



Characteristics Predictive for a Successful Switch from Insulin Analogue Therapy to Oral Hypoglycemic Agents in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes

Gyuri Kim^{1,2*}, Yong-ho Lee^{1,2*}, Eun Seok Kang^{1,2}, Bong-Soo Cha^{1,2}, Hyun Chul Lee^{1,2}, and Byung-Wan Lee^{1,2}

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul; ²Graduate School, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea.

Purpose: The objective of this study was to investigate clinical and laboratory parameters that could predict which patients could maintain adequate glycemic control after switching from initial insulin therapy to oral hypoglycemic agents (OHAs) among patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D).

Materials and Methods: We recruited 275 patients with T2D who had been registered in 3 cohorts of initiated insulin therapy and followed up for 33 months. The participants were divided into 2 groups according to whether they switched from insulin to OHAs (Group I) or not (Group II), and Group I was further classified into 2 sub-groups: maintenance on OHAs (Group IA) or resumption of insulin (Group IB).

Results: Of 275 patients with insulin initiation, 63% switched to OHAs (Group I) and 37% continued insulin (Group II). Of these, 44% were in Group IA and 19% in Group IB. The lowest tertile of baseline postprandial C-peptide-to-glucose ratio (PCGR), higher insulin dose at switching to OHAs, and higher HbA1c level at 6 months after switching to OHAs were all associated with OHA failure (Group IB; *p*=0.001, 0.046, and 0.014, respectively). The lowest tertile of PCGR was associated with ultimate use of insulin (Group IB and Group II; *p*=0.029).

Conclusion: Higher baseline level of PCGR and lower HbA1c levels at 6 months after switching to OHAs may be strong predictors for the successful maintenance of OHAs after switching from insulin therapy in Korean patients with T2D.

Key Words: Hypoglycemic agents, insulin, type 2 diabetes mellitus

INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is characterised by insulin resistance and progressive deterioration of β -cell function, ultimately resulting in the absolute deficiency of insulin secretion that is manifested by non-response to escalating oral hypoglycemic agents

Received: January 6, 2016 Revised: March 9, 2016 Accepted: March 14, 2016

Corresponding author: Dr. Byung-Wan Lee, Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 50-1 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, Korea.

Tel: 82-2-2228-1938, Fax: 82-2-393-6884, E-mail: bwanlee@yuhs.ac

*Gyuri Kim and Yong-ho Lee contributed equally to this work. •The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

© Copyright: Yonsei University College of Medicine 2016

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

(OHAs) to overcome hyperglycemia.¹⁻³ As proof-of-concept, earlier and more intensive insulin initiation in patients with newly diagnosed T2D resulted in not only a great improvement in glycemic control, but also a modified natural history of diabetes through restoration and preservation of pancreatic β -cell function.^{4,5} In this regard, some controversy might remain over whether appropriate implementation of insulin analogues, even in subjects with a moderate duration of T2D, is expected to achieve the targeted HbA1c while minimising side effects such as hypoglycaemia.6,7 To address this, many questions should be clarified in real clinical practice, such as when to initiate insulin (before or after islet failure), which patients to initiate treatment in, which kind of insulin analogue (basal, rapid-acting, biphasic, or combination of basal and rapid-acting insulin analogue) should be used, and how to administer insulin (starting dose, number of injections, divided ratio of total doses, and titration methods).8-10 Previously, we investigated the stage at which both physicians and patients agreed to start insulin therapy, and what are acceptable HbA1c levels for Korean subjects with T2D in real practice.¹¹ We have also tried to answer questions regarding the characteristics of patients who respond adequately to basal plus insulin or to insulin analogues (basal or biphasic insulin analogue) after sulfonylurea failure,^{12,13} as well as the optimal dosing ratio of twice-daily biphasic insulin analogues.^{14,15} However, little research has been performed on whether a change in therapeutic strategy from insulin therapy to OHAs could be successfully applied and, if so, which characteristics are predictive of successful maintenance on OHAs after switching from initial insulin therapy in patients with T2D, especially in the Korean population.

Therefore, in this study we aimed to investigate the clinical and metabolic parameters of T2D patients who could maintain adequate glycemic control after switching to OHAs from insulin analogues.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population and study design

In this longitudinal retrospective study, we analyzed subjects with T2D from three cohorts who had enrolled in previous studies. These patients were randomly assigned to a long-acting insulin analogue and biphasic insulin analogue, or were switched from OHAs to twice-daily of premixed insulin lispro 25 or premixed insulin aspart protamine 30.^{12,14,15} All patients were followed up in October 2014 at Severance Diabetes Center, Seoul, South Korea. Patients were excluded for the following reasons: less than 6 months of follow-up after the recruited study endpoint, secondary disorders of hyperglycemia, such as iatrogenic, inflammatory, or endocrine disorders during the observation period.

