


2000 12



가

,

,

.

가

가

,

가

.

.

가

가

.

,

.

.

	1
I.	3
II.	5
1.	5
2.	5
3.	6
III.	7
1.	7
2.	9
3.	10
4.	13
5.	가 ..	13
6.	가 ..	13
7.	16
8.	가 ..	17
IV.	20
V.	25
	26
	30

1.	8
2.	9
3.	9
4.	11
5.	12
6.	가	14
7.	가	15
8.	16
9.	16
10.	19
11.	19

가

가

2000 5 7
600
가

413

SAS

6.12

304 (73.6%)

156

(37.8%),

234 (56.6%)

35 (P=0.001)

(P=0.046),

(P=0.040)

(P=0.001), 35

(P=0.001), (P=0.002)

(P=0.016)

가

가

35 (P=0.001),

(P=0.022)

(P=0.001), (P=0.001) .
 (P=0.004), (P=0.001) ,
 (P=0.002), (P=0.017),
 (P=0.025), (P=0.001) .
 , .

 : , ,

< >

I.

가 가 가

(Death with dignity) 가

1,2

4

...

...

3-5,6

2000 가 7

가

1975 Karen Ann Quinlan

Dr. Kevorkian

1997 10 Oregon

(Physician assisted suicide)

2

8-9

10

가

6,11-13

14

2000 11

가

가

1995

가

15

가

가¹⁶

17

¹⁸가

¹⁹가

II.

1.

2000 5 7

600

. 479

56

413

2.

(, , , ,)

, ,)

(

, 가

, 가

, 가

)

가

가

3.

SAS 6.12

Chi-square

III.

1.

가 247 (59.8%), 가 166 (40.2%) 20
30 가 147 (35.6%), 115 (27.8%) 가
가 252 (61.0%) . 가
274 (66.5%) 212
(51.3%), 201 (48.7%) . 가
100-300 258 (62.8%) 가 .
139 (34.1%) 가 가 122 (29.9%),
가 135 (33.1%), 12 (2.9%) (1).

1.		
	(n=413)	(%)
		247 (59.8)
		166 (40.2)
()		
<21		11 (2.7)
21-30		147 (35.6)
31-40		115 (27.8)
41-50		72 (17.4)
51-60		47 (11.4)
>60		21 (5.1)
		161 (39.0)
		252 (61.0)
		142 (34.5)
		75 (18.2)
		57 (13.8)
		135 (32.8)
		4 (0.7)
		212 (51.3)
		201 (48.7)
(× /)		
(>300)		110 (26.8)
(100-300)		259 (63.3)
(<100)		44 (10.9)
		135 (33.1)
		12 (2.9)
		139 (34.1)
가		122 (29.9)

2.

304 (73.6%)

(2),

156 (37.8%),

234 (56.6%)

(3).

2.

(%)
304 (73.6)
109 (26.4)
413 (100.0)

3.

(%)
156 (37.8)
234 (56.6)
23 (5.6)
413 (100.0)

3.

35 (P=0.001)

(P=0.040)

(4).

4.

44.7% ,

22.9% 가

(P=0.001)

35

(P=0.001),

(P=0.002)

(P=0.016)

가

(5).

4.

	(%)	P	(%)	P
	139 (56.3)		108 (43.7)	
	89 (53.9)	0.640	57 (34.6)	0.062
()				
<35	99 (63.2)		85 (40.9)	
35	129 (47.6)	0.001	80 (39.2)	0.733
	83 (51.6)		65 (40.4)	
	145 (57.8)	0.216	100 (39.8)	0.914
	144 (52.8)		115 (42.1)	
	84 (60.4)	0.138	50 (36.0)	0.228
	126 (59.4)		75 (35.4)	
	102 (51.0)	0.085	90 (45.0)	0.046
(× /)				
(>300)	66 (60.0)		34 (30.9)	
(100-300)	140 (54.5)		108 (42.0)	
(<100)	21 (48.8)	0.411	22 (51.2)	0.040
	80 (59.3)		55 (40.7)	
	6 (50.0)		6 (50.0)	
	75 (52.8)		54 (38.0)	
가	67 (54.5)	0.710	50 (40.7)	0.854

5.

