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Evaluating Intra-and Inter-examiners Reproducibility in Histometric Measurement: The One-Wall Intrabony Periodontal Defects in Beagle Dogs

Soo-Kyung Kim, D.D.S., M.S.D

Department of Dental science, Graduate School, Yonsei University
(Directed by Prof. Chong-Kwan Kim, D.D.S., M.S.D., PhD.)

Background: Accurate and exact measurement is an important factor for a meaningful result for any properly designed study. Reliable and accurate measurements serve as the basis for evaluation in many scientific disciplines. Histometric assessments are used as part of the overall histopathologic evaluation. For periodontal regeneration protocol, the histometric evaluation is used to quantify the amount of regeneration of alveolar bone, cementum, a functionally oriented periodontal ligament, formation of a junctional epithelium and the position of any devices and biomaterial implanted in conjunction with the surgical procedure. One of the various measurement methods, histometric measurements are routinely used to evaluate biological events ascertained in histologic sections acquired from animal and human study. But, to get an expected study result, most examiners need to spend innumerable
time and effort. Therefore, if all the participating examiners are able to yield a similar result, it will be possible to evaluate the objective results of the study more easily and faster. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the intra and inter examiner reproducibility of histologic and histometric measurements in the intrabony periodontal defect model by the ICC and Overall concordance correlation coefficient (OCCC) as elaborated by Barnhart (Biometrics 2002 58, 1020-7),

**Methods:** Twenty young adult (age 18 Month, body weight: 12-15 kg) beagle dogs were used. The mandibular first and third premolar teeth were surgically extracted prior to the experimental surgery in each animal. The experimental surgery included elevation of buccal and lingual mucoperiosteal flaps to surgically create one wall intra bony defects, "box type", critical size, (4×4×4 mm) at the distal aspect of the second and the medial aspect of the fourth mandibular premolars in the right and left jaw quadrants in each animal. Bilateral intra bony periodontal defects in each jaw quadrant either received: β-TCP, GDF-0, GDF-100, and Sham-surgery. Histometric analysis using incandescent microscopy, an attached digital camera system, and a PC based image analysis system including a custom program that was performed on the slide of histologic sections acquired from animal study after 8 weeks performed on surgically created intrabony defects. Histometric parameters were recorded and repeated within three months interval by three examiners. Intra and inter examiner reproducibility was assessed using the ICC and the OCCC.

**Results:** Most parameters of all the groups showed high intra and inter
examiner reproducibility. Parameters including defect height, bone regeneration height, cementum regeneration height, and formation of junctional epithelium yielded inter examiner correlation ≥ 0.9. The intra examiner reproducibility showed a high result, over 0.9.

**conclusions:** Histometric evaluation in the one wall intra alveolar periodontal defect model showed high reproducibility not only in single examiner but also among the three examiners.

**KEY WORDS:** ICC, Overall concordance correlation coefficient (OCCC). Intra and inter examiner reproducibility. histometric measurements, one wall intra bony periodontal defect model.
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I. Introduction

Reliable and accurate measurements serve as the basis for evaluation in many scientific disciplines. Clinical measurements serve as a basis for record of variable parameters such as probing depth, clinical attachment level, comparisons of radiographic registration pre- to post therapy, and surgical procedures to assess changes in alveolar morphology. Any of these methods may not be reliable in evidence of regeneration of the periodontal attachment. The inability of periodontal probing to assess the coronal level of connective tissue attachment has been amply demonstrated. (Listgarten et al. 1976, Armitage et al. 1977, Van der Velden & de Vries 1978). In addition, radiographic analysis may not clearly detect cementum and periodontal
ligament. However, histologic evaluations may disclose the genuine nature of healing following periodontal regenerative procedures. Histometric assessments are used as part of the overall histopathologic evaluation. For periodontal regeneration protocol, the histometric evaluation is used to quantify the amount of regeneration of alveolar bone, cementum, a functionally oriented periodontal ligament, formation of a junctional epithelium, and the position of any devices and biomaterial implanted in conjunction with the surgical procedure. For successful results, establishment of the purpose of study, proper study design, concrete surgical protocol & procedure and precise histometric analysis are very important factors, in particular, the histometric analysis of the slides acts as the main barometer in evaluating the results. But, to get an expected study result, most examiners need to spend innumerable time and effort. Therefore, if all the participating examiners are able to yield a similar result, it will be possible to evaluate the objective results of the study more easily and faster.

