tion was restarted and lasted for 2 hours. At the end of the operation, extubation could not be performed due to tongue swelling and edematous airway. Subsequently, she was transferred to the intensive care unit. She fully recovered and was transferred to the ward after 1 day.

The laboratory results are as follows: white blood cell count, 17,090/mm³ (eosinophils 0.1%); hemoglobin, 14.4 g/dL, and platelet count, 193,000/μL. Specific immunoglobulin E (IgE) test showed positive to beef (1.10 kU/L) and pork (1.05 kU/L) and negative to galactose-α-1,3-galactose (α-gal) (0.09 kU/L) and gelatin (0.03 kU/L) (UniCAP, Phadia, Uppsala, Sweden). Component resolved diagnosis test showed positive to bovine serum albumin (Bos d 6) (3.47 kU/L) and porcine serum albumin (Sus s 1) (1.84 kU/L) (UniCAP, Phadia).

Sensitivity testing revealed a positive skin prick test to bovine thrombin (Reyon, Seoul, Korea) and beef (Allergopharma, Reinbek, Germany). Intradermal test also showed positive to bovine thrombin and beef. In addition, skin test results showed negative responses to pork (Allergopharma) and rocuronium (MSD, West Point, PA, USA) (Table, Fig. 2). In conclusion, she was allergic to beef and bovine-derived products.

After 10 days from first stage of operation, second stage of operation (posterior cervical discectomy at C2-7) was conducted. During operation, intraoperative bovine-derived thrombin was not used. The operation ended successfully without any unexpected event. She was discharged, and any adverse reaction was not observed during the 6-month follow-up period.

DISCUSSION

Thrombin has been widely used for hemostasis during surgery. Thrombin acts as a hemostatic aid.4-8 Its initial source was bovine in origin. Bovine thrombin-induced antibodies cause adverse drug reactions and even death. Then, human thrombin and recombinant thrombin have been developed. However, bovine thrombin formulations are still widely used until now because of the extremely low prevalence of adverse reactions and its cost-effectiveness.

Several patients suffered from anaphylaxis due to bovine thrombin. Tadokoro et al.10 showed that anaphylaxis is mediated by specific IgE to bovine thrombin antibody, and based on radioallergosorbent test inhibition analysis, the antigenic substances were bovine-specific moiety that is mainly involved in the contaminant rather than bovine thrombin itself. In this case, skin and specific IgE test results showed positivity to bovine thrombin, beef, and Bos d 6 which does not contain bovine thrombin itself.11 This means that bovine thrombin allergy was mediated by a specific IgE antibody, and allergic component was not thrombin itself. In addition, this case showed negative to α-gal which is usually used to diagnose red meat allergy syndrome; then we can exclude it. She suffered chronic urticaria; and it is aggravated when she eats beef. Then, she stopped eating beef. Overall, we concluded she has a true beef allergy (but not red meat allergy nor thrombin itself allergy).
Skin test showed negative result to pork; whereas serum specific IgE to pork and Sus s 1 was positive. Discrepancy in results from skin test and specific IgE has been well known.12 Although there are lots of debate, skin prick test is considered to be slightly more reliable than specific IgE in food allergy.13,14 We can consider she is an asymptomatic sensitizer to pork. However, we should keep in mind that she can be allergic to pork someday.

In this case, the patient had difficulty recovering from anaphylactic shock; 2 causes were associated with this condition. First, she usually took losartan (angiotensin II receptor blocker) for hypertension. Angiotensin inhibitor causes an increased risk of anaphylaxis because the renin-angiotensin system is a compensatory mechanism response that decreases peripheral vascular resistance, which causes shock that is induced by anaphylaxis.15 Second, bovine-derived thrombin-soaked gelatin form was not removed during surgery, because it was not suspected as a culprit of anaphylaxis at that time. It remained in her neck tissues even after end of operation. Burn out of allergic reactions related to the mediators might have caused a full recovery (called desensitization) in short period of time.16 The dissolution of bovine thrombin products in her neck tissues according to half-life may have led to long-term recovery.

Intraoperative bovine-derived topical thrombin is still widely used for hemostasis during surgery. This case report suggests that bovine thrombin should be used with caution because it can cause anaphylaxis.
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