



Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2010 August 18; 132(32): 11015–11017. doi:10.1021/ja104503g.

Self-Confirming “AND” Logic Nanoparticles for Fault-Free MRI

Jin-sil Choi¹, Jae-Hyun Lee¹, Tae-Hyun Shin¹, Ho-Taek Song², Eung Yeop Kim², and Jinwoo Cheon^{1,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-749, Korea

²Department of Radiology and Research Institute of Radiological Science, College of Medicine, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-752, Korea

Abstract

Achieving high accuracy in the imaging of biological targets is a challenging issue. For MRI, to enhance imaging accuracy, two different imaging modes with specific contrast agents are used; one is T1 type for a “positive” MRI signal and the other is T2 type for a “negative” signal. Conventional contrast agents response only in a single imaging mode and frequently encounter ambiguities in the MR images. Here, we propose a “magnetically decoupled” core-shell design concept to develop a dual mode nanoparticle contrast agent (DMCA). This DMCA not only possesses superior MR contrast effects, but also has the unique capability of displaying “AND” logic signals in both the T1 and T2 modes. The latter enables self-confirmation of images and leads to a greater diagnostic accuracy. A variety of novel DMCA are possible and the use of DMCA can potentially bring the accuracy of MR imaging of diseases to a higher level.

By combining complementary information obtained from various imaging methods, including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET), computed tomography (CT) and optical microscopy, multi-modal imaging techniques have been devised to increase the accuracy of disease diagnosis.¹ However, even when multi-modal techniques are employed, inherent problems still exist. For example, image matching difficulties caused by relocating biological samples and the discrepancies resulting from different depth penetrations and spatial/time resolutions of multiple imaging devices can lead to inaccuracies.^{1a,b} Therefore, the development of dual imaging strategies that employ a single instrumental system is another important approach.

MRI is a powerful technique for tomographic images of biological targets in a non-invasive manner with a high spatial resolution. In MRI, relaxation time of protons of water in the sample is measured. Contrast agents are employed to greatly change proton relaxation rates and to enhance visualization of the differences between normal and disease tissues. T1 contrast agents, comprised typically of paramagnetic materials such as Gd complexes and Mn oxide nanoparticles, facilitate spin-lattice relaxation of protons causing a positive (or bright) MR image.^{2,3} On the other hand, T2 contrast agents that commonly consist of superparamagnetic nanoparticles (e.g. iron oxide) cause protons in their vicinity to undergo spin-spin relaxation which gives rise to negative (or dark) MR images.^{3,4} Even so, such single mode contrast agents are not yet perfect and increasingly facing challenges especially when accurate imaging of small biological targets is needed.⁵

jcheon@yonsei.ac.kr.

Supporting Information **Available:** Detailed information of nanoparticle synthesis and MRI sequences for relaxivity coefficient measurement and animal imaging.

A dual mode strategy for MRI, where two different T1 and T2 imaging modes are utilized simultaneously, can potentially give highly sensitive and accurate information. For such purposes, ultra small superparamagnetic iron oxide (USPIO), which displays enhanced T1 contrast effects, has been developed, but their T2 contrast effects are weak.⁶ Although a system comprised of FeCo nanoparticles is the only one reported thus far that exhibits high T1 and T2 contrast effects,⁷ an understanding of the mechanism by which this system operates is still unclear. The difficulty associated with the design of well-defined T1 and T2 dual mode contrast agents is related in part to the fact that, in the case of their direct contact, the magnetic field generated by a superparamagnetic T2 contrast material perturbs the relaxation process of the paramagnetic T1 contrast material. This phenomenon results in the quenching of the T1 signal (Figure 1a). Until now, a rational design of dual mode MRI contrast agents has not been proposed.

