

Prosthetic graft interposition of the brachiocephalic veins or superior vena cava combined with resection of malignant tumours: graft patency and risk factors for graft occlusion

Geun Dong Lee¹, Hyeong Ryul Kim², Se Hoon Choi², Yong-Hee Kim², Dong Kwan Kim², Seung-II Park²

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, Gangnam Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea; ²Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: GD Lee; (II) Administrative support: SI Park; (III) Provision of study materials and patients: YH Kim, DK Kim, SI Park; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: GD Lee; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: SH Choi, HR Kim; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Hyeong Ryul Kim, MD, PhD. Department of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery, Seoul Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, 88, Olympic-ro 43-gil, Songpa-gu, Seoul, 138-736, Republic of Korea. Email: scena@dreamwiz.com.

Background: We aimed to assess graft patency in patients undergoing prosthetic graft interposition of the brachiocephalic veins (BCVs) or the superior vena cava (SVC) combined with resection of malignant tumours.

Methods: A retrospective analysis was conducted on 16 patients who underwent prosthetic graft interposition of the BCVs or the SVC between 1998 and 2012.

Results: Among a total of 20 grafts in 16 patients (unilateral graft interposition in 12, bilateral graft interposition in 4), 8 grafts were occluded in 8 patients. Overall graft patency rate was 64.6%, 42.4% at the 2- and 5-year follow-up. Graft patency rate of the left BCV was significantly lower than that of the right BCV or the SVC (2-year patency, 38.1% vs. 81.8%, $P=0.024$). In univariate analysis, the superior anastomosis site [left BCV vs. right BCV; hazard ratio (HR) =2.312; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.015–5.265; $P=0.046$], the inferior anastomosis site (right atrial appendage vs. SVC; HR =2.409; 95% CI, 1.124–5.161; $P=0.024$), and interruption of warfarin (HR =5.015; 95% CI, 1.106–22.734; $P=0.037$) were significant risk factors for graft occlusion. Graft occlusive symptoms were identified in 4 patients who underwent unilateral graft interposition.

Conclusions: Prosthetic graft interposition between the left BCV and the right atrial appendage resulted in a significant rate of graft occlusion. Prosthetic graft interposition of the bilateral BCVs and long-term warfarin therapy may be necessary to prevent graft occlusive symptoms.

Keywords: Superior vena cava (SVC); brachiocephalic veins (BCVs); reconstruction; graft; patency

Submitted Nov 05, 2015. Accepted for publication Dec 13, 2015.

doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2016.01.07

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2016.01.07>

Introduction

Brachiocephalic veins (BCVs) and the superior vena cava (SVC) are critical areas vulnerable to tumours arising from the anterior mediastinal compartment, such as thymomas, thymic carcinoma, thyroid neoplasm, and germ cell tumours, as well as right-sided pulmonary neoplasms. The type of resection and reconstruction of BCVs or the SVC

depends on the extent of vessel defect: partial resection and direct repair (with or without a patch) or complete resection and prosthetic replacement (1). Prosthetic graft interposition in patients undergoing SVC resection due to extensive infiltration can be safely performed; moreover, it can ensure sufficient tumour-free resection margins and adequate blood flow (2).




Figure 1 Types of prosthetic graft interposition in the present series. (A) Reconstruction of segmental SVC (n=3); (B) sole right BCV reconstruction (n=5); (C) bilateral BCV reconstruction with two separate grafts (n=4); (D) sole left BCV reconstruction (n=1); (E) reconstruction of segmental left BCV (n=1). SVC, superior vena cava; BCV, brachiocephalic vein.

However, resection and reconstruction of the SVC is still considered surgically challenging and is rarely performed, which is why no standard technique has yet been implemented (3). Moreover, prognosis depends on which surgical strategy or technique is employed, on which replacement material is chosen, and on the number of complications encountered, such as graft occlusion and/or infection.

Graft occlusion caused by graft thrombosis or local tumour recurrence may have a detrimental effect on surgical complications. However, few data are available on graft occlusion after prosthetic graft interposition. Furthermore, the correlation between graft patency and the various types of prosthetic graft interpositions remains unknown. Thus, we assessed graft patency and its correlation with the various types of graft interposition. We evaluated the risk factors for graft occlusion to establish optimal surgical strategies in patients undergoing prosthetic graft interposition of the BCVs or the SVC, combined with resection of malignant tumours.

