Periarticular Injection with Corticosteroid has an Additional Pain Management Effect in Total Knee Arthroplasty

Sae Kwang Kwon

Department of Medicine The Graduate School, Yonsei University

Periarticular Injection with Corticosteroid has an Additional Pain Management Effect in Total Knee Arthroplasty

Directed by Professor Chang Dong Han

The Master's Thesis submitted to the Department of Medicine, the Graduate School of Yonsei University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Medical Science

Sae Kwang Kwon

December 2013

This certifies that the Master's Thesis of Sae Kwang Kwon is approved.

Thesis Supervisor: Chang Dong Han

Thesis Committee Member#1: Ick Hwan Yang

1 H Yay

Thesis Committee Member#2: Sun Joon Bai

The Graduate School Yonsei University

December 2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMETS

First and foremost, I would like to thank Professor Chang Dong Han for his guidance and support. This thesis would simply not be possible without his warm advice and encouragement.

I am grateful to Professor Ik Hwan Yang and Professor Sun Joon Bai for generously providing their knowledge and guidance throughout the course of the clinical study for this thesis.

Last but not least, I thank deeply my family (Hyun Sil, Eugene, and Woo Jin) for their unfailing love and support.

<TABLE OF CONTENTS>

ABSTRACT1
I. INTRODUCTION
II. MATERIALS AND METHODS4
1. Patient population4
2. PMDI procedure ······5
3. Clinical evaluation
4. Statistical analysis7
III. RESULTS ······7
IV. DISCUSSION 14
V. CONCLUSION
REFERENCES 18
ABSTRACT(IN KOREAN)

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Subject screening flowchart
Figure 2. Summary of nighttime pain levels (bar graph) and patient
satisfaction (line graph) 10

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Comparison of patient results
Table 2. Comparison of postoperative clinical outcomes and
complications
Table 3. Comparison of rebound pain level (VAS) between the current
and previous studies from the night of operation until postoperative
day 1 13

ABSTRACT

Periarticular injection with corticosteroid has an additional pain management effect in total knee arthroplasty

SAE KWANG KWON

Department of Medicine The Graduate School, Yonsei University

(Directed by Professor Chang Dong Han)

Purpose : Although the analgesic effects of corticosteroids have been well documented, little information is available on periarticular injection (PI) containing corticosteroids for early postoperative pain management after TKA. We performed a prospective double-blind randomized trial to evaluate the efficacy and safety of an intraoperative corticosteroid PI in patients undergoing TKA. Materials and Methods : Seventy-six consecutive female patients undergoing bilateral staged TKA were randomized to receive steroid or non-steroid PI, with 3 months separating the procedures. The steroid group received PI with a mixture containing triamcinolone acetonide (40 mg). The non-steroid group received the same injection mixture without corticosteroid. During the postoperative period, nighttime pain, functional recovery (SLR ability and maximal flexion), patient

satisfaction, and complications were recorded. Short-term postoperative clinical scores and patient satisfaction were evaluated at 6 months.

Results : The pain level was significantly lower in the PI steroid versus the nonsteroid group on the night of the operation (VAS, 1.2 vs. 2.3; p=0.021). Rebound pain was observed in both groups at POD 1 (VAS, 3.2 vs. 3.8; p=0.248), but pain remained at a low level thereafter. No significant differences were seen in maximal flexion, frequency of acute rescuer, clinical scores, and patient satisfaction. The steroid group was able to perform SLR earlier than the nonsteroid group (p=0.013). The incidence of complications was similar between the groups.

Conclusion : PI containing a corticosteroid provided an additional pain-relieving effect on the night of the operation. In addition, corticosteroid PI did not increase the perioperative complications of TKA.

Key words: corticosteroid, periarticular injection, pain, total knee arthroplasty

Periarticular injection with corticosteroid has an additional pain management effect in total knee arthroplasty

SAE KWANG KWON

Department of Medicine The Graduate School, Yonsei University

(Directed by Professor Chang Dong Han)

I. INTRODUCTION

Although total knee arthroplasty (TKA) has been reported to be the most effective procedure for pain relief in patients with advanced osteoarthritis, ironically, many patients are concerned of immediate postoperative pain, preoperatively and actually experience considerable pain after surgery.^{1,2} Multimodal and pre-emptive approaches are currently used for perioperative pain relief. Periarticular injection (PI) of a drug cocktail has been particularly effective in decreasing pain and improving early function after TKA.³⁻¹¹ However, there is no gold standard protocol regarding the drug composition and amount for the cocktail injection.