In our studies described below, we have led to the design and construction of a new T1-T2 dual mode nanoparticle contrast agent (DMCA). Organic block copolymers that form micellar structures, inorganic porous materials, such as MCM-48 that produce porous structures, and inorganic materials that generate core-shell structures are potential frameworks for construction of T1-T2 DMCA (Figure 1b). Here, we selected T1-T2 DMCA that has inorganic core-shell structured particles, in which the T2 contrast material is located in the core and the T1 contrast material is positioned on the shell. By inserting a thickness-tunable separating layer, the magnetic coupling between the T1 and T2 materials can be modulated so that conditions for simultaneous generation of strong T1 and T2 contrast effects can be found. The T1 contrast material is positioned on the shell of the particles so that it is in direct contact with water.^{2a,8} On the other hand, the superparamagnetic T2 contrast material is situated inside the core since it can produce a long range magnetic field to promote the spin-spin relaxation process of surrounding water molecules.⁸ In this system, 15 nm diameter MnFe_2O_4 was used as the core T2 contrast material.^{4b} T1 and T2 contrast materials were separated by a layer of SiO_2 with thicknesses of 4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 nm, formed by using a sol-gel reaction (Figure S1).⁹ Finally, the T1 contrast material, $\text{Gd}_2\text{O}(\text{CO}_3)_2$ with a thickness of *ca.* 1.5 nm, was deposited on top of the SiO_2 layer by using $\text{Gd}(\text{NO}_3)_3$ as a precursor (Figure 2a and Figure S2 and S3).¹⁰ Figure 2b are TEM images of the synthesized DMCA of $\text{MnFe}_2\text{O}_4@ \text{SiO}_2@ \text{Gd}_2\text{O}(\text{CO}_3)_2$. The contrast effects of T1 weighted MR images of the DMCA show significant changes, which are dependent on the thickness of the separating SiO_2 layer. When the thickness of this layer is small and, as a result, the T1 and T2 contrast materials are closely located (e.g. 4 nm), almost no T1 contrast effect is observed. However, as the thickness of the separating layer increases, the T1 contrast dramatically changes from dark gray to bright (Figure 2c, top). Such change is clearly observed in the color coded MR image (Figure 2c, bottom). The relaxivity coefficient, $r1$, which is the value of MR contrast effects, changes in the order of 2.0, 4.0, 25.1, 33.1 and 32.5 $\text{mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ as the thickness of the separating layer increases in the respective order of 4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 nm (Figure 2e and h). The largest change corresponds to a 16 times increase of the T1 effect. When the thickness of the separating layer exceeds 12 nm, the DMCA shows a strong T1 signal, which is roughly 3 times larger than that of the conventional contrast agent, Gd-DTPA (Gadolinium-diethylenetriaminepentaacetate, Magnevist, $r1 = 9.6 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$). Higher $r1$ effect of DMCA is possibly caused by its slower tumbling rate compared to molecular contrast agent.¹¹ T1 signal is high when paramagnetic electron spins of T1 contrast agent are directly in contact with water molecules *via* dipolar interactions between electron spins of contrast agent and nuclear spins of water.^{2b,12} By introducing T2 nanoparticle contrast agent, however, such coupling processes are perturbed by additional magnetic field generated. As seen in this case, such effects are tunable by using separating layer between T1 and T2 materials. By controlling the thickness of the separating layer, quenching of the T1 signal by the T2 superparamagnetic core dramatically decreases from 94% to 0 % (Figure 2f and Table S1). The T2 contrast gradually changes

from black to dark gray as the thickness of SiO₂ increases (Figure 2d). The T2 relaxivity coefficient (r_2) of the DMCA changes in the order of 332, 318, 305, 274 and 213 mM⁻¹s⁻¹ as the SiO₂ thickness increases in the respective order of 4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 nm (Figure 2g and h and Table S2). In our DMCA model, T2 nanoparticle contrast agent in the core is a magnet which generates a new local magnetic field which is known to be distance-dependent with $1/d^3$ (d = distance from the T2 agent).¹³ This magnetic field prompts transverse T2 relaxation rate of water nuclear spins in the vicinity.^{12,14} However, the presence of SiO₂ layer reduces magnetic field to the surrounding water molecules, which results in reduced T2 contrast effects with roughly 1/3 loss of T2 contrast effect in the case of 20 nm SiO₂ layer. Even so, all of the DMCA still have at least two times higher r_2 values compared to the conventional T2 agent, Feridex (iron oxide, $r_2 = 108$ mM⁻¹s⁻¹). The field dependent dipolar couplings are critical in T1 and T2 signals and it is observed that electron spin relaxation processes of T1 agents are more susceptible to the attenuation of local magnetic field.