Often, a reliability or a validity study involving multiple observers is conducted in clinical or experimental setting. In method comparison and reliability studies, it is often important to assess agreement between multiple measurements made by different methods, devices, laboratories, observers, or instruments. For continuous data, the concordance correlation coefficient (CCC) is a popular index for accessing agreement between multiple methods on the same subject. If the outcome variable continuous, Lin (1989, 1992) stated that the appropriate index for measuring agreement between two observers is the concordance correlation coefficient (CCC), Lin (1989) argued that, even though the agreement is often evaluated by using the Pearson Correlation Coefficient, the
paired t-test, the least square analysis of slope (=1) and intercept (=0), the coefficient of variation, or the intraclass correlation coefficient, non of these can fully assess the desired reproducibility characteristics. Use of the CCC as measure of reproducibility has gained popularity in particle since its introduction by Lin (1989). However this agreement index is defined in the context of comparing two fixed observers. Because the reliability and validity studies often involve more than two observers, there is a need to access agreement among multiple observers. The Overall CCC\textsuperscript{10} is presented by Barnhart (2002). The OCCC is adequate index to the inter observer variability for accessing agreement among multiple fixed observers.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the intra-examiners and inter-three examiner reliability and/or reproducibility of histologic and histometric measurements in the one wall intrabony periodontal defects.
II. Materials & Methods

A. Animals

Twenty young adult (age 15 Month, body weight: 12-15 kg) beagle dogs were used.

The animals had intact dentition with healthy periodontium. Animal selection, management, surgery protocol, and periodontal defect preparation followed routines approved by the local Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, Yonsei Medical Center, Seoul, Korea. The animals were fed soft diets throughout the study to reduce chances of mechanical interference with healing during food intake.

B. Surgical Protocol

Surgical procedures were performed under the general anesthesia induced by IV injection of atropine (0.04mg/kg; Kwangmyung Pharmaceutical Ind. Co. Ltd., Seoul, Korea) and I.M injection of combination of xyline (Rompun, Bayer Korea Co., Seoul, Korea) and ketamin (Ketara, Yuhan Co., Seoul, Korea) followed by inhalation anesthesia (Gerolan, Choongwae Pharmaceutical Co., Seoul, Korea). Routine dental infiltration anesthesia was used at the surgical sites. During surgery, the animals received lactated Ringer's solution. (300-500 mL, IV)
The mandibular first and third premolar teeth were surgically extracted prior to the experimental surgery in each animal. The extraction sockets were allowed to heal for two months. The residual dentition received oral prophylaxis in conjunction with the extractions.

C. Experimental Protocols

The experimental surgery included elevation of buccal and lingual mucoperiosteal flaps to surgically create one-wall intrabony defects, "box-type", critical size, (4×4×4mm) at the distal aspect of the second and the medial aspect of the fourth mandibular premolars in the right and left jaw quadrants in each animal. (Figure.1) Following root planning, a reference notch was made with a round bur on the root surface at the base of the defect. Using a split design, unilateral defect in five animals were implanted with β-TCP, other five animals received to GDF-0; the other five animals treated with in GDF-100 and different five animals served as sham surgery. Contra lateral side with in treatment reported as well. the mucoperiosteal flaps were advanced, adapted, and sutured using a resorbable suture material (Vicryl 5.0 Polylactin 910, Ethicon: John & Johnson).

Radiographs of the defect sites were taken at presurgery, immediately postsurgery and at the day of euthanasia.
D. Postsurgery Management

Postsurgery care included intramuscular injection of antibiotics (Cefazoline Sodium 20 mg/kg Yuhan corporation, seoul, Korea) and daily topical application of a 0.2% chlorhexidine solution (Hexamedine®, Bukwang Pharmaceutical Co., Seoul, Korea) for infection control. Observations of the experimental sites with regard to gingival health, maintenance of the suture line closure, edema, and evidence of tissue necrosis or infection were made daily until suture removal, and at a least twice weekly thereafter.