The mainstays of multimodal therapeutic approaches, including drug cocktails, have been opioids.^{8,12} However, the effectiveness of opioids is limited by side effects such as respiratory depression, urinary retention, nausea, and vomiting.¹² The use of systemic corticosteroids in a multimodal analgesic protocol is documented to be efficacious in the treatment of postoperative pain, nausea, and vomiting.¹²⁻¹⁴ In contrast, there is little

information on the role of corticosteroid in PI treatment for early postoperative pain management. Previous studies that used a PI drug cocktail, with or without a corticosteroid, have documented pain relief and functional recovery, but did not examine the effect of the corticosteroid.^{3-5,7-11}

Therefore, we performed a prospective, randomized, double-blind study to determine (1) whether a PI containing a corticosteroid provides an additional pain relief and functional recovery effect and; (2) whether a PI containing a corticosteroid increases perioperative complications after TKA.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Patient population

Ninety female patients scheduled for bilateral TKAs provided informed consent to participate in this prospective, double-blinded study conducted from March 2010 to December 2011. Eligible patients included 90 female patients between the ages of 50 and 90 years who were scheduled to undergo staged bilateral TKA (3-month interval) for the treatment of primary advanced osteoarthritis. Of the 90 eligible patients, 8 were not enrolled because of refusal to participate (n=5), major depressive disorder (n=1), and other diagnostic reasons (n=2) (Figure 1). Thus, 82 patients were recruited, and one knee was randomly assigned to the steroid or non-steroid PI group. After 3 months, the contralateral knee was assigned to the opposite group. The steroid group received a PI containing corticosteroid (40 mg of triamcinolone acetonide), and the non-steroid group received a PI without corticosteroid. Randomization was performed using a computergenerated permutation table of 4 and 6 blocks. Six of the 82 patients were excluded because of transfer due to congestive heart failure (n=1), transfer due to GI bleeding (n=1), delirium after surgery (n=1), and failed spinal anesthesia (n=3). Thus, 76 female

patients were included in the final analysis (Figure 1). The patients and an independent investigator who prospectively collected the clinical information were unaware of the group assignments until the final data analysis was completed. There were all female patients with 69.3 years (mean age, ranging from 62 to 77). The median body mass index (BMI) was 25.9 kg/m^2 (range, 22 - 32).

2. PMDI procedure

All patients received the same spinal anesthetic and multimodal protocol to improve pain relief. Oral analgesic pills (200 mg celecoxib, 362.5mg ultracet, and 75 mg pregabalin) were administered for preemptive analgesia on the morning of the operation. Spinal anesthesia, using 0.5% bupivacaine, was administered by an anesthesiologist; throughout surgery, anesthesia was maintained with propofol using a target-controlled device.

All surgeries were performed before noon by a single surgeon (S.K.K) using a standard medial parapatellar arthrotomy with a tourniquet. A posteriorly stabilized prosthesis (PFC sigma; DePuy, Johnson & Johnson, USA) was implanted in all patients. The patella was unresurfaced in all cases, and cement fixation was used for all components.

A multimodal PI cocktail (100 ml) consisting of 10 mg morphine sulfate, 300 mg ropivacaine, 30 mg ketorolac, 300 μ g of 1:1000 epinephrine, normal saline, and with or without a corticosteroid (40 mg of triamcinolone acetonide) was prepared in two 50-ml syringes. Before the implants were fixed with cement, 50 ml of the cocktail was injected into the posterior capsule, sheath of the medial and lateral collateral ligaments, and soft tissue above the medial and lateral femoral epicondyle. After insertion of polyethylene bearings, a second 50 ml was injected into the peri-pes anserinus, capsule, quadriceps, subcutaneous tissue, and peri-patella aponeurosis.

All patients received postoperative intravenous patient-controlled analgesia (PCA),

which was programmed to provide a continuous infusion of 500 µg fentanyl and 30 ml of 0.5% bupivacaine, at a rate of 4 ml/h, with an on-demand bolus infusion of 2 ml with a 10-min lockout period. When patients resumed oral analgesic intake, 200 mg celecoxib and 362.5 mg ultracet were administered every 12 hours. An intramuscular injection of ketoprofen (100 mg) was used as an acute rescuer when a patient reported severe pain greater than 7 on a 0 to 10 VAS. The continuous intravenous PCA was typically discontinued on the third postoperative day. The patient knees were placed daily in a continuous-passive-motion (CPM) machine starting at 2 days after the operation until discharge. All patients were discharged on postoperative day 9.

