YES(Yonsei embolic substance), Polyvinyl alcohol Gelfoam 가

YES(Yonsei embolic substance), Polyvinyl alcohol Gelfoam 가

YES(Yonsei embolic substance), Polyvinyl alcohol Gelfoam 가

2001 12



1

,

가

•

가

•

•

•

	• •••••••	
		7
I.		
II.	•	7
1.	YES	
2.	· ·····	8
3.		8
4.	CT/ MRI	
5.		
III.		13
1.		
2.	Laser flowmetry	
3.	CT/MRI	
4.		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
IV	23	ι.
1		,
V	33	,
*•	• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-

•	
• •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	

- Fig. 1. CT/MRI image of saline, PVA, YES:.....5
 - (a) CT image shows characteristic high attenuation of YES.
 - (b) MRI T2 weighted image shows low signal intensity compared to saline.
- - (b)Nearly complete obstructed Rt. renal artery of PVA group shows cortical rim sign.
- - (a) YES particles are readily visible on pre-contrast CT.
 - (b) YES particle is not definite on MRI T2 weighted image alone. Differentiation from other structure Differentiation from other structures, such as signal void of vascular structure, are impossible.

- Fig. 6 (a) Gross findings of embolized kidneys on 8 weeks after embolization: Distinct differences in viability are present between the totally necrotic, small yellowish YES-embolized kidney(left) and congested but relatively normal kidney(right).
 (b) Gross specimens of embolized kidney on 8 weeks after embolization with PVA(left) shows markedly thickened perirenal fat with inflammatory change and yellowish appearance as compared with normal kidney(right).
- Fig. 7. Sections obtained 8 weeks after embolization with 150-250µm YES: Several YES particles are seen in vascular lumen with without aggregation or adhesion (hematoxylin-eosin, x100)......20

Table 2. Perfusion units (PU) of each embolic materials, YES, PVA, and Gelfoam. The perfusion units were measured performed by Laser doppler flowmetry and digitized......15

Table 3. CT/MRI findings of YES, PVA and Gelfoam group.16

YES(Yonsei embolic substance), Polyvinyl alcohol Gelfoam 7

. YES(Yonsei Embolic Substance) (1 PVA μm) (TiO_2) , , 30 - 1000 $\,\mu m$ PVA Gelfoam YES . CT MRI . 가 , , PVA (150-250 microns) 4 , Gelfoam , YES (150-250 microns) 4 4 3 2 CT , 8 MRI , 8 • YES PVA 8 Gelfoam 8 1 . CT YES 2 YES PVA Gelfoam YES Gelfoam , PVA . , YES Gelfoam PVA • . PVA , PVA . Gelfoam , , 가 Gelfoam 가 YES ,

가,

•

:,,,,,

YES(Yonsei embolic substance), Polyvinyl alcohol Gelfoam 7



	가			occlusive
balloon			가	
			가	
	Gelfoam ¹⁰	가		
	. Gelfoan	1		
	가 .	40-60 µm		
	Gelfoam			
	. Gelfoam			
21-23	,	30-35		
Polyviny	l alcohol (PVA) ¹¹	plastic sponge	sheets	blocks
Polyviny	l alcohol (PVA) ¹¹	plastic sponge	sheets	blocks

	100 - 1000 μm	
. PVA	fibrin	





xiii



,

Fig 1-a

YES

Fig 1-b

,

Fig. 1. CT/MRI image of saline(S), PVA(P), YES(Y): (1-a) CT image shows characteristic high attenuation of YES. (1-b) MRI T2 weighted image shows low signal intensity compared to saline.

Gelfoam	PVA
СТ	MRI

YES								
	3	7 %	PVA	0.5	1.75	%	Pt	TiO ₂
	30 °C	80 °C	가			PVA		
,								
() 1kg	,					0.3	%
	70 °C	가	1200	rpm			,	PVA
(Pt	TiO_2)							
	,	95 °C	110 °C	가	ł			
4			PVA				,	
	, n-							
.(2)							

Fig. 2. Microscopic photo of Embolic Material. A bead indicated by black arrow is made of only PVA, but others are of PVA+Pt/TiO2(original magnification x 100).