We reviewed and rechecked electronic medical records to assess whether the subjects who stopped taking insulin later



Fig. 1. Changes in regimen of subjects with type 2 diabetes. OHAs, oral hypoglycemic agents.

 ents were fol-Center, Seoul,
 Clinical and Body mass ind square of the here ed study ended study ended study ended study ended the hexoking glucoses and the lesterol, triglyce HbA1c was me cal records to g insulin later

 cules, CA, USA by an enzyma

 cate using an Coulter, Fuller

 Group IB and II

 context of amil following india ing C-peptide glucose ratio (

uation. The study subjects were first classified into two groups according to whether they switched from insulin to OHAs: the off-insulin group (Group I) and the on-insulin group (Group II) (Fig. 1). Because of the nature of real-world and retrospective study, there was no study-related guideline or consensus on the selection of patients and the choice of OHAs but fully at the discretion of the physician based on clinical judgments and the levels of HbA1c at switching to OHAs from insulin were approximately less than 7.5%. If subjects were able to discontinue insulin treatment at least once, they were classified into the off-insulin group (Group I). The off-insulin group was further divided according to resumption of insulin during the follow-up period into the off-insulin with maintained OHAs (Group IA) and off-insulin with re-initiation of insulin (Group IB). Sulfonylurea failure was defined as either glimepiride >4 mg, gliclazide >120 mg, or glibenclamide >10 mg per a day. Symptomatic hypoglycemic events were defined as blood glucose below 70 mg/dL concomitant with symptoms such as sweating or palpitation. The protocol of this study, adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki, was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB No. 4-2014-0059) of Severance Hospital and written informed consent for this study was not required by the institutional review board because the researchers only accessed the database for analysis purposes and personal information was not used.

resumed insulin or continued on OHAs after insulin discontin-

Clinical and laboratory measurements

Body mass index (BMI) was defined as weight divided by the square of the height (kg/m²). Measurement techniques included the hexokinase method for both fasting and postprandial glucoses and the enzymatic colorimetric method for total cholesterol, triglycerides, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol. HbA1c was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography using VariantTM II Turbo (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Serum glycated albumin (GA) was determined by an enzymatic method as previously described.¹⁶ The reference ranges for HbA1c was 4.0% to 6.0%, and for GA was 11.0% to 16.0%. Levels of serum C-peptide were measured in duplicate using an immunoradiometric assay method (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA, USA). Pancreatic β -cell functions in the context of ambient insulin resistance were assessed using the following indices: 1) fasting or postprandial C-peptide; 2) fasting C-peptide-to-glucose ratio or postprandial C-peptide-toglucose ratio (PCGR) [fasting or postprandial C-peptide level (ng/mL)/fasting or postprandial glucose level (mg/dL)×100]; 3) index for C-peptide (postprandial C-peptide-fasting C-peptide)/(postprandial glucose-fasting glucose); 4) homeostasis model assessment of b-cell function [fasting insulin (µIU/ mL)×20/fasting glucose (mmol/L)-3.5]; and 5) homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance [fasting insulin (µIU/ mL)×fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)/22.5]; 6) the fasting or postprandial secretory units of islets in transplantation (SUIT) index $\{[250\times fasting or postprandial C-peptide (nM)]/[fasting or postprandial blood glucose (mM)-3.43]\}.^{17-19} A standard$ ized mixed meal (Ensure, Meiji Dairies Corporation, Tokyo, Japan; total 500 kcal, 17.5 g fat, 68.5 g carbohydrate, and 17.5 gprotein) stimulation test was conducted after an 8-hour overnight fast, and postprandial glucose and C-peptide were measured at 90 minutes in 33.1% of subjects. In the rest of 66.9% ofsubjects, postprandial glucose and serum were measured 2hours after each subject's conventional diet.^{12,14,15}

Statistical analysis

All continuous variables are presented as mean±standard deviation (SD) and categorical variables are presented as proportions. Data are shown as mean±standard error (SE) in the figures.