3. Clinical evaluation

A clinical investigator who was blinded to the group assignments reviewed the diagnosis and medical histories and prospectively collected the demographic data. Preoperative clinical status was evaluated using the knee motion arc, American Knee Society (AKS) knee and function scores, and Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index (WOMAC) scores. Postoperative clinical evaluation was performed at 6 months using the same scales. Flexion contracture and maximum flexion were measured to the nearest 5° by an investigator using a standard clinical goniometer with the patients in a supine position.

The primary outcome variable was the postoperative nighttime pain levels on the night of the surgery and at postoperative days 1, 2, 3, and 7. The pain levels were recorded by a clinical investigator using a VAS that ranged from 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst imaginable pain) at each time point. The secondary outcome variables were functional recovery (ability for straight leg raising (SLR) and maximal flexion), patient satisfaction, and complications. The level of patient satisfaction was obtained using a VAS on the operation night and postoperatively on days 1 and 7, as well as at 6 months. Wound complications, including periprosthetic joint infection, wound oozing, and wound dehiscence, were evaluated. In addition, patients were observed for narcotic-related side effects, including nausea, vomiting, respiratory depression, and urinary retention

4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS for Windows Version 15 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Chi-squared test or Fisher's exact test was used to determine the differences in categorical variables (frequency of acute rescuer and incidence of wound complications). Continuous variables (pain, functional recovery, clinical score, and patient satisfaction) were analyzed using Student's t-test. An a priori power analysis using a 2-sided hypothesis test, at an alpha level of 0.05 and a power of 80%, was used to determine the sample size statistical power. One hundred- twenty knees were required to detect a difference of 1 in the VAS pain level, which we considered as clinically significant. Accordingly, the study sample size (152 knees) had sufficient power to detect a difference.

III. RESULTS

The pain level was significantly lower in steroid-treated knees compared to non-steroid treated knees on the night of the operation (VAS, 1.2 vs. 2.3; p=0.021). Interestingly, rebound pain was observed in both groups 1 day after the surgery (VAS, 3.2 vs. 3.8; p=0.248). Thereafter, pain remained at a low level and was not different between the 2 groups. In addition, the level of patient satisfaction decreased from the night of operation to the night of POD 1 in both the steroid PI (VAS, 9.0 to 7.8) and non-steroid PI groups (VAS, 8.8 to 8.0) (Figure 2).

There were no significant differences in the secondary variables, including hemovac drainage, maximal flexion (postoperative day 7), and frequency of acute rescuer

(postoperative day 2). The steroid PI group was able to perform SLR earlier than the nonsteroid PI group (p=0.013) (Table 1). The 2 groups demonstrated similar postoperative range of motion, AKS score, WOMAC score, and patient satisfaction at 6 months after TKA.

The addition of corticosteroid did not increase the risk for wound complications, including infection, or narcotic-related side effects during the immediate postoperative period (Table 2).



Figure 1. Subject screening flowchart.

PI, periarticular injection; CHF, congestive heart failure; GI, gastrointestinal



Figure 2. Summary of nighttime pain levels (bar graph) and patient satisfaction (line

graph).

OP night, operation night; POD 1, 2, 3, and 7; 1, 2, 3, and 7 days postoperatively

*Indicates significance (p < 0.05; 95% confidence intervals)

	Steroid PI	Non-Steroid PI	
Parameter	(n=76)	(n=76)	P-value
Hemovac (cc)	458.4±156.1	491.8±182.1	0.482
SLR (POD)	2.26±0.903	2.88±0.833	0.013*
Maximal flexion at	106.2±10.2	105.2±8.9	0.687
POD7 (degrees)			
Acute rescuer to POD2	1.7±0.7	1.8±1.0	0.759
(frequency of i.m.)			