	3kg		15	, YES (150-250
microns)	4	YES	, PVA(Bost	ton Scientific, Messsachusetts,
USA, 150-250 micron	s)	4	PVA	, Gelfoam(Ferrosan A-S
International, Soeborg,	Denmark)	가 ,	1mm	4
Gelfoam			3	
			()

.

atropin 0.08mg/kg rompun 4mg/kg ketamin HCL 50mg/kg mask enflurane MAC 2% , 20G , 0.035 (Terumo, Tokyo, Japan) 4 F (Terumo, Tokyo, Japan) X-0.1% 0.1 ml1:1 . 4F 2.2F coaxial catheter(Boston Scientific, Messsachusetts, USA) 가 . 가 가 가 **PVA** , YES 5 , 0.014 inch diameter guide wire 2.2F coaxial catheter (Boston Scientific, Messsachusetts, USA) guide wire fine optic-fiber(probe B500, Perimed, Sweden, 300 µm diameter) laser flowmetry (Perimed, Jarfalla, Sweden) , 8 , 8 Laser flowmetry PeriFlux system 4000(Perimed, Jarfalla, Sweden) perfusion unit . PeriFlux system 4000 Laser Doppler Perfusion monitor perfusion 가 probe(probe B500, Perimed, Sweden)7 . CMBC (concetration of Moving blood cells), velocity, TB(total backscatter), PU(perfusion unit) , PU CMBC x Mean velocity probe . ,

,

Р	eriflux 400	00 master	monit	or	가				Lase	er diode
	(780	nm wavel	ength w	vith max	imum ei	nissi	ion enegy of	0.8 mW)		
blood	የት				,			op	ptical fi	iber
pho	todetector									
										•
Calibration		probe	stand	ard solu	tion (PF	100	01, PeriMed	, Jarfalla, S	Sweder	ı)
		, f	lowmet	ry defle	ction			25%		
•	250	PU		•			PU			
							,			
		ANOVA	A test					95%	(P<0.05	5)
CT/MRI				•		0	71			
CI	/ MRI		1	2	M	8	가			
TT', 1'					, MR	l	0.31	E	ΔU	20
Hitachi	MRP-/(1. 1		• •			1	. F(20 cm
, 256 X	256 pixel	displa	ay matr	1x, 4mm			, Imm	, N	SA 4	. 71
. 11	7	IK/IE	∠ Γ	620/2	20 msec			,	IK/IE	י ∠ר
4000/11	/ msec	F5E	5				. CI		Hitach	11
W2000	1) Foo (lea	200)	SIIIII						(N) CT	comed,
Cork, Ireland	1) OCC (Kg	200)							.CI	MKI
СТ	2									,
CI										
		8								
10%										
		hema	toxylin∙	-eosin						

8

,

.

,

2

•

,

,

·

10%

•

•

.

,



Table 1. Angiographic findings of YES, PVA and Gelfoam group after embolization

	YES group (n=4)	PVA group (n=4)	Gelfoam (n=3)
Partial embolization	4*	2	0
Complete embolization	0	2	3
Recanalization after 8weeks	0	0	3
* number of cases			
2.Laser flowmetry	:		

Perfusion Units(PU)			
	,	PU 가 YES, PVA, Gelfoam	
730, 791, 544	8	PU 246, 117, 405	YES
PVA		. (2)	

Table 2. Perfusion units (PU) of each embolic materials, YES, PVA, and Gelfoam. The perfusion units were measured performed by Laser doppler flowmetry and digitized

1 1	5	11	5 0	
	YES	PVA	Gelfoam	Control
No. of animals	4	4	3	3
PU at pre-embolization	730.0±26.4	791.0±81.2	544.4±48.0	562.6±36.6
PU at 8 weeks of embolization	246.2±15.9*	117.5±17.9*	405.9±40.2	542.6±26.1

*: p<0.05 comparison with pre-embolization

3. MRI , CT

.