Materials and methods

Patients

All patients undergoing surgery were prospectively registered in the database at ASAN Medical Center, which records baseline patient characteristics, results of the preoperative evaluation, detailed information on surgery, perioperative treatment, and follow-up status. This registry was screened to identify patients who underwent prosthetic reconstruction of the BCVs or the SVC combined with

resection of malignant tumours between November 1998 and February 2012. All patients who had undergone partial resection with or without patch angioplasty were excluded from this study. A total of 16 patients were enrolled in this study. The following data were collected to identify the correlation between graft patency: graft material, diameter, the presence of an external ring, proximal anastomosis site, distal anastomosis site, and anticoagulation therapy.

Surgery

Median sternotomy was the most common approach to anterior mediastinal tumours. Concomitant pulmonary resections included lobectomy in one patient and wedge resection in nine patients. One patient with lung adenocarcinoma in the right upper lobe underwent lobectomy through a right posterolateral thoracotomy, followed by SVC resection and reconstruction through a median sternotomy.

After the BCVs and/or the SVC were resected, graft interposition was performed in a variety of ways. *Figure 1* shows the various types of prosthetic graft interpositions. These included graft interposition from the distal SVC to the proximal SVC (*Figure 1A*, n=3), from the right BCV to the SVC or right atrial appendage (RAA) (*Figure 1B*, n=5), bilateral BCVs reconstruction with separate grafts (*Figure 1C*, n=4), from the left BCV to the RAA or SVC (*Figure 1D*, n=3), and graft interposition of the segmental left BCV (*Figure 1E*, n=1).

For cavo-caval anastomosis (SVC interposition), the extrapericardial portion of the SVC was prepared and the intrapericardial SVC portion was exposed. When

infiltration involved one or both BCVs, the prosthesis was placed between the BCV and the SVC (BCV-SVC, with cross clamping) or between the BCV and the RAA (BCV-RAA, without SVC cross clamping). Cardiopulmonary bypass was not performed on any patients.

The diameter of the grafts ranged from 8 to 20 mm. The median diameter of the right BCV or the SVC grafts was 14 mm (range, 10–18 mm) and that of the left BCV grafts was 10 mm (range, 8–20 mm). All patients received intravenous heparin sodium (50–100 U/Kg) 5–10 min before vascular occlusion. After intraoperative heparinization, the partial thromboplastin time (PTT) was allowed to normalise without pharmacologic reversal. Thirteen patients were discharged with warfarin therapy, and most patients received anticoagulation therapy for a minimum of 6 months. Patients were selectively transitioned to an antiplatelet agent or warfarin at the surgeon's discretion.

Follow-up

The median follow-up duration was 42 months (range, 1–107 months). Graft patency was verified by means of venography or contrast enhanced computed tomography.

Statistical analysis

Kaplan-Meier curves were used to delineate graft patency, and log-rank tests were used to compare the between-group differences in the rates. For univariable analyses, the Cox-proportional hazards model was used to determine the risk factors of graft occlusion. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS 18.0 (New York, NY, USA) and a P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics of patients and grafts

Clinicopathologic features of patients and prosthetic graft interposition were shown in *Table 1*. The median age was 50 years old (range, 17–75 years old). Fourteen patients were male. The indications for surgery were thymic carcinoma in 43.8% of patients (7/16), thymoma in 31.3% of patients (5/16), mediastinal germ cell tumours in 12.5% of patients (2/16), non-small cell lung cancer in 6.3% of patients (1/16), and lymphoma in 6.3% of patients (1/16). Preoperative chemotherapy or chemoradiation therapy

were administered to 56.3% of patients (9/16).

Operative mortality and overall survival

Operative mortality was not observed. The 5-year overall survival rate was 66.7%. The 3-year overall survival rate after surgery was 100% for lung cancer and lymphoma, 83.3% for thymic epithelial tumours (thymic carcinoma, thymoma), and 0% for germ cell tumours.

Graft patency and follow-up

Out of a total of 20 grafts in 16 patients, eight grafts in eight patients were occluded. The median duration to graft occlusion was 5 months (range, 1–41 months). Among the eight patients with graft occlusion, four patients experienced occlusive symptoms such as headache, facial swelling, and upper extremity edema. For three out of four patients with occlusive symptoms, these symptoms improved over a period of 1, 3, and 6 months following treatment using diuretics and low molecular weight heparin (*Figure 2*). One patient who received SVC graft interposition using a Hemashield graft had severe symptoms due to extraluminal compression from a recurring mediastinal lymph node originating from lung cancer; therefore, he received SVC stent insertion. After the insertion, his symptoms improved immediately.

