

가

(National Instruments)

2004 4 5
2

(photo plethysmography: PPG)

5

5

30

10

(extinction coefficient)

Beer-Lambert

2 PPG (photoplethysmog-

raphy)

13

44

31

43.6 ± 12.2

11.4 ± 11.3

가

20

44

440

71

가 349

가

$44 \times 5 / = 220$

PPG

LED

PPG

2

16

LED 1 ms

500 us

202

NELLCOR (DS-100, Durasensor)

PPG

64

138

(Fig. 1).

660 940nm (DAQ-board; PCI-6020E, National Instrument)

12bit

PC


1

2

3

4

5



가

44 440

20

420

Fig. 1. Probe of PPG.

Table. 1, Fig. 2 . 71 , p=0.005 , 가
 349 . p=0.01 , 가
 SPSS 8.0(SPSS Inc.) 2-way ANOVA .
 p=0.000, p=0.026 가 가 가
 Table. 2
 Fig. 3 44 가 440
 20 420
 SPSS 8.0(SPSS Inc.) 2-way ANOVA 202
 64 138 ,
 p=0.000,

Table 1. Blood flow. n=420

	Blood flow of each finger					Sum
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	
Normal fingers (n=349)	1.7 ± 0.6 (n=77)	2.3 ± 0.8 (n=65)	2.5 ± 1.0 (n=65)	2.6 ± 0.9 (n=69)	2.0 ± 0.8 (n=73)	2.2 ± 0.9 (n=349)
Replanted fingers (n=71)	0.9 ± 0.6 (n=10)	1.4 ± 0.9 (n=15)	0.9 ± 0.5 (n=16)	1.1 ± 0.8 (n=17)	1.4 ± 1.0 (n=13)	1.1 ± 0.8 (n=71)




Fig. 2. Average blood flow of normal and replanted fingers.




Fig. 3. Distribution of blood flow of each finger [%].

Table 2. Blood flow (%) n=420

	Blood flow of each finger					Sum
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	
Normal fingers (n=349)	18.9 ± 6.9 (n=77)	23.3 ± 5.3 (n=65)	23.8 ± 4.5 (n=65)	24.8 ± 5.4 (n=69)	20.5 ± 5.8 (n=73)	22.1 ± 6.1 (n=349)
Replanted fingers (n=71)	11.4 ± 7.2 (n=10)	15.8 ± 8.2 (n=15)	11.9 ± 5.7 (n=16)	14.0 ± 7.3 (n=17)	15.0 ± 4.4 (n=13)	13.7 ± 6.7 (n=71)

Table. 3

가

가 가

가
44

Table. 4

44

5

가

가

가

가

가

1

43

가

50%

(impedance plethysmography)

Raynaud's phenomenon, Buerger's

disease,

가

가 가

(non-invasive) 4

16-23

가

가 가

(PPG: photo-plethysmography)

가

Doppler

가

15

(angiogram)

Table 3. Comparison of blood flow ratio of normal and replanted fingers (n=202)

	Blood flow ratio of normal finger (n=138)	Blood flow ratio of replanted finger (n=64)
Average	1.04	0.53
SD	0.16	0.26
Maximum	1.50	1.00
Minimum	0.42	0.09
p=0.000		

Table 4. Individual comparison of blood flow ratio of normal and replanted fingers (n=43)

	Average of blood flow ratio of normal fingers (n=43)	Average of blood flow ratio of replanted fingers (n=43)	Average of blood flow ratio of replanted fingers
			Average of blood flow ratio of normal fingers
Average	1.04	0.51	0.50
SD	0.13	0.24	0.25
Maximum	1.32	0.93	1.06
Minimum	0.59	0.12	0.12

가
 24.
 가
 가
 가
 3, 2, 5, 1
 1
 4, 1, 3
 2, 5
 4, 3, 1
 1
 2, 5
 가
 가
 가
 53% 가
 가