 Table 1. Comparison of patient results

PI, periarticular injection; SLR, straight leg raising; POD 2, and POD 7, 2 and 7 days

postoperatively, i. m., intra-muscular injection

*Indicates significance (p < 0.05; 95% confidence intervals)

Parameter	Steroid PI	Non-Steroid PI	P-value
Postoperative (6 mos)			
ROM (degrees)	131.1±10.7	128.3±12.5	0.509
AKS Knee	93.6±4.7	93.0±2.5	0.809
AKS Function	68.0±16.4	74.0±18.1	0.599
WOMAC Pain	1.8±2.1	1.4±2.6	0.799
WOMAC Function	19.6±8.5	20.8±9.3	0.838
Satisfaction (POD7)	8.2±1.8	8.5±1.9	0.675
Satisfaction (6mos)	9.0±1.9	8.7±1.7	0.722
Complications			
Infection (%)	0	0	
Wound oozing (%)	8.3	6.5	0.294
Nausea/Vomiting (%)	16.6	18.6	0.320
Urinary retention (%)	0	0	

 Table 2. Comparison of postoperative clinical outcomes and complications

ROM, range of motion; AKS, American knee society; WOMAC, Western Ontario

MacMaster university osteoarthritis index scale; POD 7, 7 days postoperatively

	Studies	Non-PI			Steroid	Id (-)		Steroid(H (+	
		POD 0	POD 1	Change [*]	POD 0	POD 1	Change	POD ()	POD 1	Change
I	Lombardi et al	1.9	2.2	+0.3	3.4	2.7	-0.7			
	(2004) ⁵									
	Vendittoli et al	4,8	3.0	-1.8	2.5	2.0	-0.5			
	(2006) ⁹									
4.0	Busch et al	5.6	5.2	-0.4	3.6	4.5	6.0+			
	(2006) ³									
	<i>Koh et al (2010)</i> ⁴	9.9	7.5	6.0+	3.5	4.6	+1.1			
	Mullaji et al	5.0	6.3	+1.3				2.3	3.3	+1.0
	(2010)6									
	Current study				2.3	3.8	+1.5	1.2	3.2	+2.0

Table 3. Comparison of rebound pain level (VAS) between the current and previous studies from the night of operation until

IV. DISCUSSION

The analgesic effects of corticosteroids have been well documented.^{12,14,15} However, little information is available on the role of corticosteroid PI in providing immediate postoperative pain relief and functional recovery after TKA. The most important finding of the current prospective study is that a PI containing a corticosteroid provided an additional pain-relieving effect on the night of the operation but did not influence pain levels for the remainder of the postoperative period. This study also confirms the safety of a PI containing a corticosteroid for use in a multimodal analgesic protocol.

In previous studies of PI, some PI cocktails contained a corticosteroid whereas others did not. Irrespective of corticosteroid use, studies have documented an improvement in pain relief and functional recovery with PI, compared to a non-PI group.³⁻⁹ The findings of the current study support these observations by demonstrating that a PI with a corticosteroid provides additional pain relief on the night of the operation. However, our findings are in contrast to those of another study that compared PIs with and without a corticosteroid and found no effect of the corticosteroid on pain relief and functional recovery.¹⁰ Importantly, that study only assessed patient pain levels 1 day after surgery and on the day of discharge. We found that an additional pain-relieving effect of corticosteroid PI appeared only on the night of the operation; therefore, this previous work may have failed to examine the critical period. In addition to a bene-ficial effect early after surgery, PI with triamcinolone also appeared to have a improved pain-relieving effect on postoperative days 1-7, as the steroid PI group demonstrated a lower, albeit non-significant, overall pain level during this period compared to the non-steroid PI group (Figure 2). The half-life of triamcinolone ranges from 18 to 36 hours, and intramuscular administration of this acetate form provides slow absorption but a prolonged duration.¹² Patient pain is maximized at night because of minimal

environmental stimuli, therefore we focused on nighttime pain for the duration of our study. Overall, our findings are noteworthy when considering a multimodal PI protocol for postoperatively practical pain management.

One interesting finding was that rebound pain was observed in both groups 1 day after surgery (night-time) and that the increase in pain was higher in the steroid PI group (+2.0) than in the non-steroid group (+1.5). When comparing our study with previous PI works, we found that rebound pain level (amount of change) was the highest on 1 day after surgery (Table 3). In the studies of Lombardi et al.⁵ and Vendittoli et al.⁹ there was no rebound pain on POD 1. Their cocktail components were different from those used by recent studies, which included no morphine and low-dose local anesthetic. The recent studies of Busch et al.³, Koh et al.⁴, and Mullaji et al.⁶ reported rebound pain on POD 1. Their cocktail components were similar to drug composition and amount. Although the VAS pain scores increased by 1-2 point on POD 1, we are uncertain of the clinical significance of this increase. Importantly, rebound pain might directly influence patient satisfaction negatively (Figure 1). Thus, if a multimodal analgesic protocol cannot decrease rebound pain, an additional pain-relieving effect of corticosteroid on the night of the operation may be meaningless.