CT			cortica	al rim sign	1	YE	S		
, PVA	G	lelfoam		2		, 1		.(3)
	СТ	MRI					, CT		YES
2				YES					
							PVA	Gelfoam	
								MRI	
	T2						YES		
СТ			,	,					
	. (3) (4,5)						

Table 3. CT findings of YES, PVA and Gelfoam group.

Findings	YES group (n=4)	PVA group (n=4)	Gelfoam group (n=3)
Cortical rim sign	4*	2	1
Conspicuity of embolic material	4	0	0

* number of cases

Fig 3-a

Fig 3-b

Fig. 3. Pre contrast CT images at 2 weeks after embolization:

- (c) Partially occluded Rt. renal artery of YES group shows cortical rim sign.
- (d) Nearly complete obstructed Rt. renal artery of PVA group shows cortical rim sign

Fig 4-a

Fig 4-b

Fig. 4(a,b). YES group: Pre-contrast CT image of embolized Rt. kidney 2 weeks after embolization shows high attenuation areas at corticomedullary junction(white arrow) which may suggest YES particle.

Fig. 5-a.

,

Fig 5-b.

Fig. 5. CT and MRI image of YES group at 2 weeks after embolization.

- (a) YES particles(white arrow) are readily visible on pre-contrast CT.
- (b) YES particle is not definite on MRI T2 weighted image alone. Differentiation of YES particle(white arrow) from other structures, such as signal void of vascular structure, is impossible.

4.

YES

, PVA Gelfoam

., (6)

Fig 6-a

Fig 6-b

Fig. 6. (a)Histopathologic findings of embolized kidneys with YES: Gross specimens of both embolized and non-embolized kidneys on 8 weeks after embolization reveal distinct differences in viability between the totally necrotic small yellowish and tan appearance of embolized kidney and congested but relatively normal kidney. (b)Histopathologic findings of embolized kidneys with PVA: Gross specimens of embolized kidney on 8 weeks after embolization shows markedly thickened perirenal fat with inflammatory change and yellowish appearance of embolized kidney as compared with normal kidney.



Gelfoam

Gelfoam

.

.(10)

,

가

Fig. 7. Sections obtained 8 weeks after embolization with 150-250µm YES: Several YES particles are seen in vascular lumen without aggregation or adhesion (hematoxyline-eosin, original magnification x100).

Fig. 8. Microphotograph of embolized vessel, after 8weeks with YES embolization. The occluded vessels and surrounding parenchyma were very mildly infiltrated by multinucleated giant cells, eosinophils, lymphocytes and few neutrophils (hematoxyline-eosin, original magnification x200).

Fig 9-a

Fig 9-b

Fig. 9. Microphotograph of embolized nidus, after 8weeks of embolization. (a). Organizing mass formation of PVA particles with the occluded large vessels at renal hilum are seen. Near total coagulation necrosis, calcifications and infiltration of inflammatory cells are seen in the renal parenchyma (hematoxyline-eosin, original magnification x100). (b) Another section (hematoxyline-eosin, original magnification x200) shows local capillary recanalization and degenerated vascular wall.

Fig. 10. Sections obtained 8 weeks after embolization with Gelfoam: Degeneration change due to ischemic change of vessel wall and coagulation necrosis of renal parenchyma is seen. Gelfoam particles are not seen in vessel lumen (hematoxyline-eosin, original magnification x40).