Differences were analysed using Student's t-test for continuous variables and chi-squared test for categorical variables. Cox regression analysis was performed to assess whether mainte-

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Subjects

nance of OHAs or resumption of insulin treatment after insulin discontinuation was associated with various clinical and laboratory parameters at baseline (Model 1) and during the follow-up period (Model 2). Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to determine the independent relationship of the studied variables. The odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the factors associated with subjects who are ultimately unable to maintain the use of OHAs without insulin were calculated using multivariate logistic repression analysis. Cumulative event rates for resumption of insulin were estimated by Kaplan-Meier survival curves, and probability values were calculated with the log-rank test. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of PCGR was used to assess the optimal cut-off value for the prediction in successful maintenance of OHAs with the areas under the curve (AUC) with maximum Youden index (sensitivity+specificity-1). A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical

Group I Group II (n=101) Group IB and II (n=153) Group IA (n=122) Group IB (n=52) Age (vr) 59.1±10.8 58.4±10.9 57.9±11.1 58.2 ± 11.0 Sex, men/women (% men) 66/56 (54) 33/19 (63) 68/33 (67) 101/52 (66) 24.2±3.0 BMI (kg/m²) 25.1±3.4 24.6±2.8 24.0±3.1⁺ 61 (40) Obesity (%) 63 (52) 24 (46) 37 (37) Duration of diabetes (yr) 8.7±6.2 8.9±6.4 10.0±7.1 9.6±6.9 Family history of diabetes (%) 50 (48) 40 (46) 15 (33) 55 (36) Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL) 173±63 191±85 177±68 180±74 Postprandial glucose (mg/dL) 274±81 303±83[‡] 294±95 297±90 Fasting C-peptide (ng/mL) 2.43±1.10 2.40±2.35 2.39±1.70 2.40±1.95 Postprandial C-peptide (ng/mL) 4.83±2.05 4.49±3.31 4.68±3.56 4.61±3.46 9.7[‡] HbA1c (%) 9.1 9.3 9.5 Glycated albumin (%) 25.5±8.0 28.3±10.8 26.3±9.4 27.0±9.9 Creatinine (mg/dL) 1.03±0.84 0.98±0.41 1.08±0.70 1.05±0.62 Total cholesterol (mg/dL) 162±42 162±39 174±42 158±39 Triglycerides (mg/dL) 168±88 142±80 177±239 168±204 HDL cholesterol (mg/dL) 42±12 42±12 42±12 42±12 LDL cholesterol (mg/dL) 84.9±30.9 96.5±38.6[‡] 84.9±30.9 88.8±34.7 FCGR 1.59±1.03 1.52±1.49 1.57±1.56 1.55±1.53 PCGR 1.94±0.92 1.59±1.33 1.66±0.84 1.63±1.03§ 51[§] 41¶ Lowest tertile (%)* 24 36 Higher tertiles (%)* 76 49[§] 59¶ 64 ICI 0.024±0.034 0.025±0.059 0.006±0.095^t 0.013±0.084 ΗΟΜΑ-β 61.29±142.24 54.43±89.84 86.59±182.13 75.39±156.66 HOMA-IR 6.43±17.08 4.64±8.00 6.21±9.61 5.66 ± 9.08 Fasting SUIT index 46.2±52.5 44.9±54.0 49.1±72.9 47.6±66.7 Postprandial SUIT index 39.6±21.7 31.5±27.6 33.4±18.1 32.7±21.8

BMI, body mass index; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; FCGR, fasting C-peptide-to-glucose ratio; PCGR, postprandial C-peptide-toglucose ratio; ICI, C-peptide-genic index; HOMA-β, homeostasis model assessment of b-cell function; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; SUIT, the secretory units of islets in transplantation.

Data are expressed as mean±standard deviation.

*Chi-squared test, †*p* value <0.05, Group I vs. Group II, †*p* value <0.05, Group IA vs. Group IB, [§]*p* value <0.001, Group IA vs. Group IB, ^{II}*p* value <0.05, Group IA vs. Group IA vs. Group IB and II.

YМJ

analyses were performed using PASW Statistics version 20.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Patient enrolment and classification

Of 363 T2D participants who had enrolled in three previous studies, 305 insulin-naïve subjects (84%) were well documented and available for clinical follow-up up to October 2014. After exclusion of subjects with steroid use (n=15), and less than

6 months of follow-up period after study enrolment (n=15), a total of 275 patients with mean follow-up duration of 33.1 months were analysed in this study (Fig. 1).

Among 275 participants, 174 (63%) subjects representing Group I were able to discontinue insulin treatment at least once with a switch to OHAs at a rate of 23% per year. Of these, 122 (44%) subjects in Group IA were treated with insulin therapy for 14.9 months before switching to OHAs and successfully continued with OHAs. Fifty-two (19%) patients in Group IB were treated with insulin therapy for 19.0 months and then with OHAs for 9.2 months before resuming insulin therapy. Group



Fig. 2. HbA1c changes during the study periods. (A) HbA1c changes during the study periods according to groups. (B) HbA1c changes during the study periods between PCGR tertiles at baseline. (C) HbA1c changes during the study periods between PCGR tertiles among Group IA and IB. **p* value <0.005, Group IA vs. Group IA vs. Group IA vs. Group II, **p* value <0.005, PCGR in lowest tertile vs. PCGR in higher tertiles. PCGR, post-prandial C-peptide-to-glucose ratio.