This study also suggests that a PI with corticosteroid partially improves functional recovery. The steroid PI group was able to perform SLR earlier than the non-steroid PI group, but maximal flexion was the same between groups. This finding concurs with that of a PI study by Koh et al.,⁴ but most previous studies have reported that PI does not improve functional recovery.^{3,5,7-10}

Several studies have reported that intra-articular corticosteroid injection might increase the risk of joint complications,^{16,17} but Sauerland et al. found no adverse effects in patients who were treated perioperatively with methylprednisolone during major surgery.¹⁵ In line

with this, several other studies focused on PI also reported no increase in the risk of postoperative complications after corticosteroid PI.^{7,8,10} Our study also supports the hypothesis that corticosteroid PI does not increase perioperative complications after TKA. There were no incidences of infection; minimal wound oozing was observed but was not different between groups.

Our study has several limitations. First, this study assessed bilateral staged TKA, with the surgeries separated by 3 months. According to the gate-control theory¹⁸, if one side has a severe pain level after surgery, the other side might feel a reduced pain level in bilateral simultaneous TKA. Thus, our study conducted bilateral staged surgery instead of bilateral simultaneous surgery. However, a distorted pain level may be experienced after the second operation (3 months later) due to recall of previous pain from the first operation. Second, our study participants were all Korean women. Therefore, our findings may not be widely generalizable to other patient populations other than elderly females (mean age, 69.3 years). However, since culture, gender, and age can influence the subjective perception of pain, we believe our population findings are relevant. Finally, 1 clinical investigator assessed only nighttime pain level, which is thought to be a strong method for use in pain studies. However, even though all surgeries were conducted before noon, the timing of pain assessment after surgery differed between patients. In addition, some patients were asked to recall pain levels; therefore, recall bias and observer bias may be involved.

V. CONCLUSION

PI containing a corticosteroid provided an additional pain-relieving effect on the night of the operation, but not for the remainder of the postoperative period. Because of the rebound in the level of pain at postoperative day 1, the lower pain immediately after the surgery with steroid treatment does not guarantee sustained pain relief after TKA. Further studies focused on sustaining a low level of pain level, without rebound, during the postoperative period should be conducted. In addition, corticosteroid PI did not increase perioperative complications after TKA, which confirms the safety of PI containing corticosteroid for use in a multi-modal analgesic protocol.

REFERENCES

- Park KK, Shin KS, Chang CB, Kim SJ, Kim TK. Functional disabilities and issues of concern in female Asian patients before TKA. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2007;461:143-52.
- Trousdale RT, McGrory BJ, Berry DJ, Becker MW, Harmsen WS. Patients' concerns prior to undergoing total hip and total knee arthroplasty. Mayo Clin Proc 1999;74:978-82.
- Busch CA, Shore BJ, Bhandari R, Ganapathy S, MacDonald SJ, Bourne RB, et al. Efficacy of periarticular multimodal drug injection in total knee arthroplasty. A randomized trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2006;88:959-63.
- Koh IJ, Kang YG, Chang CB, Kwon SK, Seo ES, Seong SC, et al. Additional pain relieving effect of intraoperative periarticular injections after simultaneous bilateral TKA: a randomized, controlled study. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2010;18:916-22.
- Lombardi AV, Jr., Berend KR, Mallory TH, Dodds KL, Adams JB. Soft tissue and intra-articular injection of bupivacaine, epinephrine, and morphine has a beneficial effect after total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2004:125-30.
- Mullaji A, Kanna R, Shetty GM, Chavda V, Singh DP. Efficacy of periarticular injection of bupivacaine, fentanyl, and methylprednisolone in total knee arthroplasty:a prospective, randomized trial. J Arthroplasty 2010;25:851-7.
- Parvataneni HK, Shah VP, Howard H, Cole N, Ranawat AS, Ranawat CS. Controlling pain after total hip and knee arthroplasty using a multimodal protocol with local periarticular injections: a prospective randomized study. J Arthroplasty 2007;22:33-8.
- 8. Ranawat AS, Ranawat CS. Pain management and accelerated rehabilitation for

total hip and total knee arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 2007;22:12-5.