	(%)	P	(%)	P
	110 (44.7)		56 (22.9)	
	38 (22.9)	0.001	48 (28.9)	0.016
()				
<35	52 (25.0)		45 (21.7)	
35	96 (47.1)	0.001	59 (28.9)	0.094
	43 (26.7)		36 (22.5)	
	105 (41.8)	0.002	68 (27.0)	0.296
	91 (33.2)		71 (26.1)	
	57 (41.3)	0.106	33 (23.7)	0.602
	71 (33.7)		47 (22.2)	
	77 (38.3)	0.324	57 (28.6)	0.131
(× /)				
(>300)	32 (29.1)		20 (18.4)	
(100-300)	103 (40.1)		71 (27.6)	
(<100)	12 (27.9)	0.068	13 (30.2)	0.131
	47 (34.8)		25 (18.5)	
	4 (33.3)		0 (0.0)	
	55 (39.0)		42 (29.6)	
가	42 (33.9)	0.821	37 (30.3)	0.016

5. 가

가

(P=0.022) (P=0.001) 가

(P=0.001) (P=0.001) 35

(6).

6. 가

가

49.0% 가 (P=0.004) 35 (P=0.001) ,

(P=0.001) .

35 (P=0.002)

48.5% , 36.6% 가 (P=0.037), (P=0.001)

(7).

6. 가

	(%)	P	(%)	P
	150 (60.7)		126 (51.0)	
	91 (55.2)	0.260	86 (52.1)	0.825
()				
<35	103 (49.5)		81 (38.9)	
35	138 (67.6)	0.001	131 (64.2)	0.001
	83 (51.6)		63 (39.3)	
	158 (63.0)	0.022	149 (59.4)	0.001
	152 (55.7)		142 (52.0)	
	89 (64.0)	0.104	70 (50.4)	0.751
	129 (60.9)		109 (51.4)	
	112 (56.0)	0.318	103 (51.5)	0.986
(× /)				
(>300)	69 (62.7)		54 (49.1)	
(100-300)	150 (58.4)		140 (54.5)	
(<100)	21 (48.8)	0.291	16 (37.2)	0.097
	83 (61.5)		65 (48.2)	
	7 (58.3)		8 (66.7)	
	80 (56.3)		76 (53.5)	
가	71 (57.7)	0.850	63 (51.2)	0.583

7. 가

	(%)	P	(%)	P
	119 (49.0)		90 (36.7)	
	57 (34.6)	0.004	79 (47.9)	0.025
()				
<35	61 (29.3)		101 (48.6)	
35	115 (57.5)	0.001	68 (33.7)	0.002
	50 (31.2)		78 (48.5)	
	126 (51.0)	0.001	91 (36.6)	0.017
	108 (40.0)		108 (39.6)	
	68 (49.3)	0.074	61 (44.5)	0.335
	88 (42.1)		75 (35.9)	
	88 (42.2)	0.666	94 (46.8)	0.025
(× /)				
(>300)	42 (38.5)		40 (36.4)	
(100-300)	193 (46.9)		100 (39.2)	
(<100)	14 (32.6)	0.115	29 (67.4)	0.001
	59 (43.7)		49 (36.3)	
	7 (58.3)		3 (25.0)	
	60 (43.2)		58 (41.7)	
가	50 (41.0)	0.711	59 (47.6)	0.192

7.

,

'(22.3%),`
'(21.4%),`가 '(19.3%)` ,
'(35.1%),`
'(28.6%),` () '(18.0%),
'(10.9%),` '(5.1%)
(8, 9).

8.

		*(%)
		119 (22.3)
		145 (21.4)
가		103 (19.3)
		84 (15.8)
		72 (13.5)
		41 (7.7)
		533 (100.0)
*	가 가	

9.

		*(%)
		113 (35.1)
		92 (28.6)
		58 (18.0)
		35 (10.9)
		24 (7.4)
		322 (100.0)
*	가 가	

8. 가

가 , 가 가
413 151 (36.8%) 43
(28.5%) 46 (25.4%) 가
(10).
6 151 42 (26.7%) 가
2 5 43 (22.0%), 6 1 32
(21.3%) (11).

10.

		(%)
		43(28.5)
		41(27.2)
		26(17.2)
		18(11.9)
		7(4.6)
		16(10.6)
		181(100.0)

11.

		(%)
6		41(26.7)
6	- 1	32(21.3)
1	- 2	16(10.7)
2	-	33(22.0)
5		29(19.3)
		151(100.0)

1995 5 25

가

¹⁵

, 가

,

,

61%가

¹⁷

,

,

60%

,

.₆

가

,

가

,

,

,

.

(, ,)

)

²⁴

Brim

²⁵

Lester

가

가

²⁶

가

20-30

가

35

가

가

가

가

, 가

가

가

가

. 가

가

¹⁶,

가

¹⁷.

가

62.5% ,

70.0%

가

가

가

가

가

¹²

¹³.

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

“

”

가

^{23,33}

, ,

가

가

V.