II contained 101 (37%) subjects who continued insulin therapy without modifying the treatment modality.

Clinical and laboratory characteristics of patients at baseline

The demographic and laboratory data of all of the subjects at baseline are shown in Table 1. For all participants, the mean age of patients was 58.5±10.9 years, and the mean duration of diabetes was 9.2 years. The average BMI was 24.5±3.3 kg/m², and 45% of patients were defined as obese by the obesity criteria of the Asian and Pacific region (BMI $\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$).^{20,21} BMI was significantly lower in Group II than in Group I. Group II showed significantly lower BMI than Group I. Of Group I, age, gender, BMI, duration of diabetes, and family history of diabetes were similar between Group IA and Group IB. Regarding glucometabolic parameters, HbA1c and postprandial plasma glucose concentration were higher in the subjects who resumed insulin treatment (Group IB) than in those who maintained OHAs (Group IA). Levels of PCGR were arbitrarily divided into two subgroups as the lowest tertile and higher tertiles (including the middle and highest tertile). Group IB had a statistically higher proportion of patients in the lowest tertile of PCGR than Group IA. Compared to subjects who could be maintained on OHAs (Group IA), subjects who were ultimately treated with insulin therapy (Group IB and Group II) showed a lower BMI (Group IA vs. Group IB+II, 25.1±3.4 kg/m² vs. 24.2±3.0 kg/m², p value 0.033), lower proportion of subjects with higher tertiles



Fig. 3. Changes in HbA1c after switching to oral hypoglycemic agents (Group IA vs. Group IB). **p* value <0.005, Group IA vs. Group IB, [†]HbA1c level at the same point of resumption with insulin in Group IB (at 9.2 months after switching to OHAs in Group IB and at 6–12 months after switching to OHAs in Group IA, respectively). OHA, oral hypoglycemic agent.

of PCGR (76% vs. 59%, p value 0.006), and a lower postprandial SUIT index (39.6±21.7 vs. 32.7±21.8, p value 0.015). There was no statistical difference in the drug regimen before insulin initiation and the incidence of hypoglycemic events between groups. Supplementary Table 1 (only online) presentsd the use of insulin and OHAs at baseline and during the follow-up period.

Changes in glycemic parameters during the follow-up period

Changes in HbA1c during the study period are shown in Fig. 2. All of the groups showed markedly decreased levels of HbA1c by an average of 1.8% (*p* value <0.001) at a mean insulin dose of 33.9±16.4 U within the first 3 months after insulin initiation (Fig. 2A). During the study period, subjects in Group IA had adequate glycemic control with significantly lower levels of HbA1c than subjects in Group IB or Group II. However, there was no significant difference in HbA1c between Group IB and Group II during the period. Fig. 2B shows HbA1c changes according to the baseline PCGR. Compared to subjects with higher tertiles of PCGR levels, including the middle and highest tertile, those with the lowest tertile of PCGR level showed higher levels of HbA1c from the baseline during the study period. Fig. 2C shows the similar pattern of HbA1c changes among Group IA and IB to those with all subjects in Fig. 2B.

To evaluate glycemic parameters between Group IA and Group IB, the change in HbA1c from the switching point to OHAs until resumption of insulin therapy in Group IB (at 9.2 months after switching to OHAs) and the corresponding mean values in Group IA (at 6–12 months after switching to OHAs) are shown in Fig. 3. Group IA and Group IB showed similar levels of HbA1c at the switching point to OHAs from insulin as 7.2±1.3% and 7.4±1.3%, respectively. HbA1c increased by 0.6± 0.04% after the first 3 months of OHAs treatment in Group IB (*p* value 0.046), whereas Group IA maintained glycemic control at a mean HbA1c level of 7.3±0.1% and without a significant difference during the period. Compared to Group IA, the level of HbA1c in Group IB significantly increased from $8.0\pm0.3\%$ at 6 months after switching to OHAs to $9.0\pm0.3\%$ at the time of resumption of insulin (*p* value <0.005).