IV. 가 YES 4 12 가 . 8 8 6 , 24 7 14 , 1 , 가 24 YES CT/MRI , 가 가 . PVA 가 4 5 8 Gelfoam 2 가 7 가 가 , 3 가 가 , PVA, oxycel, Bucrylate, Wire coil, , \cdot^1 Cyanoacylate, Gelfoam, 가 (, , Gelfoam, microfibrillar collagen) (silicon spheres, PVA, dextran microspheres) , 가 .11 Gelfoam 가 . 10 • Prentice 가 1945 Gelfoam Light 1964 Speakman Gelfoam . Gelfoam Gelfoam .

xxviii

21-23	,	30-35	
. Carmignani	120	Gelfo	bam 21
		. Reuter	
Gelfoam		Jan	der
Gelfoam 4			
. Gelfoam			
	, Jano	ler	Gelfoam 기
. Gelfoam			
	40-60 µm		
가			sheet
Gelfoam 가 1mm CT/MRI			, 8
	Gelfoam		,
YES PVA		,	
. Laser flowmetry		Gelfoam	
YES PVA	,		가
PVA			
, 가	,		
. PVA			가
PVA			polyhedral
,	notwork	가	11
	network		
,	150um	7	PVA
. PVA			
		PVA	71
,		가	PVA

•

YES . suspension YES • , , YES , 11 . Quisling 가 PVA YES 18mg, PVA PVA YES 가 11mg YES YES , PVA . CT 가 가 CT 'cortical rim sign' , YES • 가 • , PVA Gelfoam • PVA Gelfoam 가 2 CT. cortical rim sign YES PVA YES . CT YES , , CT 2 CT .

•

xxx

YES . 2 , 가 YES CTYES • CT 8 • MRI , , 가 T1, T2 , MRI 0.3 Tesla MRI T2 YES , MRI CT YES • MRI 1.5T 가 . YES • Gelfoam PVA 가 가 YES . • YES Gelfoam , PVA 16 PVA Gelfoam YES PVA . , YES • 가 ,

,

YES . 가 PVA .

,

					Embosphere	(Guerbet	Biomedical;
Louvres,	France) ¹⁷	가			. Em	bosphere	
,	,	,		trisa	ncryl	. Eml	oospheres
				가 가	,		
							가
				PVA			
				. YES			
					가가		
YES					YES		
,		가					
			가			가	
		,		YES	120	-200µm	
	,						
	가	104µm	L	가			YES
							,
,		가		,		YES	
		가					
		Embosphe	re 가				
가		YES					
		. Y	ES				
	가	,					
		P	VA	Gelfoam			
PVA				가 ,			
			•				

V.

,

,

•

•

YES	Gelfoam	PVA	
	СТ	MRI	

8	3kg . YES	СТ	15 MRI		,	,	·	,
	,			CT				
가		YES			,			
							가	

YES				,
	Gelfoam	PVA		
YES			,	

•

- 1. Carmignani G, Beigrano E, Mortorana G et al. Clots, oxycel, gelfoam, barium, and cyanoacrylates in transcatheter embolization of rt kidney. Invest Urol 1978;16: 9-12
- Tadavarthy SM, Knight L, Ovitt TW et al. Therapeutic transcatherter arterial embolization. Radiology 1974; 111:13-16
- Lee W, Kim TS, Chung JW, Han JK, Kim SH, Park JH. Renal angiomyolipoma: Embolotherapy with a mixture of alcohol and iodized oil. J Vasc Interv Radiol 1998; 9:255-261
- Lanigan D, Jurriaans E, Hammonds JC, Wells IP, Choa RG. The current status of embolization in renal cell carcinoma – A survey of local and national practice. Clin Radiol 1992; 46:176-178
- 5. , , , , , . 4

1994; 31:49-53

- 6. Ellman BA, Green CE, Eigenbrodt E et al. Renal infarction with absolute ethanol. Invest radiol 1980; 15:318-322
- Yakes WF, Haas DK, Parker SH et al. Symptomatic vascular malformation: ethanol embolotherapy. Radiology 1989;179:1059-1066
- 8. , , , , , .