E. Histological Procedures

The animals were euthanized at 8 weeks postsurgery using an overdose of pento barbital (90-120 mg/kg: IV). Block sections including the defect sites along with the surrounding alveolar bone and mucosal tissues were collected. The block specimens were rinsed in sterile saline and were immersed in 10 % neutral buffered formalin at a volume 10 times that of the block section for 10 days. After rinsing in sterile water, the sections were decalcified in 5 % formalic acid for 14 days, trimmed, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, and embedded in paraffin. Step-serial section of 5 μm thick were cut in a mesial-distal vertical plane at an 80 μm intervals. The sections were stained using hematoxyline/eosine stains. The three most central sections of each defect site selected based on the width of the root canal were used for the
F. Histometric measurement protocols for Intra-and inter-examiner reproducibility.

1) Selection of examiners: The three periodontists who worked more than three years and have experience more than one year on histometric analysis were chosen.

2) Training of examiners: The examiners were trained to assess four parameters of surgically created defects from professional histologist about three months.

Also, this examiner performed two separate repeated histometric evaluations three months apart. An one-wall periodontal defect was surgically created in mesial aspect of mandibular fourth premolar and in the distal aspect of second premolar in intra-alveolar periodontal defects presented in 2004 (Chang-Sung Kim 2004).^{12}

3) Histometric analysis

The three examiners (KSK, KTG, LJS) independently performed the histometric analysis using incandescent microscopy (BX 60, Olympus America Inc., Melville, NY, USA), a microscope digital camera system (DP 10, Olympus America) and a PC-based image analysis system (Image-pro plus™, Media Cybernetic, Silver Spring, MD, USA) customized for the intra-alveolar
periodontal defect models\textsuperscript{16}

The following parameters were recorded for buccal and the lingual tooth surfaces for each section: (Figure 2)

\begin{enumerate}
  \item Defect height: distance from the apical extension of the root surface notch to the cemento-enamel junction.
  \item Bone regeneration (height): distance from the apical extension of the root surface notch to the coronal extension of newly formed bone along the root surface.
  \item Cementum regeneration(height): distance from the apical extension of the root surface notch to the coronal extension of newly formed cementum or a cementum like substance on the root surface.
  \item Epithelial attachment: distance from the cemento-enamel junction to the apical extension of an epithelial attachment on the root surface. This parameter includes gingival recession.
\end{enumerate}

4) The measurement of the inter-examiner reproducibility:

The measurement value of histometric parameters were recorded and repeated within three months interval by three examiners. The blind datas of three examiners were collected and the intra-examiner reproducibility and inter-examiner reproducibility were assessed by the ICC and OCCC\textsuperscript{10} (Barnhart HX, Haber M, Song J, 2002)
G. Statistical Analysis

Blind data was collected from three examiner and this was taken into consideration in the analysis. Standard errors of mean were adjusted for the correlation. The SAS 9.1 Ver. (SAS Inc., North Carolina) was used for the statistical analysis for differences between experimental conditions using one-way analysis of variance and Pearson correlation coefficient. The statistical method was used for Intra class correlation coefficient to identify intra-examiner reproducibility. Then, two examiners were paired and interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was found to evaluate the inter-three examiner reproducibility. And inter-examiner reliability were assessed by the OCCC

* Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC)
  - An index to observe reproducibility within the examiner.
  : an index to see the reproducibility of which an examiner had measured several times, it can be expressed as the coefficient value.

* Interclass correlation coefficient
  - An index to observe the reproducibility between the examiners.
  : an index to identify the reproducibility between the examiners, the Pearson correlation coefficient can be used so that it is expressed as the value of two paired examiners.

* Overall concordance correlation coefficient
- An index to observe the reproducibility among multiple examiners.

An index to inter observer variability for accessing agreement among multiple fixed observers. The OCCC is the weighted average of all pairwise CCCs.
III. Results

A. Clinical Observations

All of the surgical sites appeared as healthy gingival conditions (Figure 1) exception for one coronal site exhibiting gingival inflammation. There was no specific clinical characteristic when compared to the non-surgical site.