Predictive parameters for resumption of insulin after initial insulin discontinuation and failure of treatment with OHAs

Cox regression analysis was performed to investigate the predictive factors for resumption of insulin therapy for glucose control in subjects who switched to OHAs from initial insulin therapy (Group IA vs. Group IB) (Table 2). We included clinically important conventional variables and established parameters that were significantly different between Group IA and Group IB, based on the results of Table 1. Compared to subjects with higher tertiles of PCGR at baseline, subjects with the lowest tertile of PCGR at baseline showed a 3.4-fold increased risk of OHA failure and switch to insulin therapy (95%

Variables	Model 1		Model 2	
	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value	HR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
Age (yr)	1.00 (0.96–1.03)	0.757	1.01 (0.96–1.06)	0.776
Sex (women=0, men=1)	2.39 (1.20-4.77)	0.013	1.83 (0.58–5.79)	0.303
BMI (kg/m ²)	0.98 (0.88-1.08)	0.662	1.00 (0.83-1.20)	0.984
Duration of diabetes (yr)	1.02 (0.96-1.08)	0.586	1.02 (0.92-1.13)	0.759
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL)	1.00 (0.99–1.00)	0.488	0.99 (0.98–1.00)	0.057
HbA1c (%)	1.02 (0.81-1.29)	0.846	1.02 (0.71-1.47)	0.927
PCGR				
Higher tertiles	Referent	Referent		
Lowest tertile	3.38 (1.56–7.31)	0.002	8.34 (2.34–29.74)	0.001
Insulin dose at the time switching to OHAs (U)	-	-	1.04 (1.01-1.07)	0.033
HbA1c at 6 months after switching to OHAs (%)	-	-	1.68 (1.01-1.10)	0.015

fable 2. Cox Regression Analysis of Resumption w	h Insulin after Insulin Discontinuation and OH/	A Therapy (Group IA, n=122; Group IB, n=52)
--	---	---

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; OHA, oral hypoglycemic agent; PCGR, postprandial C-peptide-to-glucose ratio.





CI 1.56–7.31) in Model 1. In the regression model including additional variables during follow-up period (Model 2), HbA1c levels at 6 months after switching to OHAs [hazard ratio (HR) 1.68, 95% CI 1.01–1.10], insulin dose at switching to OHAs (HR 1.04, 95% CI 1.01–1.07), and the lowest tertile of baseline PCGR (HR 8.34, 95% CI 2.34–29.74) were significant predictors of an increased likelihood of resumption of insulin therapy. Furthermore, multivariate linear regression analysis showed that HbA1c level at 6 months after switching to OHAs was significantly increased in patients with a lower PCGR level at baseline (standardized β =-0.170, *p* value 0.038) (Supplementary Table 2, only online).

As shown in Fig. 4, we observed a higher cumulative incidence of OHA failure and resumption of insulin in subjects with the lowest tertile of baseline PCGR than those with higher tertiles of baseline PCGR (p value <0.001 by log-rank test).

Predictive parameters for subjects who were ultimately treated with insulin therapy

Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to investigate significant factors predicting the likelihood of ultimate inability to maintain adequate glycemic control without using insulin in subjects who are clinically insulin-dependent for glucose control (Group IB and Group II). We found that the lowest tertile of PCGR at baseline (OR 2.22, 95% CI 1.09–4.52) was independently associated with ultimate treatment with insulin therapy in subjects in Group IB and II (p value 0.024) (Table 3). In the ROC analysis, 1.490 of PCGR at baseline was the optimal cut-off value for predicting successful switching to OHAs with sensitivity of 64.5% and specificity of 66.7% (AUC 0.654, p value 0.003) (Supplementary Fig. 1, only online).

DISCUSSION

Considering the advantages of appropriate use of insulin analogues to modify the natural history of diabetes through restoration and preservation of pancreatic β -cell function,^{4,5} we hypothesised that T2D patients who have reserved β -cell function might be more likely to be stably maintained with OHAs after switching from initial insulin therapy. To address these questions, we gathered data from three previous cohort studies of insulin treatment and focused on investigating the clinical and metabolic parameters of T2D patients who could maintain adequate glycemic control after switching to OHAs from initial insulin therapy. From this longitudinal study, we found that 63% of enrolled participants (Group I) were able to stop insulin therapy and switch to OHAs at a rate of 23% per year. Among these patients, 44% (Group IA) could maintain treatment with OHAs and 19% (Group IB) required resumption of insulin af-

Table 3. Multivariate Logistic Regression Models for the Variables Independently Associated with Subjects Who Ultimately Treated with Insulin: 0=Group IA (n=122), 1=Group IB and II (n=153)

Variables	Odds ratio (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
Age (yr)	0.24 (0.96-1.01)	0.235
Sex (women=0, men=1)	1.54 (0.85–2.78)	0.154
BMI (kg/m ²)	0.93 (0.84-1.02)	0.106
Duration of diabetes (yr)*	1.77 (0.71-4.41)	0.217
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	1.00 (0.99–1.00)	0.517
HbA1c (%)	1.17 (0.92–1.49)	0.211
PCGR		
Higher tertiles	Referent	
Lowest tertile	2.22 (1.09-4.52)	0.029

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; PCGR, postprandial C-peptideto-glucose ratio.