(1). 1982; 18:411-415

- Park JH, Kim SH, Han JK, Chung JW, Han MC. Transcatheter arterial embolization of unresectable renal cell carcinoma with a mixture of ethnol and iodized oil. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 1994;17:323-327
- 10. , , , , , ,
- 가 . 1996; 34:473-480

 Brown KT, Brody LA, Decorato DR, Getrajdman GI. Portal vein embolization with use of polyvinyl alcohol particles. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2001;12:882-886

12. , , , , , , , . 50% 7 . 1998; 38:1021-1026

- 13. Park SS, Yoon HK, Lee NS et al. Portal vein embolization with use of a new liquid embolic material: An experimental study. J Vasc Interv Radiol 1999; 10:339-345
- 14. Sadato A, Taki W, Ikada Y et al. Experimental study and clinical use of polyvinyl acetate emulsion as liquid embolization material. Neuroradiology 1994; 36:634-641
- 15. Hamada J, Ushio Y, Kazekawa K, Tsukahara T, Hashimoto N, Iwata H. Embolization with cellulose porous beads, I: An experimental study. Am J Neuroradiol 1996; 17:1895-1899
- Irie T, Kusano S. Fragmented platinum microcoils as embolization material. Acta Radiol 1996; 37:696-699
- 17. Bendszus M, Klein R, Burger R Hofmann E, Solymosi L. Efficacy of trisacryl gelatin microspheres versus polyvinyl alcohol particles in the preoperative embolization of meningiomas. Am J Neuroradiol 2000; 21:255-261

Abstract

A comparative study on transcatheter renal arterial embolization in rabbits with new embolic material (YES,Yonsei embolic substance), Polyvinyl alcohol and Gelfoam particles

Pil Sik Choi

Brain Korea²¹ Project for Medical Sciences The Graduate School, Yonsei University

(Directed by Professor Hyung Sik Yoo)

Embolization is an accepted form of endovascular therapy such as tumor embolization or vascular malformation treatment. Variable embolic materials have been developed and have been used clinically. However, it is difficult to select an ideal embolic material. New embolic material YES (Bokwang, Gumi, Korea)is a microsphere that can be used easily. In the unique production process, Pt. particle and TiO2 are mixed with PVA, they are of uniform size and accurately calibrated in variable size $(30 - 1000 \ \mu m)$. Imaging characteristics and pathologic features of new embolic material (YES) were compared with PVA and Gelfoam particles in a rabbit with Rt. renal embolization model. Transcatheter renal arterial embolization was performed: 4 were embolized with YES, 4 with PVA, 3 with Gelfoam particles and 3 control groups. Pre and postembolization angiography at five minutes and 8 weeks after embolization were performed. CT and MRI imaging were done at 1 day after embolization, 2 weeks and 8 weeks after embolization. All rabbits were sacrificed at 8 weeks after embolization for pathologic evaluation. On angiography, YES group and PVA group showed no evidence of recanalization after 8 weeks of embolization. Gelfoam group showed decrease of perfusion defect areas and partial recanalization after 8 weeks of embolization. Pre-contrast CT image showed high attenuation areas which might suggest YES particles at corticomedullary junction 2 weeks after embolization. In contrast, no evidence of visible particles in PVA and Gelfoam groups. Embolized kidney with YES revealed small, tan appearance that easily separated between renal capsule and perirenal fat. Markedly thickened perirenal fat and adhesion to renal capsule were seen at PVA and Gelfoam group. The YES group obstructed arcuate artery level without deformation and showing minimal vascular and perivascular inflammatory reaction. PVA group showed proximal interlobar artery, renal hilar arterial obstruction and moderate perivascular inflammatory reactions with degenerated vascular wall. Organized PVA particles with the occluded large vessels at renal hilum and local capillary recanalization were also seen. Gelfoam group obstructed renal hilar artery with severe degenerated vessel wall and perivascular

inflammatory reaction. No evidence of residual intact gelfoam particles was seen. The spherical YES particles were easy to use, they reached distal sites with filling of vascular lumen and produced a homogeneous and permanent occlusion without specific inflammatory changes. The good results of this experimental study led to a clinical trial of YES.

Key Words: Kidney, interventional procedure, angiography, Embolism