B. Histological observations

The defect sites are available for analysis with the exception for one root in a control site that was lost in the histotechnical preparation. Generally, the barrier device was located near the CEJ and the epithelium arrested at the CEJ. Two animals exhibited an inflammatory infiltrate, partially involved in the defect site, localized to the buccal and/or distal root of the premolar teeth in sites receiving sham surgery. These animals also exhibited sites without an inflammatory infiltrate. The histologic evaluation revealed limited inflammatory cell infiltration in the defect sites. The junctional epithelium appeared to extend more apically in the treated sites compared to the control site. New bone was observed in the treated sites. All defect sites maintained a periodontal ligament space. The new cementum appeared thicker at the notch area, becoming thinner coronally. None of the specimens exhibited ankylosis. Limited root resorption was observed in most of the defect sites.
C. Histometric Analysis

The intra-examiner histometric records showed high reproducibility for most parameters. There were no statistically significant differences in bone formation among the treatments. Measurement of defect height, bone regeneration height, cementum regeneration height, epithelial attachment height expressed an ICCs. The intra-examiner reproducibility resulted very high. ICCs are greater than or equal to 0.99 to assessment of defect height, bone height, cementum, and epithelium. Mean histometric recordings for individual measurement score and overall group means (± SD) are shown in Table 1 and 3. The reproducibility of three examiners are expressed as different measurement values to each group (β-TCP, GDF 0, GDF 100, Sham), but most of the measurement values showed high reproducibility. P-values are over 0.05 and inter examiner ICCs are over than or equal to 0.95, and OCCC were revealed high results (≥ 0.9) even though sham group are relatively lower than other group. The reproducibility value of histometric measurement for three examiners and overall group means (± SD) are shown in Table 2 and 3, 4. Upon initial review, it was decided that the histometric evaluation should only include the three most central sections since the histologic observations showed that the healing events were closely repeated among the four central sections. This is not expected to alter the outcomes of the histometric evaluation as shown by Koo et al. (2005). As for the qualitative analysis, a few experimental sites showing pulp exposure or unresorbed suture knot with minor inflammation were excluded from the histometric analysis. Similarly, a few sites exhibiting residual old cementum were excluded.
1) Intra-examiner Reproducibility

**Table 1.** Intraclass correlation coefficient of KSK

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material scale</th>
<th>CEJ to Notch</th>
<th>Bone Height</th>
<th>Cementum</th>
<th>Epithelium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-TCP (n=19)</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDF 0 (n=30)</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDF100 (n=27)</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharm (n=21)</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Inter-examiners reproducibility by ICC

**Table 2.** Reproducibility between each two examiners: two examiners were paired and interclass correlation coefficient were found to evaluate the inter three examiners reliability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material scale</th>
<th>CEJ to Notch</th>
<th>Bone Height</th>
<th>Cementum</th>
<th>Epithelium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-TCP (n=19)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A : B</td>
<td>0.90314</td>
<td>0.98231</td>
<td>0.97423</td>
<td>0.97368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A : C</td>
<td>0.79973</td>
<td>0.96529</td>
<td>0.97637</td>
<td>0.93707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B : C</td>
<td>0.87262</td>
<td>0.96907</td>
<td>0.98627</td>
<td>0.96641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDF 0 (n=30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A : B</td>
<td>0.96327</td>
<td>0.98031</td>
<td>0.99283</td>
<td>0.97423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A : C</td>
<td>0.96500</td>
<td>0.97937</td>
<td>0.97295</td>
<td>0.97312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B : C</td>
<td>0.93696</td>
<td>0.97916</td>
<td>0.97097</td>
<td>0.95355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDF100 (n=27)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A : B</td>
<td>0.98372</td>
<td>0.97055</td>
<td>0.97437</td>
<td>0.97702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A : C</td>
<td>0.96023</td>
<td>0.95653</td>
<td>0.97784</td>
<td>0.98893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B : C</td>
<td>0.96866</td>
<td>0.97916</td>
<td>0.97097</td>
<td>0.97212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHAM (n=21)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A : B</td>
<td>0.96021</td>
<td>0.98523</td>
<td>0.83542</td>
<td>0.87529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A : C</td>
<td>0.96021</td>
<td>0.98841</td>
<td>0.81162</td>
<td>0.93153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B : C</td>
<td>0.96569</td>
<td>0.98794</td>
<td>0.97239</td>
<td>0.86304</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Examiner : A: KSK. B: LJS. C: KTG*
Table 3. Reproducibility among three examiners:
used the ICC measurement for reliability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Inter-examiners correlation</th>
<th>Intra-examiner correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>β-TCP (n=19)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEJ to Notch</td>
<td>0.951 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>0.997 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone Height</td>
<td>0.968 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>1.000 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cementum</td>
<td>0.975 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>0.998 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelium</td>
<td>0.953 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>1.000 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GDF 0 (n=30)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEJ to Notch</td>
<td>0.956 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>0.999 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone Height</td>
<td>0.967 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>0.998 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cementum</td>
<td>0.976 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>1.000 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelium</td>
<td>0.962 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>1.000 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GDF 100 (n=27)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEJ to Notch</td>
<td>0.971 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>0.995 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone Height</td>
<td>0.955 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>0.997 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cementum</td>
<td>0.971 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>0.983 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelium</td>
<td>0.969 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>0.974 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sham (n=21)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEJ to Notch</td>
<td>0.953 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>0.999 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone Height</td>
<td>0.984 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>0.999 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cementum</td>
<td>0.896 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>1.000 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelium</td>
<td>0.877 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
<td>0.995 (p ≤ .0001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) Inter-and Intra examiner reproducibility by OCCC