*Duration of diabetes was log-transformed.

ter OHA failure. However, 37% of the enrolled subjects (Group II) were unable to discontinue insulin at any time during the study observation.

Investigation of the predictive factors for requiring insulin therapy to maintain optimal glucose control yielded two main findings in Korean T2D patients: 1) a high HbA1c level at 6 months after switching to OHAs was the most significant independent parameter associated with inadequate glycemic control upon switching to OHAs from insulin analogues, indicating its importance in guiding therapeutic strategy for maintaining an optimal glycemic target; 2) the level of PCGR, which reflects preserved dynamic β -cell function^{22,23} before initiation of insulin analogues could be a predictive parameter of whether patients could be switched to OHAs or should be maintained with insulin analogues.

To appropriately evaluate predictive independent factors, we adopted parameters reflecting β-cell function indices and the time-to event relationship of therapeutic changes using the Cox regression model. In numerous previous studies, secretory pancreatic β-cell dysfunction has been regarded as an important factor for glycemic control, especially in Asian populations,²⁴ whereas C-peptide measurement is a well-known method for assessing β-cell function.²⁵ Recent studies suggested that the C-peptide-to-glucose ratio might be a better marker to evaluate endogenous insulin secretory ability, especially in the postprandial state, because elevated levels of plasma glucose and incretin hormone further stimulate insulin secretion.²⁶⁻²⁸ Also, postprandial insulin deficiency is considered the main determinant of deteriorating glycemic control in patients with newly detected T2D.²⁹ Previously, Saisho, et al.³⁰ reported PCGR as a predictor of subsequent insulin treatment in patients with T2D, showing a similar clinical implication of PCGR to the findings of the present study. While conventional clinical variables such as duration of diabetes and type of OHA showed no clinical implication in predicting the need for insulin continuation to maintain optimal glucose control at the time of in-

sulin initiation, a lower baseline PCGR was found to be a strong independent variable for failure of OHAs and ultimate requirement for insulin therapy after switching to OHAs (Table 2 and 3, Fig. 4). Regarding changes in HbA1c according to therapeutic strategies, HbA1c levels at 3 to 6 months after changing to OHA were significantly different between Group IA subjects who continued OHAs and Group IB subjects who failed on OHAs and resumed insulin therapy (Fig. 3). Furthermore, in contrast to Group IA, inadequate glycemic control was observed in both Group IB and II, who ultimately required insulin therapy, with no significant differences in HbA1c level between these two groups (Fig. 2A). However, Cox regression analysis showed that HbA1c at 6 months after switching from insulin to OHA was the only significant predictor for resumption of insulin after OHA failure among the follow-up parameters tested. In multivariate linear regression analysis, we additionally revealed a negative correlation between baseline PCGR and HbA1c at 6 months after switching from insulin therapy to OHAs (Table 3). With respect to prediction of the best therapeutic strategy for T2D patients after insulin initiation, these data indicate that glycemic status after switching from insulin therapy to OHAs is affected by the baseline secretory β -cell function.

It is known that intensive insulin therapy in patients with newly diagnosed T2D with severe hyperglycemia could induce favourable long-term glycemic control with recovery or a decreased rate of deterioration of β-cell function.^{4,5,31} Although the Korean T2D patients enrolled in this study were not newly diagnosed but had an average disease duration of 9.2 years, subjects in Group IA showed a statistically significant improvement in the level of PCGR (increased by 0.723± 1.717, p value 0.001) and lipid profiles (data not shown), together with a significant decrease in HbA1c, with insulin therapy. This finding might imply that the implementation of insulin would result not only in the possibility of adequate glycemic maintenance with a switch to OHAs, but also restoration and preservation of pancreatic β -cell function even in subjects with a moderate duration of diabetes who have relatively preserved insulin secretory function assessed by PCGR. Therefore, PCGR at baseline might be the strongest candidate for predicting sustained glycemic control with OHAs among subjects who change from insulin therapy. Moreover, PCGR after glycemic control with insulin therapy could have potentials to expect the glucose control after the discontinuation of insulin therapy. The possible explanation for this finding might be the restoration of the lost secretory function of endogenous insulin after exogenous insulin therapy. Therefore, further research will be warranted for investigating the ways to restore the lost insulin function. As the duration of diabetes increases, insulin secretion decreases, especially postprandial secretion.²⁹ Considering the natural course of T2D, early initiation of insulin administration in subjects with escalating hyperglycemia could be a preferable option to restore and maintain pancreatic β -cell

YМJ

function and provide better glycemic control.

