Introduction

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is the most common clinicopathologic form of chronic liver disease. NAFLD is characterized by significant lipid accumulation in hepatocytes and persistent elevation in liver enzymes without excessive alcohol intake, or other common causes of liver disease. The increasing prevalence of NAFLD is a serious and growing worldwide public health problem because of its potential to progress to end-stage liver disease, such as advanced cirrhosis, hepatic failure, and hepatocellular carcinoma.\(^1,2\) This topic has been extensively studied, and research has shown that NAFLD also predicts an increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes, mellitus, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular disease (CVD), which is a leading cause of death among both adults and children, particularly in developed countries.\(^3,4\) Thus, early identification of NAFLD is important in order to prevent further progression.

The previous literature has demonstrated that obesity is clearly linked to NAFLD, and visceral fat is more directly related to the pathogenesis of NAFLD.\(^5\) Abdominal obesity has been recognized as a better predictor for CVD and metabolic morbidities, as compared to the body mass index (BMI). Waist circumference (WC) has become a widely used measurement for quantifying abdominal fat accumulation. However, ethnicity and sex-specific cut-off thresholds for WC should be taken into account when considering the prediction of cardiometabolic diseases such as metabolic syndrome. Recently, the waist-to-height ratio (WHtR) has been suggested as an alternative anthropometric index for central adiposity. Epidemiological studies reported that WHtR appears to be more strongly associ-
ated with obesity-related diseases and metabolic risk factors than other obesity indices.\textsuperscript{6,7} However, there is little evidence regarding the accuracy of using the WHtR as a predictor for NAFLD. This study evaluated the accuracy of the WHtR as a predictor for NAFLD and attempted to identify the optimal cut-off values for predicting NAFLD in Korean women.

Methods

1. Study participants

Nine hundred sixty participants over the age of 20 underwent medical examinations at the Health Promotion Center of Gangnam Severance Hospital located in Seoul, Korea, from March 2010 to May 2011. Participants with missing covariate data (n = 56), alcohol consumption of more than 70 g/week (n = 91), and positive serologic results for either the hepatitis B antigen or the hepatitis C antibody were excluded from this study, as well as participants who had a history of chronic liver disease (n = 56). Additionally, subjects with a history of cancer, CVD, cerebrovascular, respiratory, or rheumatologic disease (n = 97) were excluded. As a result, 616 participants were included in the final analysis. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Yonsei University College of Medicine located in Seoul, Korea.

2. Data collection

All participants completed self-reported questionnaires about their lifestyle (alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, and physical activity) and medical history. Anthropometric data (body weight and height) were measured in light clothing without shoes to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm, respectively. The WC was measured at the midpoint between the lower margin of the rib cage and the iliac crest in a standing position. The BMI was calculated as the weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height in meters (kg/m\textsuperscript{2}). The WHtR was calculated by dividing the WC (cm) by the height (cm). Systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were measured in the right arm using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer (Bau- manometer, Baum, Copiague, NY, USA). All blood samples were collected from the antecubital vein after fasting for at least 12 hours. Fasting blood glucose, total cholesterol, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol, aspartate aminotransferase (AST), and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels were analyzed by enzymatic methods using an automatic chemistry analyzer (Hitachi 7600-110, Tokyo, Japan).

A diagnosis of a fatty liver was based on abdominal ultrasonography with a 3.5-MHz transducer (HDI 5000, Philips, Bothell, USA); two experienced radiologists performed the ultrasonography. They were unaware of the purposes of this study, as well as the laboratory findings. The coefficients of variation (CVs) for inter- and intraoperator reproducibility were 6.8% and 4.3%, respectively. Hepatic steatosis was determined to be present when high hepatorenal echo contrast, bright liver parenchyma, or attenuation of ultrasound in a deep area of the liver was detected. Based on the criteria described previously, hepatic steatosis was graded into different categories as follows: (a) mild degree, which is characterized by a slight diffuse increase in bright fine echoes in the liver parenchyma, normal visualization of the diaphragm border and intrahepatic vessel borders, and normal hepatorenal contrast; (b) moderate degree, which is characterized by a diffuse increase in bright fine echoes in the liver parenchyma and slightly impaired visualization of the diaphragm border and intrahepatic vessel borders; or (c) severe degree, which is characterized by a marked increase in bright fine echoes at a shallow depth with deep attenuation, impaired visualization of the diaphragm and the back part of right liver lobe, and marked vascular blurring.\textsuperscript{6,9}

3. Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were characterized by the mean and the standard error, and categorical variables were presented as an estimated proportion. The clinical characteristics of the study population that had NAFLD were analyzed using an independent two-sample t-test for the continuous variables and a chi-square test for the categorical variables. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analyses were generated to evaluate the optimal cutoff points of the WC, BMI, and WHtR for detecting participants who had NAFLD. To evaluate the optimal value of the anthropometric indices, the Youden J-index (sensitivity+specificity-1) was used. The area under the ROC curve (AUROC) was used to compare the diagnostic powers of the anthropometric indices. All analyses were conducted using the SAS statistical software (version 9.2, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and the STATA statistical software (version 13.0, STATA Corporation, TX, USA). A two-sided test was performed and statistical significance was determined at a \( P \) value of less than 0.05.
Results

The clinical characteristics of the study participants that had NAFLD are shown in Table 1. The overall prevalence of NAFLD was observed to be 17.9%. Subjects with NAFLD had higher anthropometric indices, including WC, BMI and WHtR. In addition, blood pressure, fasting plasma glucose, total cholesterol, triglyceride, AST, and ALT were higher in the NAFLD group.