The DMCA developed in this effort displays dual mode contrast effects and, therefore, it can be used to perform AND logic in MR imaging. In the positive T1 mode, both the DMCA and Gd-DTPA display bright MR signals with “ON” responses while water and Feridex have dark T1 signals with “OFF” responses (Figure 3a). In the negative T2 mode, the DMCA and Feridex display dark signals, which correspond to “ON” states while water and Gd-DTPA show bright T2 signals, which are “OFF” states. Importantly, only the DMCA possesses “ON” states in both imaging modes. Therefore, unlike conventional contrast agents, the DMCA has AND logic, which gives the MR imaging a self-confirmation capability. This feature was demonstrated by performing MR imaging with three separate 1 mm diameter tubings, each containing DMCA, Feridex and Gd-DTPA, which were implanted in a mouse (Figure 3b). In specific, relaxivity change of each imaging mode ($\Delta R_i / R_{i_{\text{pre-contrast}}}$, $R_i = 1 / T_i$; $i = 1, 2$) were measured. Higher R_i indicates better contrast effects. In T1 image, ROI #2 (Gd-DTPA) and ROI #3 (DMCA) exhibit large relaxivity change of 30 % and 35%, respectively. In T2 image, ROI #1 (Feridex) and ROI #3 (DMCA) exhibit high relaxivity change of 35% and 72%, respectively. All the other ROIs give minimal signal changes in both T1 and T2 image. Among all the ROIs examined, only DMCA shows simultaneously high MR signals in both T1 and T2 mode. As a consequence of the ability to change from bright to dark reversibly leading to “ON” state responses for AND logic, the DMCA can be used to provide self-confirmed imaging. Any faulty “AND” logic can be eliminated by comparing changes between pre-contrast and post-contrast MR images which will render fault-free MRI capability.

Here, we have demonstrated core-shell design approach where the degree of T1 and T2 couplings can be modulated by using a separating layer, which makes it possible to create new contrast agents with tunable and maximized T1 and T2 signals. Our DMCA has not only a universal design concept for any T1/T2 combinations, but also adopts a synthetic method which is simple and reproducible. The finding suggests that DMCA can be potentially applied to the imaging of wide range of biological targets with enhance diagnostic accuracy.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank to Dr. H. S. Kwon and J. K. Kim (KBSI) for TEM analysis, Dr. C. H. Lee (KBSI-Ochang) for MR measurements (4.7 T MRI) and S.-H. Moon (Yonsei Univ.) for providing MnFe₂O₄ nanoparticle. This work was supported in part by the CRI (2010-0018286), WCU (2008-9-1955), NCI Center for Cancer Nanotechnology

Excellence, LG Yonam Foundation in the program 2008 and 2nd stage BK21 projects for chemistry and medical science.

References

1. (a) Kircher MF, Mahmood U, King RS, Weissleder R, Josephson L. *Cancer Res.* 2003; 63:8122–8125. [PubMed: 14678964] (b) Nahrendorf M, Zhang H, Hembrador S, Panizzi P, Sosnovik DE, Aikawa E, Libby P, Swirski FK, Weissleder R. *Circulation.* 2008; 117:379–387. [PubMed: 18158358] (c) Cheon J, Lee JH. *Acc Chem Res.* 2008; 41:1630–1640. [PubMed: 18698851] (d) Gao JH, Gu HW, Xu B. *Acc Chem Res.* 2009; 42:1097–1107. [PubMed: 19476332]
2. (a) Lauffer RE. *Chem Rev.* 1987; 87:901–927. (b) Taylor KML, Jin AWJ. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2008; 47:7722–7725.
3. Na HB, Song IC, Hyeon T. *Adv Mater.* 2009; 21:2133–2148.
4. (a) Arbab AS, Liu W, Frank JA. *Rev Med Devic.* 2006; 3:427–439. (b) Sosnovik DE, Weissleder R. *Curr Opin Biotechnol.* 2007; 18:4–10. [PubMed: 17126545] (c) Lee JH, Huh YM, Jun Yw, Seo Jw, Jang Jt, Song HT, Kim S, Cho EJ, Yoon HG, Suh JS, Cheon J. *Nat Med.* 2007; 13:95–99. [PubMed: 17187073]
5. Kubaska S, Sahai DV, Saini S, Hahn PF, Halpern E. *Clinic Radiol.* 2001; 56:410–415.
6. Corot C, Robert P, IdÈe JM, Port M. *Adv Drug Del Rev.* 2006; 58:1471–1504.
7. Seo WS, Lee JH, Sun X, Suzuki Y, Mann D, Liu Z, Terashima M, Yang PC, McConnell MV, Nishimura DG, Dai H. *Nat Mater.* 2006; 5:971–976. [PubMed: 17115025]
8. McRobbie, DW.; Moore, EA.; Graves, MJ.; Prince, MR. *MRI from picture to proton.* Cambridge Univ. Press; Cambridge: 2003.
9. Yi DK, Lee SS, Papaefthymiou GC, Ying JY. *Chem Mater.* 2006; 18:614–619.
10. Liu G, Hong G, Sun D. *J Colloid Interface Sci.* 2009; 278:133–138. [PubMed: 15313646]
11. Caravan, Peter. *Acc Chem Res.* 2009; 42:851–862. [PubMed: 19222207]
12. (a) Mitchell, DG. *MRI Principles.* Saunders Publishing; 1999. (b) Hashemi, RH.; Bradley, WG.; Lisanti, CJ. *MRI: the Basics.* Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2004.
13. Rife JC, Miller MM, Sheehan PE, Tamanaha CR, Tondra M, Whitman LJ. *Sensor Actuat A-Phys.* 2003; 107:209–218.
14. Koenig SH, Kellar KE. *Magn Reson Med.* 1995; 34:227–233. [PubMed: 7476082]