The lowest tertile of the postprandial SUIT index in this study presented a significantly higher HR for predicting resumption with insulin after insulin discontinuation and OHA therapy (HR 2.80, 95% CI 1.29-6.04, p value 0.009) (Supplementary Table 3, only online), but that of the fasting SUIT index did not (HR 1.05, 95% CI 0.48-2.29, p value 0.898). In contrast to Yamada, et al.'s¹⁷ report of significant correlation between fasting SUIT index and stimulated serum C-peptide (p value <0.001) and our present result of significant correlation between fasting SUIT index and PCGR level (standardized β =0.409, p value <0.0001), the postprandial SUIT index was a stronger predictor of an increased likelihood of resumption of insulin therapy relative to fasting measures in the present study. Since we could not assess the AUC_{120 min} SUIT index, further in-depth analysis of the augmentation of C-peptide in response to the increase of glucose during the oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), which has previously been shown to indicate β -cell function well¹⁹ is also warranted.

There are some limitations in this study that should be addressed by further trials. First, despite the existence of baseline data from the longitudinal study by recruiting three insulin cohort studies, the retrospective design of this study has inherent limitations. However, we focused on the experience in the setting of real-world practice. Second, data included in this study did not cover the patients' satisfaction with the drugs and any drug-related side effects such as weight gain. Third, during the study period, the decision of when to switch to OHAs and resume insulin therapy was made at the discretion of the patients' physicians. However, the levels of HbA1c at switching to OHAs from initial insulin therapy in Group IA and Group IB turned out similarly to be under 7.5%.

In conclusion, a higher level of PCGR at the time of insulin initiation and lower HbA1c level at 6 months after switching to OHAs are clinical parameters that should be taken into consideration as important predictors for the successful maintenance of OHAs after switching from insulin therapy in Korean patients with T2D.

REFERENCES

- 1. DeFronzo RA. Lilly lecture 1987. The triumvirate: beta-cell, muscle, liver. A collusion responsible for NIDDM. Diabetes 1988;37:667-87.
- 2. Kahn SE. The relative contributions of insulin resistance and beta-cell dysfunction to the pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia 2003;46:3-19.
- 3. Kim YJ, Jeon JY, Han SJ, Kim HJ, Lee KW, Kim DJ. Effect of socioeconomic status on the prevalence of diabetes. Yonsei Med J 2015; 56:641-7.
- 4. Weng J, Li Y, Xu W, Shi L, Zhang Q, Zhu D, et al. Effect of intensive insulin therapy on beta-cell function and glycaemic control in patients with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes: a multicentre randomised parallel-group trial. Lancet 2008;371:1753-60.
- 5. Kramer CK, Zinman B, Retnakaran R. Short-term intensive insulin therapy in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and

1402

meta-analysis. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2013;1:28-34.