Fig. 1 shows the ROC curves used to evaluate the accuracy of obesity indices, in order to identify participants with NAFLD. The AUROC of the WHtR was highest among anthropometric obesity indices as follows: 0.776 (0.731-0.822) for WC, 0.775 (0.728-0.822) for BMI, and 0.792 (0.748-0.836) for WHtR, respectively.

The optimal cut-off values of the WHtR, BMI and WC for detecting NAFLD were 76.5 cm for WC, 23.0 kg/m² for BMI, and 0.49 for WHtR. The highest sensitivity and specificity for detecting NAFLD were 72.3% and 74.7%, respectively, using a WHtR cutoff value of 0.49 (Table 2).

Discussion

Given the growing prevalence and clinical implications of NAFLD, the use of a simple and useful tool for the early identification of NAFLD is beneficial from a public health perspective. Among the three anthropometric indices studied here, the WHtR had the largest AUROC, with a cut-off value of 0.49 used for detecting NAFLD in Korean women. Our results are in agreement with the results of previous studies conducted in Japan, China and other countries. Several previous studies have shown that a WHtR close to 0.5 has a stronger relationship with cardiovascular and metabolic diseases. Hsieh et al. used ROC curve analysis in Japanese men and women to determine a WHtR cut-off value of 0.5 to indicate a clustering of CVD risk factors. Moreover, Ko et al. proposed WHtR cut-off values of 0.48-0.51 in men and 0.49-0.52 in women in order to predict hypertension, type 2 diabetes, dyslipidemia, and albuminuria in a Chinese population study. Ashwell et al. has also suggested the same WHtR of 0.5 as a boundary value of CVD risk in a representative sample of British adults aged 19-64 years.

Several mechanisms could explain the relationship between central obesity and NAFLD. Visceral adipocytes may be directly related to NAFLD due to their capability to synthesize, modulate, and se-

Table 1. Characteristics of the study participants according to the diagnosis of NAFLD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NAFLD (-)</th>
<th>NAFLD (+)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>45.0±8.0</td>
<td>48.4±7.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoker</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular exercise</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>158.9±5.0</td>
<td>157.1±5.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>57.7±7.1</td>
<td>64.0±7.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>73.9±7.1</td>
<td>81.9±8.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.8±2.6</td>
<td>25.9±3.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-to-height ratio</td>
<td>0.47±0.05</td>
<td>0.52±0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>116.6±15.3</td>
<td>125.1±14.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>72.0±9.4</td>
<td>77.8±9.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>86.6±13.9</td>
<td>90.8±9.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>177.4±30.1</td>
<td>200.3±38.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride (mg/dL)</td>
<td>93.7±46.5</td>
<td>148.9±76.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>58.1±12.1</td>
<td>51.1±9.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>102.6±27.9</td>
<td>124.0±39.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (IU/L)</td>
<td>18.4±6.1</td>
<td>21.4±6.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (IU/L)</td>
<td>15.7±9.3</td>
<td>23.8±15.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA, not applicable; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase.

*P values were calculated by an independent two-sample t-test or chi-square test.
crete cytokines and adipocytokines. Macrophages accumulate in the adipocytes of obese patients, and this process is accelerated in visceral fat. These macrophages are likely to produce pro-inflammatory cytokines and several adipocytokines, such as leptin, resistin, and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α). Disturbed adipocytokine secretion is involved in the regulation of insulin resistance and may promote hepatic steatosis in the key pathophysiology of NAFLD.

Generally, the BMI has been the most commonly used anthropometric parameter to reflect body fat mass. However, the BMI is limited because it does not take into account the how the body fat is distributed, i.e., it does not consider various occurrences such as central adiposity. Therefore, it is insufficient to determine the obesity-related disease risk using the BMI alone; other anthropometric parameters are necessary to evaluate this risk. In this regard, WC has been recommended to be a good indicator of central obesity. However, WC does not account for differences in height. The height is an important parameter that should be considered before adopting an obesity index because height may reflect different body fat distributions, in addition to overall adiposity. When sex and ethnicity specific WC is used as an obesity indicator, individuals of relatively taller stature may have an overestimated obesity-related disease risk, while short-statured individuals with the same WC may have an underestimated risk. In this regard, the WHtR and the WC adjusted for height may be alternative, practical indices useful for the screening of abdominal obesity, due to how these measures allow for individuals with different heights to have their own cut-off WCs, particularly in populations with a wide range of ages and heights. Moreover, the diagnostic strength of WHtR includes closer cut-off points between men and women than does WC, so a single cut-off value near 0.5 may be applied independently of age, sex, and ethnicity.

The present study had some limitations to be considered. First, as this study was a cross-sectional study, it had a limited ability to explain a causal relationship between anthropometric indices and the risk of NAFLD. Second, the study subjects were volunteers visiting for a promotional health screening at a single hospital. It is possible that these individuals were slightly healthier than the general public. As a result of this potential selection bias, the study findings may not be as applicable to the general population in Korea. Third, we did not perform liver biopsies for the diagnosis of fatty liver, even though liver biopsy is considered the gold standard for the diagnosis of NAFLD. We chose not to use liver biopsies as liver biopsies are an invasive procedure in the standard clinical setting, and ultrasonography is a noninvasive yet widely available method used for the qualitative assessments of hepatic fat accumulation. Thus, ultrasonography is the preferred modality for mass screening for hepatic steatosis with a reasonable accuracy (67-94%).

In summary, a WHtR cut-off point near 0.5 has the potential to be a simple and practical index to identify NAFLD in Korean women.
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