Figure 1. Magnetic coupling between T1 and T2 contrast materials and the structures for a dual mode nanoparticle contrast agent (DMCA). (a) Electronic spins of paramagnetic T1 contrast materials are affected by a magnetic field from superparamagnetic T2 contrast materials when they are in close proximity. (b) Possible structure for a dual mode nanoparticle contrast agent with separating layers to prevent magnetic coupling between them.




Figure 2.

T1 and T2 MR imaging of DMCA with a separating layer of SiO₂. (a) Schematic and transmission electron microscope (TEM) image of core-shell type DMCA [MnFe₂O₄@SiO₂@Gd₂O(CO₃)₂]. (b) TEM images of DMCA with variable separating layer thickness (4, 8, 12, 16 and 20 nm), having a fixed MnFe₂O₄ core (15 nm in diameter) and a Gd₂O(CO₃)₂ shell (1.5 nm). (c) T1- and (d) T2-weighted MR images and their color coded images of DMCA with various SiO₂ thickness by using 4.7 T MRI. Contrast agents: 200 μM (Gd) for T1 image, 100 μM (Mn+Fe) for T2 images. The images of Gd-DTPA and Feridex were taken together for the comparison purpose. In the color coded image, positive and negative contrasts were indicated by red and blue color, respectively. (e) Graph of *r*1 vs. SiO₂ thickness. (f) Graph of T1 quenching vs. SiO₂ thickness [T1 quenching (%) = $\{(r_{1\text{SiO}_2@\text{Gd}_2\text{O}(\text{CO}_3)_2} - r_{1\text{DMCA}}) / r_{1\text{SiO}_2@\text{Gd}_2\text{O}(\text{CO}_3)_2}\} \times 100$, $r_{1\text{SiO}_2@\text{Gd}_2\text{O}(\text{CO}_3)_2} = 31 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ (Table S1)]. (g) Graph of *r*2 vs. SiO₂ thickness. (h) *r*1 and *r*2 of DMCA, MnFe₂O₄, Gd-DTPA and Feridex.




Figure 3.

DMCA as AND logic contrast agent. (a) T1- and T2-weighted MR images of DMCA [MnFe₂O₄@SiO₂@Gd₂O(CO₃)₂, SiO₂ = 16 nm], Feridex, Gd-DTPA and water. In T1 mode, positive (or bright) MR image was “ON” state, while negative (or dark) image was “OFF” state. In T2 mode, dark image was “ON” state, while bright image was “OFF” state. Only DMCA exhibited “ON” state in both T1- and T2-weighted images to give its AND logic. (b) T1- and T2-weighted transverse MR images of a mouse where 1 mm diameter tubings containing DMCA, Feridex and Gd-DTPA were implanted near abdomen. Changes of relaxivity R1 and R2 ($\Delta R_i / R_{i, \text{pre-contrast}} (\%) = (R_i - R_{i, \text{pre-contrast}}) / R_{i, \text{pre-contrast}}$; $i=1,2$) were measured for eight different regions of interest (ROI) by comparing pre- and post-contrast image. Higher Ri indicates enhanced contrast effects. In T1 image, ROI #2 (Gd-DTPA) and ROI #3 (DMCA) exhibited relaxivity change of 30% and 35%. In T2 image, ROI #1 (Feridex) and ROI #3 (DMCA) exhibited relaxivity change of 35% and 72%. All the other ROIs exhibited minimal signals (~5%) in both T1 and T2 image. Only DMCA exhibited simultaneously high signal in both T1 and T2 to satisfy “ON” output for AND logic. T1 images were obtained by using fat suppression sequence and all images were taken by using 3 T MRI. Contrast agents: 200 μM (Gd) for T1 image, 100 μM (Fe) for T2 image.