- 6. Tibaldi J, Rakel RE. Why, when and how to initiate insulin therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes. Int J Clin Pract 2007;61:633-44.
- 7. Yoo HJ, Park KY, Park KS, Ahn KJ, Min KW, Park JH, et al. Safety and efficacy of modern insulin analogues. Diabetes Metab J 2013;37: 181-9.
- Riddle MC, Rosenstock J, Gerich J; Insulin Glargine 4002 Study Investigators. The treat-to-target trial: randomized addition of glargine or human NPH insulin to oral therapy of type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes Care 2003;26:3080-6.
- 9. Holman RR, Farmer AJ, Davies MJ, Levy JC, Darbyshire JL, Keenan JF, et al. Three-year efficacy of complex insulin regimens in type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1736-47.
- Janka HU, Plewe G, Riddle MC, Kliebe-Frisch C, Schweitzer MA, Yki-Järvinen H. Comparison of basal insulin added to oral agents versus twice-daily premixed insulin as initial insulin therapy for type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2005;28:254-9.
- Hwang YC, Kang JG, Ahn KJ, Cha BS, Ihm SH, Lee S, et al. The glycemic efficacies of insulin analogue regimens according to baseline glycemic status in Korean patients with type 2 diabetes: subanalysis from the A(1)chieve(®) study. Int J Clin Pract 2014;68: 1338-44.
- Lee YH, Lee BW, Chun SW, Cha BS, Lee HC. Predictive characteristics of patients achieving glycaemic control with insulin after sulfonylurea failure. Int J Clin Pract 2011;65:1076-84.
- 13. Choe EY, Lee YH, Lee BW, Kang ES, Cha BS, Lee HC. Glycemic effects of once-a-day rapid-acting insulin analogue addition on a basal insulin analogue in Korean subjects with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Metab J 2012;36:230-6.
- 14. Lee YH, Lee BW, Kwon HJ, Kang ES, Cha BS, Lee HC. Higher morning to evening ratio in total dose of twice-daily biphasic insulin analog might be effective in achieving glucose control in patients with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Technol Ther 2012; 14:508-14.
- 15. Jung CH, Park JY, Cho JH, Yoon KH, Yang HK, Lee YH, et al. The optimal morning: evening ratio in total dose of twice-daily biphasic insulin analogue in poorly controlled Type 2 diabetes: a 24week multi-centre prospective, randomized controlled, open-labelled clinical study. Diabet Med 2014;31:68-75.
- 16. Kim W, Kim KJ, Lee BW, Kang ES, Cha BS, Lee HC. The glycated albumin to glycated hemoglobin ratio might not be associated with carotid atherosclerosis in patients with type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Metab J 2014;38:456-63.
- 17. Yamada Y, Fukuda K, Fujimoto S, Hosokawa M, Tsukiyama K, Nagashima K, et al. SUIT, secretory units of islets in transplantation: An index for therapeutic management of islet transplanted patients and its application to type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2006;74:222-6.
- 18. Lee EY, Hwang S, Lee SH, Lee YH, Choi AR, Lee Y, et al. Postprandial C-peptide to glucose ratio as a predictor of β -cell function and its usefulness for staged management of type 2 diabetes. J Diabetes Investig 2014;5:517-24.
- 19. Ito R, Fukui T, Hayashi T, Osamura A, Ohara M, Hara N, et al. Teneligliptin, a dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitor, improves earlyphase insulin secretion in drug-naïve patients with type 2 diabetes. Drugs R D 2015;15:245-51.
- Park SY, Cho YJ, Lee SR, Chung H, Jeong K. Triglyceride is a useful surrogate marker for insulin resistance in Korean women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Yonsei Med J 2015;56:785-92.
- 21. World Health Organization, Regional Office for the Western Pacific. The Asia Pacific perspective: redefining obesity and its treatment. Sydney: Health Communications Australia; 2000.
- 22. Steil GM, Hwu CM, Janowski R, Hariri F, Jinagouda S, Darwin C,

et al. Evaluation of insulin sensitivity and beta-cell function indexes obtained from minimal model analysis of a meal tolerance test. Diabetes 2004;53:1201-7.

- 23. Mari A, Camastra S, Toschi E, Giancaterini A, Gastaldelli A, Mingrone G, et al. A model for glucose control of insulin secretion during 24 h of free living. Diabetes 2001;50 Suppl 1:S164-8.
- 24. Kim DJ, Lee MS, Kim KW, Lee MK. Insulin secretory dysfunction and insulin resistance in the pathogenesis of Korean type 2 diabetes mellitus. Metabolism 2001;50:590-3.
- 25. Törn C. C-peptide and autoimmune markers in diabetes. Clin Lab 2003;49:1-10.
- 26. Meier JJ, Menge BA, Breuer TG, Müller CA, Tannapfel A, Uhl W, et al. Functional assessment of pancreatic beta-cell area in humans. Diabetes 2009;58:1595-603.
- 27. Drucker DJ, Nauck MA. The incretin system: glucagon-like pep-

tide-1 receptor agonists and dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors in type 2 diabetes. Lancet 2006;368:1696-705.

- 28. Fleetcroft R, Schofield P, Ashworth M. Variations in statin prescribing for primary cardiovascular disease prevention: cross-sectional analysis. BMC Health Serv Res 2014;14:414.
- 29. Shim WS, Kim SK, Kim HJ, Kang ES, Ahn CW, Lim SK, et al. Decrement of postprandial insulin secretion determines the progressive nature of type-2 diabetes. Eur J Endocrinol 2006;155:615-22.
- 30. Saisho Y, Kou K, Tanaka K, Abe T, Kurosawa H, Shimada A, et al. Postprandial serum C-peptide to plasma glucose ratio as a predictor of subsequent insulin treatment in patients with type 2 diabetes. Endocr J 2011;58:315-22.
- 31. Glaser B, Cerasi E. Early intensive insulin treatment for induction of long-term glycaemic control in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Obes Metab 1999;1:67-74.