- Vendittoli PA, Makinen P, Drolet P, Lavigne M, Fallaha M, Guertin MC, et al. A multimodal analgesia protocol for total knee arthroplasty. A randomized, controlled study. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2006;88:282-9.
- Christensen CP, Jacobs CA, Jennings HR. Effect of periarticular corticosteroid injections during total knee arthroplasty. A double-blind randomized trial. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2009;91:2550-5.
- Han CD, Lee DH, Yang IH. Intra-synovial ropivacaine and morphine for pain relief after total knee arthroplasty: a prospective, randomized, double blind study. Yonsei Med J 2007;48:295-300.
- Salerno A, Hermann R. Efficacy and safety of steroid use for postoperative pain relief. Update and review of the medical literature. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2006;88:1361-72.
- 13. Gilron I. Corticosteroids in postoperative pain management: future research directions for a multifaceted therapy. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2004;48:1221-2.
- Wang JJ, Ho ST, Lee SC, Tang JJ, Liaw WJ. Intraarticular triamcinolone acetonide for pain control after arthroscopic knee surgery. Anesth Analg 1998;87:1113-6.
- Sauerland S, Nagelschmidt M, Mallmann P, Neugebauer EA. Risks and benefits of preoperative high dose methylprednisolone in surgical patients: a systematic review. Drug Saf 2000;23:449-61.
- 16. Armstrong RW, Bolding F, Joseph R. Septic arthritis following arthroscopy: clinical syndromes and analysis of risk factors. Arthroscopy 1992;8:213-23.
- Montgomery SC, Campbell J. Septic arthritis following arthroscopy and intraarticular steroids. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1989;71:540.

18. DeLeo JA. Basic science of pain. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2006;88 Suppl 2:58-62.

ABSTRACT (IN KOREAN)

스테로이드가 포함된 관절주변 주사는 인공 슬관절 전치환술의 통증 조절에 부가적인 효과가 있다.

<지도교수 한 창 동>

연세대학교 대학원 의학과

권세광

목적 : 스테로이드의 통증 조절 효과는 잘 알려져 있으나, 인공관절 전치환술의 수술 후 조기 통증 조절을 위한 관절주변 주사요법과의 관계에 대해선 알려진 바가 없다. 저자들은 스테로이드를 포함시킨 관절주변 주사요법의 효용성과 안정성에 대해 알아보고자 하였다. 대상 및 방법 : 3개월 간격으로 양측 무릎에 인공 관절 전치환술을 받은 76명의 여성환자들을 대상으로 하여, 스테로이드 군은 관절주변 주사약에 triamcinolone acetonide 40mg을 섞었고, 비스테로이드 군은 섞지 않은 채 수술 중에 주사하였다. 수술 후 야간 통증과 기능적 회복 정도(하지 직거상 속도와 최대 굴곡 범위), 환자 만족도, 합병증 등을 비교하였고, 수술 6개월 후의 임상적 지수와 만족도를 평가 하였다.

21

결과 : 수술 당일 야간 통증은 스테로이드 군이 비스테로이드 군에 비해 더 낮게 나타났다(VAS, 1.2 vs. 2.3; p=0.021). 수술 다음날 야간 통증은 두 군에서 모두 반향적 통증 증가 소견을 보였으나(VAS, 3.2 vs. 3.8; p= 0.248), 이후로는 낮은 통증 수치를 유지하였다. 최대 굴곡 범위와 진통제 요구 횟수, 임상적 지수, 환자 만족도에서 두 군간에 유의한 차이는 없었으나, 하지 직거상의 정도는 스테로이드 그룹에서 더 빨리 가능한 모습을 보였다(p=0.013). 합볍증은 두 군간에 차이가 없었다.

결론 : 스테로이드가 포함된 관절주변 주사요법은 수술 당일 야간통증의 조절에 더 효과적이고, 합병증은 더 증가하진 않아 안정성 또한 좋은 것으로 생각된다.

핵심되는 말 : 스테로이드, 관절주변 주사요법, 통증, 인공 슬관절 전치환술