Five-year patency for all 20 grafts was 42.4% (*Figure 3A*). Two-year patency for the right BCV or the SVC grafts was 81.8%, whereas for the left BCV grafts it was 38.1%. Patency of the left BCV graft was significantly lower than that of the right BCV or the SVC graft ($P=0.024$, *Figure 3B*).

Risk factors for graft occlusion

According to univariate analysis using the Cox-proportional hazards model, the superior anastomosis site (left BCV *vs.* right BCV or SVC; HR =2.312; 95% CI, 1.015–5.265; $P=0.046$), the inferior anastomosis site (right atrial appendage *vs.* remnant SVC; HR =2.409; 95% CI, 1.124–5.161; $P=0.024$), and interruption of warfarin (HR =5.015; 95% CI, 1.106–22.734; $P=0.037$) were all significant risk factors for graft occlusion, whereas graft diameter ($P=0.076$) or the presence of an external stent ($P=0.470$) were not significant factors.

Discussion

SVC reconstruction is not considered to be a contraindication

Table 1 Clinicopathologic features of patients and prosthetic graft interposition of BCVs

Patient	Age/sex	Diagnosis	Reconstructed vessels	Superior site	Inferior site	Graft material	External ring	Diameter (mm)	Graft patency	Follow-up months	Occlusive symptom	Symptom duration (months)	Treatment for occlusion
1	38/M	Thymic carcinoma	R	Rt. BCV	SVC	PTFE	-	18	Patent	77	-	-	-
2	50/M	Thymoma	L	Lt. BCV	RAA	PTFE	-	10	Occluded	28	-	-	-
3	43/M	Thymic carcinoma	R	Rt. BCV	SVC	PTFE	-	18	Patent	40	-	-	-
4	41/M	Thymoma	L	Lt. BCV	SVC	PTFE	-	14	Occluded	4	+	6	Conservative
5	17/M	Lymphoma	R	Rt. BCV	SVC	PTFE	-	16	Patent	100	-	-	-
6	49/M	Thymic carcinoma	L	Lt. BCV	RAA	PTFE	+	16	Patent	9	-	-	-
7	68/M	Thymoma	L	Lt. BCV	SVC	PTFE	+	20	Occluded	15	-	-	-
8	26/M	Germ cell tumor	R	Rt. BCV	SVC	PTFE	+	14	Patent	20	+	1	Conservative
9	28/M	Germ cell tumor	R	Rt. BCV	SVC	PTFE	+	14	Patent	12	-	-	-
10	59/M	Lung cancer	L	Lt. BCV	RAA	PTFE	+	10	Occluded	1	-	-	-
11	75/F	Thymoma	R	SVC	SVC	Hemashield	-	14	Occluded	41	+	1	SVC stent
12	48/M	Thymic carcinoma	R	SVC	SVC	PTFE	+	16	Patent	15	-	-	-
13	52/M	Thymic carcinoma	R	Rt. BCV	SVC	PTFE	+	10	Occluded	3	+	3	Conservative
14	60/F	Thymoma	L	Lt. BCV	SVC	PTFE	+	10	Patent	29	-	-	-
15	58/M	Thymic carcinoma	R	Rt. BCV	RAA	PTFE	+	10	Patent	1	-	-	-
16	57/M	Thymic carcinoma	L	Lt. BCV	SVC	PTFE	+	10	Occluded	6	-	-	-
			R	Rt. BCV	SVC	PTFE	+	10	Patent	30	-	-	-
			L	Lt. BCV	SVC	PTFE	+	10	Patent	13	-	-	-
			L	Lt. BCV	RAA	PTFE	+	8	Occluded	1	-	-	-

BCV, brachiocephalic vein; R, right brachiocephalic vein; L, left brachiocephalic vein; SVC, superior vena cava; RAA, right atrial appendage; PTFE, polytetrafluoroethylene.




Figure 2 Representative computed tomographic images with contrast enhancement in a patient suffering from acute occlusive symptoms for 6 months due to graft occlusion, which occurred 4 months after initial surgery. (A) The image shows an occlusion of the left BCV graft and swelling of the anterior chest wall at the time of symptoms; (B) an image obtained 6 months later, showing newly developed collateral vessels and a mild improvement in the swelling of the chest wall, but also showing a large amount of right pleural effusion still remaining; (C) an image obtained 12 months later, showing more collateral vessels and a definite improvement in chest wall swelling.