70%

가

가

20-30 가

1. Jackson D. and Younger. Patient Autonomy and Death with dignity. The New England Journal of Medicine 1979;301(8):404-408.
2. Merkin L. Problems of "Dying with dignity". New York State Journal of Medicine 1979;79:101-106.
3. Graber MA, Levy B, Weir R, Oppliger R. Patient's views about physician participation assisted suicide and euthanasia. J Gen Intern Med 1996;11(2):71-76.
4. Anderson JG, Caddel DP. Attitudes of medical professionals toward euthanasia. Soc. Sci. Med 1993;37(1):105-114.
5. Lee JS. Medical ethics and medical laws. Korean J of Medicine 1998;55(4):452-473.
6. Suarez-Almazor ME, Bilzile M, Bruera E. Euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide: a comparative survey of physicians, terminally ill cancer patients, and the general population. Journal of clinical oncology 1997;15(2):418-127.
7. Song WK, Lee JH, Bae KW, Lee SY, Kim YJ. The differences of opinion on Boramae incident between physicians and common people. J of the Korean academy of family medicine 1999;20(10):1224-1231.
8. Sullivan AD, Hederberg K, Fleming DW. Legalized physician-assisted suicide in Oregon-the second year. NEJM 2000;342(8):598-604.
9. Chin AE, Hedberg K, Higginson G, Fleming DW. Legalized Physician-assisted suicide in Oregon- The first year's experience. N Engl J Med 1999;340(7):577-583.
10. Wolfe J, Fairclough DL, Clarridge BR, Daniels ER, Emanuel EJ. Stability of attitudes regarding physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia

among oncology patients, physician, and the general public. *Journal of Clinical Oncology* 1999;17(4):1274- 1280.

11. Kinsella TD, Verhoef MJ. Physicians Opinions About the Mortality and Legalization of Active Euthanasia. *Can Med Assoc J* 1993;148(11):1921- 1926.

12. Meier DE, Emmons CA, Wallenstein S, Quill T, Morrison RS, Cassel CK. A National survey of physician-assisted suicide and euthanasia in the United States. *N Engl J Med* 1998;338(17):1193- 1201.

13. Back AL, Wallace JI, Straks HE, Pearlman RA. Physician-assisted Suicide and Euthanasia in Washington State. *JAMA* 1996;275(12):919-925.

14. Heiden H, Rutenfrans C, Zylicz Z. Physician-assisted Suicide and Euthanasia in Netherlands. *JAMA* 1997;277(21):1720- 1722.

15. Ryan CJ, Kaye M. Euthanasia in Australia-The Northern territory rights of the terminally ill Act. *N Engl J Med* 1996;334(5):326- 328.

16. Low PA, Pang WS. Is euthanasia compatible with palliative care? *Singapore Medical Journal* 1999;40(5):365-370.

17. Radulovic S, Mojsilovic S. Attitudes of oncologists, family doctors, medical students and lawyers to euthanasia. *Supportive care in cancer* 1998;6(4):410-415.

18. Nam MY, Lee SC, Seh YS, Kim DH, Shin DH. Attitudes of physicians toward passive euthanasia. *J of the Korean academy of family medicine* 1995;16(21):874-879.

19. . . . ;1975:8.

20. . . . (): . . . ;1991.

21. Rachels. J. Active and Passive Euthanasia. May L(ed). *Applied Ethics*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall;1994:491-495.

22. Sohn MS, Kim SD, Kim SY. Comparative analysis on the ethical guidelines on euthanasia. Korean J Med Ethics Educ 2000;3(1):113-134.
23. Kim SD, Sohn MS. Euthanasia: its definition, classification, and ethical justification. J Korean Bioethics Assoc 2000;1:57-75.
24. Suh MJ, Lee ES, Kim DS. An exploratory study of factors affecting attitudes toward euthanasia. New medical journal 1982;25(7):97-108.
25. Brim. F. Cebin, Scotch. The Dying Patient. Russel Sage Foundation(NY);1970
26. Lester. D. Attitudes of nursing Students and Nursing Faculty toward Death. Nursing Research 1974;74:50-52.
27. Shim JY, Choi YS, Kang YJ, Cho HS, Cho HS. Attitude of cancer patients, their primary care givers and doctors toward end-of-life care. J of the Korean academy of family medicine 2000;21(4):489-497.
28. Choi YS, Shin JM, Lee YM, Lee TH, Hong MH, Kim JS et al. the college students' attitude toward terminal care and euthanasia. the Korean J of hospice and palliative care 1999;2(1)16-22.
29. Caralis PV, Hammond JS. Attitudes of medical students, housestaff, and faculty physicians toward euthanasia and termination of life-sustaining treatment. Critical Care Medicine 1992;20(5):683-690.
30. Verhoef MJ, van der Wal G. Euthanasia in family practice in the Netherlands. Toward a better understanding. Canadian family physician 1997;43:231-237.
31. Lavery JV, Dickins BM, Boyle JM, Singer PA. Bioethics for clinicians: Euthanasia and assisted suicide. Can Med Assoc J 1997;156(10):1405-1408.
32. Hong YS, Yeom CH, Lee KS. Euthanasia. the Korean J of hospice and palliative care 1999;2(1)1-6.