Table 4. reproducibility among three examiners: assessed by OCCC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall Concordance Correlation Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEJ to Notch</td>
<td>0.909299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone Regeneration Height</td>
<td>0.958204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cementum Regeneration Height</td>
<td>0.951817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelium</td>
<td>0.94868</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. Discussion

The objective of this study was to evaluate the intra examiner (KSK) and inter three examiners (KSK, LJS, KTG) reliability and/or reproducibility of histologic and histometric measurements in the one wall intrabony periodontal defects.

For periodontal regeneration protocol, the histometric evaluation is used to quantify the amount of regeneration of alveolar bone, cementum, a functionally oriented periodontal ligament, formation of a junctional epithelium, and the position of any devices and biomaterial implanted in conjunction with the surgical procedure.\(^5\) So the assessment of reproducibility is essential element for histometric measurement of any study to evaluate the bone regenerative effect of recombinent human bone. It is well accepted that repeated measures could increase reliability and reduced and examiner or technique error.

Before using the measurement scale in practice, one often needs to assess agreement of multiple observers taken by several methods. If there is observed disagreement, one often wants to know whether the disagreement is due to random error within a method or due to true differences attributed by the different methods. If the disagreement is due to the random error within a particular method, this method may not be used in practice. If disagreement is due to the true difference among the methods, the methods will need to be modified for improvement. Therefore, assessing agreement often leads to assessing both intra-method agreement and inter-method agreement, where intra-method agreement measure consistency of reading taken by the same
method and the inter-method agreement measures consistency of true readings attributed by the methods.\textsuperscript{19}

Issues related to reliable and accurate measurement have involved over many decades, dating back to the nineteenth century and the pioneering work of Galton (1886), Pearson (1896, 1899, 1901), and Fisher (1925).\textsuperscript{20} The popular agreement indices based on the observed reading such as concordance correlation coefficient (CCC) (Lin, 1989) or different versions of intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) (Shrout and Fleiss, 1979) are in fact the measure of total agreement although they are often reported as inter-method agreement.\textsuperscript{19} As elaborated by Lin (1989), the concordance correlation coefficient (CCC)\textsuperscript{26} is more appropriate than other indices for measuring agreement when the variable of interest is consecutive.\textsuperscript{9} However, this agreement index is defined in the context of comparing two fixed observers.\textsuperscript{22} In order to use multiple observers in a study involving large numbers of subject, there is a need to assess agreement among these multiple observers. The overall CCC in terms of the interobserver variability for assessing agreement among multiple fixed observers are presented by Barnhart HX, Haber M, Song J. The OCCC of the interobserver variability for accessing agreement among multiple fixed observers. The OCCC offers the following important points. First, it addresses the precision and accuracy indices as components of the OCCC. Second, the OCCC is the weighted average of all pairwise CCCs.\textsuperscript{10} In this study of repeated measurements, the class variable was the examiner. Multiple examiners can be trained at different times to measure histomtric characteristics with good to excellent intra examiner reliability.\textsuperscript{23} The measurement errors of histometric assessments, made by one or two examiners,
in the critical size, intra alveolar periodontal defect model was evaluated.\(^\text{24}\) For repeated measurements made by the same examiner, histometric parameters yielded ICCs greater than or equal to 0.97. This suggests that the single examiner had excellent reproducibility in assessing most of the histometric parameters evaluated.