Figure 3 Graft patency of 20 grafts in 16 patients. (A) Overall graft patency; (B) graft patency stratified by interposed vessels.

for the resection of a bronchopulmonary or mediastinal neoplasm in an otherwise potentially curable patient (4,5). Appropriate indications and surgical techniques for graft interposition of the SVC or the BCVs are documented to have clear benefits in a select group of patients. Complete prosthetic replacement has not been shown to increase overall postoperative morbidity in patients undergoing SVC resection and it can be performed when other reconstruction techniques preclude sufficient tumour-free resection margins or compromise adequate blood flow (2,5).

Ringed polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) grafts have been used for the reconstruction of mediastinal veins with considerable success (6). Various strategies for graft

interposition of BCVs or the SVC have been previously investigated (2,4,6-9); however, an optimal strategy remains unclear because the procedure is uncommon, and data regarding long-term graft patency are limited. Thus, this study focused on the correlation between long-term patency and surgical strategies and techniques of each graft rather than on the oncologic survival outcomes of the patients.

Eight (50%) out of 16 patients in this study experienced graft occlusion. The incidence was relatively high compared to previous studies, which report an overall thrombosis rate of PTFE reconstruction of the SVC between 14–24% within 3 to 5 years (3,10). A possible explanation for these results may be that the SVC reconstructions in our study

were performed by different cardiac surgeons who used different graft interposition techniques based on their individual preferences. Going forward, it may be necessary to establish a standardized optimal policy for SVC reconstruction performed by one experienced surgeon only, in order to ensure hospital-wide quality control.

In this study, four out of eight patients (50%) who experienced graft occlusion presented clinical symptoms. All symptomatic patients had undergone unilateral graft interposition. Based on these findings, we speculate that unilateral graft interposition should be avoided to prevent significant occlusive symptoms. We observed that the duration of occlusive symptoms ranged from 1 to 6 months. Patients experiencing graft occlusion can present with various combinations of the following symptoms: neck-face swelling, jugular distention, facial erythrosis, dyspnea, headache, and dry cough (5). The severity of the SVC syndrome depends mainly on the rate of progression of SVC obstruction and the degree of development of venous drainage (11,12). For patients with symptomatic graft thrombosis, oral anticoagulation therapy is the first line of therapy to promote the development of collateral venous circulation and reduce clinical manifestations. Medical treatment, including anticoagulation therapy, elevating the head at night, corticosteroids, or diuretics during the acute phase can also be useful (8).

In a study by Lanuti *et al.*, unilateral reconstruction of the BCVs yielded satisfactory long-term patency (4). In this study, graft patency of the left BCV was inferior to that of the right BCV or the SVC. We speculate that the difference in graft patency between the right and left BCVs may have resulted from problems in the left BCV prosthetic graft interposition. Firstly, the prosthetic graft can kink the left BCV at the superior anastomosis site. Secondly, the left BCV can be compressed between the sternum and the ascending aorta. Thirdly, flow velocity tends to be slower in the left graft because it is longer in length. Fourthly, pectinate muscles in the RAA might create turbulence in the blood flow, causing functional failure of the venous system and inadequate venous return against resistance represented by the pectinate muscle of the right atrium at the inferior anastomosis site (2). To prevent anastomosis site kinking, it is recommended that to cut and trim the proximal and distal ends as sigmoid curves in order to ensure a wider orifice, allowing smooth blood flow and preventing kinking of the graft after closing the chest. In addition, the route of the graft should be avoid crossing the ascending aorta and sternum, and the pectinate muscle in the RAA should be

completely resected around inferior anastomosis site.

Univariate analyses revealed that potential risk factors related to graft occlusion were left BCV anastomosis (*vs.* right BCV) and RAA anastomosis (*vs.* SVC). Of these risk factors, sole reconstruction of the left BCV between the RAA should be avoided in patients undergoing resection of the SVC and the left BCV. Although it is technically more difficult to perform a right BCV to graft anastomosis than a left BCV to graft anastomosis, this approach results in minimal graft angulation and direct downward blood flow aided by gravity (8). In addition, an advantage of using bilateral grafts is that even if one of the grafts is occluded by thrombus formation or local tumour recurrence, the other will likely remain patent (13). Moreover, bilateral reconstruction of BCVs is recommended to prevent significant subclavian venous hypertension and secondary lymphatic occlusion, as well as respiratory insufficiency.