- 1) Jong IH, Soo SK, Se MB. Clinical study of Buerger's disease, based on angiogram. *J Korean Soc Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1987;14:211-8.
- 2) Cherniack M, Brammer A, Meyer J, Morse T, Peterson D, Fu R. Skin temperature recovery form cold provocation in workers exposed to vibration: a longitudinal study. *Occup Environ Med.* 2003;60:962-8.
- 3) Myung RO, Nae HL, Kyung MY. Clinical Analysis of Chronic Ischemic Foot Ulcer using Ischemic Index with Doppler Flowmeter and Wagner Classification. *J Korean Soc Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1999;26:635-40.
- 4) Koman LA, Nunley JA, Goldner JL, Seaber AV, Urbaniak JR. Isolated cold stress testing in the assessment of symptoms in the upper extremity: Preliminary communication. *J Hand Surg.* 1984;9A:305.
- 5) Lutolf O, Chen D, Zehnder T, Mahler F. Influence of local cooling on laser Doppler flux an nailfold capillary blood flow velocity in normal subjects an patients with Raynaud's phenomenon. *Microvasc Res.* 1993;46:374-82.
- 6) Seiflian AM, Stansby G, Jackson A, Howell K, Hamilton G. Comparison of lase Doppler perfusing imaging, laser Doppler flowmetry, and termographic imaging for assessment of flow in human skin. *Eur J Vasc Surg.* 1994;8:65-9.
- 7) Clark S, Campbell F, Moore T, Jayson MI, King TA, Herrik AI. Laser doppler imaging-a new technique for quantifying microcirculatory flow in patients with primary Raynaud's phenomenon and systemic sclerosis. *Microvasc Res* 1999;576:284-91.
- 8) Cheah LH, Parkin A, Gilson P, Elliot S, Hall AJ. Limb volume measurements in peripheralarterial disease. *Clin Phys Physiol Meas.* 1989;10:75-9.
- 9) Porter JM, Swain ID, Shakespeare PG. Measurement of limb blood flow by electrical impedenceplethysmography. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl.* 1985;67:169-72.
- 10) Hughson RL. Failure of impedance plethysmography to follow exercise-induced changes inlimb blood flow. *Clin Sci* 1988;75:41-6.
- 11) Boccalon H, Elefterion A, Bensoussan LC. Measurement of arterial flow in the limbs:plethysmography, isotopes, electromagnetic methods. *J Mal Vasc.* 1990;15:67-72
- 12) Webster JG. *Medical Instrumentation: application and design* 2nd, 1992.
- 13) Perimed AB. *PeriFlux System 5000: Extended user manual*, 2002, Sweden.
- 14) Geddes LA, Baker LE. *Principle of Applied Biomedical*

-
- Instrumentation, 3rd ed., 1989
- 15) Hyun PK, Seung KH, Woo KK. Long-Term Fate of the Anastomosed Digital Artery after Successful Replantation. *J Korean Soc Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2003;30:120-126.
 - 16) McGrann S, Irwin ST, Allen JA. A plethysmograph for the measurement of digital blood flow. *J Med Eng Technol.* 1986;10:329-31.
 - 17) Huisman MV, Buller HR, Cate JW, Heijermans HS, van der Laan J, van Maanen. Management of clinically suspected acute venous thrombosis in outpatients with serial impedance phlethysmography in community hospital setting. *Arch Intern Med* 1989;149: 511.
 - 18) Tsunoda S, Shindo K, Shiozawa Z, Mano T. Calf impedance plethysmographic evaluation of peripheral sympathetic nerve activity comparison with microneurographic analysis. *Rhisho Shinkeigaku* 1990;30:621.
 - 19) Golden JC, Miles DS. Assessment of peripheral hemodynamics using impedance plethysmography. *Phys Ther,* 1986;66:1544.
 - 20) DJ. Strachan FE, Newby DE, Sciberras DG, McCrea JB, Goldberg MR, Webb DJ. Repeatability of local forearm vasoconstriction to endothelin-1 measured by venous occlusion plethysmography. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2002;54:386-394.
 - 21) Petrie JR, Ueda S, Morris AD, Murray LS, Elliott HL, Connell JM. How reproducible is bilateral forearm plethysmography? *Br J Clin Pharmacol.* 1998;45:131-9.
 - 22) Kamper AM, de Craen AJ, Blauw GJ. Forearm blood flow measurements using computerized R-wave triggered strain-gauge venous occlusion plethysmography: unilateral vs. bilateral measurements. *Clin Physiol.* 2001;21:524-7.
 - 23) Ferro CJ, Strachan FE, Shepherd E, Haynes WG, Webb DJ. Big endothelin-3 constricts forearm resistance vessels but not hand veins in humans. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2000; 68:67-74.
 - 24) Harn M, Hahn C, Junger M, Steins A, Zuder D, Klyszcz T, Buchter A, Rassner G, Blazek V. Local cold exposure test with a new arterial photoplethysmographic sensor in healthy controls and patient with secondary Raynaud's phenomenon. *Microvasc Res.* 1999;57:187-98.