When measurements made by three independent examiners were evaluated, the all parameters assessed yield interclass correlation coefficients greater than or equal to 0.95, and over 0.9 (OCCC) also suggesting excellent consistency. The correlations were somewhat higher in repeated measurements made by one examiner compared to the measurements made by three examiners, and this was consistent for all the studied parameters. This finding is in accordance with other studies showing higher intra examiner than inter examiner reproducibility of repeated measurements of clinical periodontal parameters\(^\text{24}\)

The goal of periodontal treatment is the regeneration of periodontal attachment including the formation of new cementum, functionally oriented periodontal ligament, and alveolar bone on a root surface previously exposed to periodontitis. To achieve this goal, various protocols have been proposed. Treatment outcomes for periodontal defects were influenced by several factors, bacterial contamination, innate wound healing potential, site characteristic, and surgical procedure. Among this factors, evidence suggests that the healing potential of intra bony lesions is primarily dependent on the defect morphology as expressed by the number of associated bone walls. So, we used the surgically created intra bony box-type (4×4×4mm) periodontal defect model presented by Chang-Sung Kim in 2004 in this study.\(^\text{12}\) Many of these outcomes are continuous scale variables and the more importance of these
include defect height and area, and newly formed alveolar bone, height and area. Histometric analysis of the latter variables are formed regularly in studies of novel periodontal and implant therapies. This study showed that, when a single examiner performed the measurement of these parameters, 1% ~ 6% of the total variation was due to the examiners' random measurement error. Furthermore, a measurement error component of about 1-17% of the total variation was due to the differences among the examiners. This suggests that assessments made by one examiner is quite adequate for analyzing the data. Other study outcomes examined in this model demonstrated a range of measurement reproducibilities, some variables showing higher measuring consistency than the others. Thus, measurement of newly regenerated bone and density of biomaterial exhibited the highest level of measurement errors. The estimated error component was 23- 34% for single examiner and 27- 40% for two examiners. On the other hand, measurement of junctional epithelium and ankylosis showed low intra examiner measurement errors, but a higher inter examiner error component ranging from 23-27%. For some of the histometric variables, the measurement errors may have been attenuated by the skewness of the variables, since some specimens did not reveal presence of the outcome variable being assessed. This was particularly evident for the measurement of cementum regeneration, which was scored as absent in a high percentage of the specimens. For this reason, the consistency of measuring cementum regeneration in this study material was not assessable.
V. Conclusion

This study has determined that, Histometric evaluations in the one-wall intra alveolar defects yield high reproducible results on inter-examiners but also on intra-examiner. Even though, the study was properly designed, concrete surgical protocol and procedure, the precise histometric analysis was beneficial to evaluate the result of the objective study easier and faster. Especially for the single examiner performing the histometric measurements, this apply even when the examiner had received limited training. What's more, the use of analysis rules may help to increase reproducibility among and within examiner groups.

Consequently, the participating examiners are able to yield a similar result, it will be possible to evaluate the objective results of the study more easier and faster.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1. Surgically created, critical-size, one-wall, intra bony periodontal defect at the distal aspect of the mandibular second and mesial aspect of the mandibular 4th premolar teeth. Clinical observation of surgical sites 8 weeks after surgery. All of surgical sites appeared as healthy gingival conditions.

Figure 2. Parameters used in the histometric analysis.

Figure 3. Photomicrographs showing the critical size, intra bony periodontal defects at 8 weeks postsurgery. They are displaying the sites from the apical extension of the root planing along the root surface to the coronal extension of the newly formed bone and cementum and the apical extension of an epithelial attachment (hematoxylin / eosin stain)
Figure 1. Surgically created, critical-size (4×4×4mm), one-wall, intra bony periodontal defect at the distal aspect of the mandibular second and mesial aspect of the mandibular 4th premolar teeth. (left) Clinical observation of surgical sites 8 weeks after surgery. All of surgical sites appeared as healthy gingival conditions. (right)
Figure 2. Landmarks / parameters used in the histometric analysis.
Figure 3. Photomicrographs showing the critical size, intra bony periodontal defects at 8 weeks postsurgery. They are displaying the sites from the apical extension of the root planing along the root surface to the coronal extension of the newly formed bone and cementum and the apical extension of an epithelial attachment (hematoxylin / eosin).
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조직 계측학적 측정에서 관찰자 내 관찰자 간 재현성 평가: 성견의 1변성 꼴내 결손 부
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배경: 정확하고 투명한 측정은 잘 계획된 연구에서 좋은 결과를 얻는데 매우 중요한 요소이다. 여러 가지 측정 방법 중에서 동물 및 인간실험에서 획득된 조직학적 슬라이드에서 사용되어지는 조직계측학적 평가는 생물학적인 진행상황을 평가하는데 의미 있는 결과를 얻을 수 있다. 이런 조직계측학적 측정은 많은 장점에도 불구하고 연구 전체에서 많은 시간과 노력이 필요한 부분이다. 일반적으로 효율을 받은 제한적 연구자들 간의 측정결과가 의미 있는 재현성을 가지고 있다면 술자 이외의 다른 연구자들 의 측정 결과를 이용할 수 있게 되어 보다 빠른 연구 결과를 얻는데 도움이 될 것이다. 이 연구의 목적은 치조골 1변성 꼴내 결손 부 모델에서 조직학적 그리고 조직계측학적 측정 방법의 술자 단독의 술자 내 재현성뿐만 아니라 관찰자 간의 재현성을 평가해보고자 한다. 이 연구에서 사용되어진 통계학적 측정 방법은 ICC 및 Barnhart (2002)가 제안한 Overall concordance correlation coefficient (OCCC)를 이용하였다.