33. Sass, Hans-Martin. Advance Directives. Encyclopedia of applied Ethics. vol 3. (NY): Academic Press;1998(41)

Abstract

General population's view on euthanasia

Sun Hyun Kim

Brain Korea 21 Project for Medical Sciences

The Graduate School, Yonsei University

(Directed by Professor Hye Ree Lee)

Background : The concerns on euthanasia, among the various life ethic problems raised according as the medical technology has been developed recently, is getting high. Thus, the general public tends to have more liberal opinion. They have detail research data and real practices in US, Europe and Australia, but we lack of studies in the country. This study is undertaken owing to the need of studies on the recognition of euthanasia among the public because the existing studies have been focused on the medical staff.

Methods : Survey 413 people the age of 17 or more, from May to July 2000. Testify the data on the variation of demography and the recognition of euthanasia by using SAS 6.12, the statistic program.

Results:

1. 304 people (73.6%) think that euthanasia should be legislated, 156 people (37.8%) permit euthanasia to the rage of voluntary one, and 234 people (56.6%) permit passive euthanasia.

2. When the subject of voluntary euthanasia is the respondent of himself, more people whose age is 35 or more ($P=0.001$) responded that they will undertake euthanasia. And, related to the passive euthanasia, one's educational background ($P=0.046$) and economic power ($P=0.040$) arrangement show meaningful differences.

3. When the subject of voluntary euthanasia is the respondent of other people, more people whose age is 35 or more than 35 ($P=0.001$), whose sex is male ($P=0.001$), and married people ($P=0.002$) were allowing the matter.

For the subject of passive euthanasia, survey participant's occupation ($P=0.016$) created meaningful difference.

4. More people whose age is 35 or more than 35 responded that they want voluntary euthanasia for themselves ($P=0.001$), and in the case euthanasia is legislated, marital status ($P=0.002$) also shows meaningful difference. Passive euthanasia is permitted by the more people whose age is less than 35 for respondents other people ($P=0.001$), marital status show meaningful difference in case for respondent himself.

5. In the case euthanasia is legislated, more people whose age is 35 or more than 35 ($P=0.001$), sex is male ($P=0.004$) and more married people ($P=0.001$) response that they want voluntary euthanasia for other people. And, age ($P=0.002$), sex ($P=0.017$), education ($P=0.025$) and economic power ($P=0.001$) show meaningful difference for case the subject of passive euthanasia.

Conclusions : Most of general public responded that the legislation on euthanasia is required; and, age, education and economic power seem to have an influence on their decisions on euthanasia. Not only such a study of demographic and sociological correlation; but, various basic

data on the legislation of euthanasia are needed.

Key words : euthanasia, active euthanasia, passive euthanasia

?

가

O

1. ? 1) 2)

2. ?

1) TV, 2) , 3) 4)

5) 6)

3. ? 1) 2)

4. 가 가 가 ?

1) 2)

(11- 12)			
11.	가 가 (, , , ...) ?		
11- 1	?		
12.	가 가 ?		
12- 1.	?		

13. ?

- 1) 2)

14. ?

- 1)
- 2)

15.

?

- 1)
- 2)
- 3)
- 4) 가 (가)
- 5)
- 6)
- 7)

16. ? ()

- 1) . ()
- 2) .
- 3)
- 4) 가 (,)
- 5)
- 6)

17. ?

- 1) 2) 가 3) 4)

* .
0

1. 1) 2)

2. ()

3.

- 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) ()

4. 1) . 2)

5.

- 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) ()

6.

- 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) 6)
- 7) 8)

7.

- 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) ,

8.

- 1) 2) 3) 4) 5)

9. (가)

- 1) (100) 2) (100-300) 3) (300)

10.

- 1) , 2) , 3) / , 4) , 5) , 6) ,
7) , 8) , 9) / / , 10) , 11) ,
12) , 13) , 14) , 15) , 16) , 17) ,
18) / / / , 19)가 , 20) 21)

11.