There has been controversy regarding the role of anticoagulation therapy after graft interposition of BCVs or the SVC (3,6,10), and an optimal duration of anticoagulation therapy has not been established. Shintani *et al.* suggested that longer anticoagulation therapy beyond 6 months might be necessary for patients who have undergone reconstruction of both BCVs to prevent late graft occlusion (6). This practice was based on concerns that a prosthetic graft in a low-pressure flow vascular system such as BCVs or the SVC would be more prone to thrombosis. On the other hand, Okereke *et al.* reported 38 patients who underwent SVC reconstruction with externally stented-PTFE vascular prostheses (8). They opted for daily aspirin only, and did not observe an excessive thrombosis rate. In our study, warfarin was prescribed to 13 out of 16 patients at discharge, for a period of 3 to 6 months. After that, most patients who interrupted warfarin were treated with aspirin with or without clopidogrel bisulfate. However, we observed graft thrombosis after interruption of warfarin even if aspirin with or without clopidogrel bisulfate was administered orally. Therefore, we believe that long-term anticoagulation therapy is necessary to prevent graft thrombosis.

This study has important limitations, mostly stemming from its small sample size and retrospective design. A chief issue raised and unsolved in this study is the optimal duration of warfarin therapy. A future large-scale study is necessary to ascertain optimal surgical strategies and management techniques that can guarantee long-term graft patency.

In conclusion, prosthetic graft interposition between

the left BCV and the right atrial appendage resulted in a significant rate of graft occlusion. Prosthetic graft interposition of the bilateral BCVs and long-term warfarin therapy may be necessary to prevent graft occlusive symptoms.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Hee Yeon Lee for her medical illustrations.

Footnote

Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Darteville P, Macchiarini P, Chapelier A. Technique of superior vena cava resection and reconstruction. *Chest Surg Clin N Am* 1995;5:345-58.
2. Leo F, Bellini R, Conti B, et al. Superior vena cava resection in thoracic malignancies: does prosthetic replacement pose a higher risk? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2010;37:764-9.
3. Spaggiari L, Leo F, Veronesi G, et al. Superior vena cava resection for lung and mediastinal malignancies: a single-center experience with 70 cases. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2007;83:223-9; discussion 229-30.
4. Lanuti M, De Delva PE, Gaissert HA, et al. Review of superior vena cava resection in the management of benign disease and pulmonary or mediastinal malignancies. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2009;88:392-7.
5. Picquet J, Blin V, Dussaussoy C, et al. Surgical reconstruction of the superior vena cava system: indications and results. *Surgery* 2009;145:93-9.
6. Shintani Y, Ohta M, Minami M, et al. Long-term graft patency after replacement of the brachiocephalic veins combined with resection of mediastinal tumors. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2005;129:809-12.
7. Chagpar AB, McMasters KM, Sahoo S, et al. Does ductal carcinoma in situ accompanying invasive carcinoma affect prognosis? *Surgery* 2009;146:561-7; discussion 567-8.
8. Okereke IC, Kesler KA, Rieger KM, et al. Results of superior vena cava reconstruction with externally stented-polytetrafluoroethylene vascular prostheses. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2010;90:383-7.
9. Nehra D, Goldstein AM. Intestinal malrotation: varied clinical presentation from infancy through adulthood. *Surgery* 2011;149:386-93.
10. Darteville PG, Chapelier AR, Pastorino U, et al. Long-term follow-up after prosthetic replacement of the superior vena cava combined with resection of mediastinal-pulmonary malignant tumors. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 1991;102:259-65.
11. Marcy PY, Magné N, Bentolila F, et al. Superior vena cava obstruction: is stenting necessary? *Support Care Cancer* 2001;9:103-7.
12. García Mónaco R, Bertoni H, Pallota G, et al. Use of self-expanding vascular endoprotheses in superior vena cava syndrome. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2003;24:208-11.
13. Shimizu N, Date H, Moriyama S, et al. Reconstruction of the superior vena cava in patients with mediastinal malignancies. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 1991;5:575-8.

Cite this article as: Lee GD, Kim HR, Choi SH, Kim YH, Kim DK, Park SI. Prosthetic graft interposition of the brachiocephalic veins or superior vena cava combined with resection of malignant tumours: graft patency and risk factors for graft occlusion. *J Thorac Dis* 2016;8(1):61-67. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2016.01.07