실험방법: 조직계측학적 분석은 20마리의 성견을 이용하였다. 의학적으로
로 상자 형태의 4×4×4mm 글 첫손 부분 형성하여 5마리 성견의 편측 첫손부에 β-TCP을 이식하였고, 다른 5마리 성견의 편측 첫손부에는 GDF 0을 주입하였다. 또 5마리 성견의 편측 첫손 부는 GDF 10으로 처리 하였고, 나머지 5마리 성견의 편측 첫손부에는 Sharm Surgery를 시행하여 치유를 유도하였다. 반대 측 첫손 부는 다른 실험에 이용하였다. 실험 8주 후 회생 으로 일어진 조직 슬라이드를 이용하여 첫손부의 길이, 치조골 계형성, 백악질 계형성, 상피세포의 계형성 정도를 계측 하였으며 술자 이외에 습련 된 다른 두 명의 판찰자도 계측을 시행하였다. 조직계측 분석에 사용되어 지는 디지털 카메라 및 전통적인 프로그램 및 PC바탕인 이미지 분석기가 달린 광학현미경을 이용하였다. 판찰자 간 및 판찰자 내 계측 재현성을 평가하기 위해 조직계측적인 측정은 술자를 포함 3명의 판찰자가 4개월 이후에 반복 시행하였다. 술자 단독의 술자 내 재현성뿐만 아니라 서로 다른 세 명의 판찰자간의 재현성은 ICC와 OCCC를 이용하여 평가하였다.

결과: 대부분의 측정치들은 단독의 술자 내 재현성뿐만 아니라 술자 간에서도 높은 재현성을 보였다. 술자가실시한 두 번의 반복 측정 결과 모든 측정값에서 0.97 이상의 높은 ICC 값을 보였다. 세 명의 판찰자 간의 재현성을 보기 위해 세 명의 판찰자를 각각 두 명씩 쌍으로 ICC 값을 구한 결과 모든 측정값에서 0.93이상으로 유의하게 높게 나왔으며 P-value는 0.001보다 낮게 나타났다. OCCC 값 또한 0.9 이상의 높은 결과를 보였다. 세 명의 판찰자 간의 재현성을 평가한 ICC 값 중 대조군 그룹의 백악질과 절합 상태에서 다소 낮게 나왔에도 불구하고 (0.89, 0.87), 첫손 부 높이, 글 재생 높이, 백악질 재생 높이, 절합상의 형성을 술자 내뿐아니라 술자 간에 서 0.9보다 같거나 그 이상의(≥0.9) 상관성을 보였다.
결론: 이론의 결과에 불 매 성면의 1배성 치조절 결손부에서의 조직계획
측적 평가는 손가 단독의 손가 내 재현성뿐 아니라 세 병의 필정자 간에
서도 높은 재현성을 얻을 수 있음을 알게 되었다. 따라서 다수의 연구에서
일정 기간 운행되어진 관찰자에 한하여 보다 쉽고 빠른 조직계획 결과를
얻는데 도움이 될 것으로 사료된다.

핵심 되는 말: 1배성 골내 결손 부, 조직계획적 추정 방법, 손가 내 재현성,
손가 간의 재현성, ICC, 포괄적인 일치 상관계수 (OCCC)